



भारत का राजपत्र The Gazette of India

प्राधिकार से प्रकाशित
PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

सं० 16]
No. 16]

नई दिल्ली, शनिवार, अप्रैल 18, 1987/चैत्र 28, 1909
NEW DELHI, SATURDAY, APRIL 18, 1987/CHAITRA 28, 1909

इस भाग में भिन्न पृष्ठ संख्या दी जाती है जिससे कि यह अलग संकलन के रूप में रखा जा सके।

Separate Paging is given to this Part in order that it may be filed as a separate compilation

भाग II—खण्ड 3—उप-खण्ड (II) PART II—Section 3—Sub-section (II)

(रक्षा मंत्रालय को छोड़ कर) भारत सरकार के मंत्रालयों द्वारा जारी किए गए सांविधिक आदेश और अधिसूचनाएं
Statutory Orders and Notifications issued by the Ministries of the Government of India (other than the Ministry of Defence)

विधि और न्याय मंत्रालय

(विधि कार्य विभाग)

नई दिल्ली, 31 मार्च, 1987

सूचना

का. प्रा. 1004—नोटरीज नियम, 1956 के नियम 6क अनुसरण में सक्षम प्राधिकारी द्वारा यह सूचना दी जाती है कि श्री मंगत राय बत्रा ने उक्त प्राधिकारी को उक्त नियम के नियम 4 के अधीन एक आवेदन इस बात के लिए दिया है कि उसे मुक्तसर व्यवसाय करने के लिए नोटरी के रूप में नियुक्त किया जाए।

2 उक्त व्यक्ति की नोटरी के रूप में नियुक्ति पर किसी भी प्रकार का आक्षेप इस सूचना के प्रकाशन के तुरंत दिन के सोम विधिवत रूप में भेरे पास भेजा जाए।

[सं. 5(29)/87-न्या.]

आर. एन. पौडार, सक्षम प्राधिकारी

MINISTRY OF LAW AND JUSTICE

(Department of Legal Affairs)

New Delhi, the 31st March, 1987

NOTICE

S.O. 1004.—Notice is, hereby given by the Competent Authority in pursuance of rule 6 of the Notaries, 1956, that application has been made to the said Authority, under rule

4 of the said Rules, by Shri Mangat Rai Batra for appointment as a Notary to practise in Muktesar.

2. Any objection to the appointment of the said person as a Notary may be submitted in writing to the undersigned within fourteen days of the publication of this Notice.

[No. F. 5(29)/87-Judl.]

R. N. PODDAR, Competent Authority

वित्त मंत्रालय

(राजस्व विभाग)

नई दिल्ली, 26 फरवरी, 1987

(आयकर)

का.आ. 1005—आयकर अधिनियम 1961 (1961 का 43) की धारा 10 की उपधारा (23-न) के खंड (V) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार एतद्वारा उक्त खंड के प्रयोजनार्थ "निम्मेली श्री अलायन्स चैरिटीज, सामल्लापुरम, बेंगलूरु" को कर-निर्धारण वर्ष 1984-85 से 1986-87 के लिए अधिसूचित करती है।

[सं. 7148(फा.सं. 197/164/84-आ.क.नि.-1)]

बलीप सिंह, विशेष कार्य अधिकारी

MINISTRY OF FINANCE

(Department of Revenue)

New Delhi, the 26th February, 1987

INCOME-TAX

S.O. 1005.—In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (v) of sub-section (23C) of Section 10 of the Income-tax Act, 1961 (43 of 1961), the Central Government, hereby notifies "Nemmoli Sri Alavandar Charities, Mamallapuram, Chengleput" for the purpose of the said clause for the assessment years 1984-85 to 1986-87.

[No. 7148(F. No. 197/164/84-IT(A1))]
DALIP SINGH, Officer on Special Duty

नई दिल्ली, 18 मार्च, 1987

आदेश

स्टाम्प

का. आ. 1006.—भारतीय स्टाम्प अधिनियम, 1899 (1899 का 2) की धारा 9 की उपधारा (1) के खंड (ख) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार एन.ए.एल. इण्डिया लिमिटेड, कलकत्ता को मात्र मात्र लाख निरामी हजार मात्र सौ पचास रुपये के उस समेकित स्टाम्प शुल्क की अदायगी करने की अनुमति प्रदान करती है, जो उक्त कम्पनी द्वारा जारी किए जाने वाले प्रत्येक 100 रुपये के मात्र आठ करोड़ छत्तीस लाख रुपये के अंकित मूल्य के 13.5% आरक्षित विमोच्य ऋणपत्रों पर स्टाम्प शुल्क के कारण प्रभाय है।

[सं. 14/87-स्टाम्प-फा. सं. 33/11/87-वि. कर.]

New Delhi, the 18th March, 1987

ORDER

STAMP

S.O. 1006.—In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (b) of sub-section (1) of section 9 of the Indian Stamp Act, 1899 (2 of 1899), the Central Govt. hereby permits the Indian Aluminium Company Limited, Calcutta to pay consolidated stamp duty of rupees seven lakhs, eighty three thousand and seven hundred and fifty only, chargeable on account of the stamp duty on 13.5% Secured Redeemable Partially Convertible debentures of Rs. 100 each of the face value of rupees eight crores and thirty six lakhs only to be issued by the said Company.

[No. 14/87-Stamp-F. No. 33/11/87-ST]

नई दिल्ली, 30 मार्च, 1987

आदेश

स्टाम्प

का. आ. 1007.—भारतीय स्टाम्प अधिनियम, 1899 (1899 का 2) की धारा 9 की उपधारा (1) के खंड (ख) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार एन.ए.एल. इण्डिया लिमिटेड, कलकत्ता को मात्र अठ्ठासी लाख पचास हजार रुपये के उस समेकित स्टाम्प शुल्क की अदायगी करने की अनुमति देती है जो उक्त कम्पनी द्वारा जारी किए जाने वाले मात्र सैठ करोड़ रुपये के अंकित मूल्य के प्रत्येक 100 रुपये के 15% आरक्षित विमोच्य ऋणपत्रों पर स्टाम्प शुल्क के कारण प्रभाय है।

[सं. 15/87-स्टाम्प-फा. सं. 33/5/87-वि. कर.]

New Delhi, the 30th March, 1987

ORDER

STAMPS

S.O. 1007.—In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (b) of sub-section (1) of section 9 of the Indian Stamp Act, 1899 (2 of 1899), the Central Government hereby permits the Kesoram Industries and Cotton Mills Limited Calcutta to pay consolidated stamp duty of rupees forty eight lakhs and seventy five thousand only, chargeable on account of the stamp duty on 15 per cent Secured Redeemable Non-convertible debentures of Rs. 100 each of the face value of rupees sixty five crores to be issued by the said company.

[No. 15/87-Stamp-F. No. 33/5/87-ST]

आदेश

स्टाम्प

का. आ. 1008.—भारतीय स्टाम्प अधिनियम, 1899 (1899 का 2) की धारा 9 की उपधारा (1) के खंड (क) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार एन.ए.एल. इण्डिया लिमिटेड, नई दिल्ली द्वारा जारी किए जाने वाले मात्र एक सौ पचास करोड़ रु. के मूल्य के 14 प्रतिशत आरक्षित एन. एच. पी. सी. बंधपत्र ("ए" श्रृंखला) के रूप में उल्लिखित ऋणपत्रों के स्वरूप के बंधपत्रों पर उक्त अधिनियम के अन्तर्गत प्रभाय है।

[सं. 16/87-स्टाम्प-फा. सं. 33/56/87-वि. कर.]

ORDER

STAMP

S.O. 1008.—In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (a) of sub-section (1) of section 9 of the Indian Stamp Act, 1899 (2 of 1899), the Central Government hereby remits the duty with which the bonds in the nature of debentures described as 14 per cent secured N.H.P.C. Bonds (A series) to the value of rupees one hundred and fifty crores only to be issued by the National Hydroelectric Power Corporation Limited, New Delhi, are chargeable under the said Act.

[No. 16/87-Stamp-F. No. 33/56/87-ST]

नई दिल्ली, 31 मार्च, 1987

आदेश

स्टाम्प

का. आ. 1009.—भारतीय स्टाम्प अधिनियम, 1899 (1899 का 2) की धारा 9 की उपधारा (1) के खंड (क) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार एन.ए.एल. इण्डिया लिमिटेड, नई दिल्ली को मात्र अठ्ठासी लाख रुपये के मूल्य के "40" की संख्या वाले तथा "11%" कर्नाटक राज्य विद्युत निगम बंधपत्र 2002 (तीसरी श्रृंखला) के रूप में विनिर्दिष्ट बंधपत्रों के स्वरूप के बंधपत्रों पर उक्त अधिनियम के अन्तर्गत प्रभाय है।

[सं. 19/87-स्टाम्प-फा. सं. 33/9/87-वि. कर.]

New Delhi, the 31st March, 1987

ORDER

STAMPS

S.O. 1009.—In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (a) of sub-section (1) of section 9 of the Indian Stamp Act, 1899 (2 of 1899), the Central Government hereby remits the duty with which the bonds in the

nature of promissory notes bearing the number '40' and described as 11% Karnataka State Financial Corporation Bonds 2002" (3rd series) of the value of rupees eight crores and eight lakhs to be issued by Karnataka State Financial Corporation, Bangalore are chargeable under the said Act.

[No. 19/87-Stamps-F. No. 33/9/87-ST]

प्रादेशिक

स्टाम्प

का. प्रा. 1010.—भारतीय स्टाम्प अधिनियम 1899 (1899 का 2) की धारा 9 की उपधारा (1) के खंड (ख) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार एतद्वारा महाराष्ट्र राज्य वित्तीय निगम, बम्बई को मात्र चार लाख बारह हजार पांच सौ रुपये के उस समेकित स्टाम्प शुल्क की अधिदायी करने की अनुमति देती है जो उक्त निगम द्वारा जारी किए जाने वाले मात्र पांच सौ पचास लाख रुपये के अंकित मूल्य के ऋणों एवं के रूप में कम संख्या 1 से 383 वाले 11% महाराष्ट्र राज्य वित्तीय निगम, बम्बई 2001 (47वीं श्रृंखला) पर स्टाम्प शुल्क के कारण प्रभावित है।

[सं. 18/87-स्टाम्प-फ. सं. 33/10/87-बि. कर]

बी. आर. मेहमी, प्रवर सचिव

ORDER

STAMPS

S.O. 1010.—In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (b) of sub-section (1) of section 9 of the Indian Stamp Act, 1899 (2 of the 1899), the Central Government hereby permits the Maharashtra State Financial Corporation, Bombay to pay consolidated stamp duty of rupees four lakhs, twelve thousand and five hundred only, chargeable on account of the stamp duty on 11% Maharashtra State Financial Corporation, Bonds 2001 (47th series) bearing serial Nos. 1 to 383 in the form of debentures of the face value of rupees five hundred and fifty lakhs only to be issued by the said Corporation.

[No. 18/87-Stamps-F. No. 33/10/87-ST]

B. R. MEHMI, Under Secy.

(आर्थिक कार्य विभाग)

(बैंकिंग प्रभाग)

नई दिल्ली, 27 मार्च, 1987

का. प्रा. 1011.—प्रादेशिक ग्रामीण बैंक अधिनियम, 1976 (1976 का 21) की धारा-11 की उपधारा 3 द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार एतद्वारा श्री एन. आर. बाजपैयी को जिनकी धारा-11 की उपधारा (1) तहत गाहजहापुर क्षेत्रीय ग्रामीण बैंक, गाहजहापुर के अध्यक्ष के रूप में नियुक्ति की तीन वर्ष की पहली अवधि 30-9-86 को समाप्त हो गयी है, 1-10-86 से प्रारम्भ होकर 21-12-86 को समाप्त होने वाली अवधि के लिए उक्त बैंक का पुनः अध्यक्ष नियुक्त करती है।

[संख्या : एफ-2-48/85-आर. आर. बी.]

DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC AFFAIRS

(Banking Division)

New Delhi, the 27th March, 1987

S.O. 1011.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (2) of Section 11 of the Regional Rural Banks Act, 1976 (2 of 1976), the Central Government hereby reappoints Shri S. R. Bajpai whose earlier tenure of three years appointment under sub-section (1) of section 11 had expired

on 30-9-86 as the Chairman of Sahajahanpur Kshetriya Gramin Bank, Sahajahanpur for a further period commencing from 1-10-86 and ending with 21-12-86.

[No. F. 2-38/85-RRB]

का. प्रा. 1012.—प्रादेशिक ग्रामीण बैंक अधिनियम, 1976 (1976 का 21) की धारा-11 की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार एतद्वारा श्री मदनलाल चोपड़ा को साहजहापुर क्षेत्रीय ग्रामीण बैंक, साहजहापुर का अध्यक्ष नियुक्त करती है तथा 22-12-86 से प्रारम्भ होकर 31-12-89 की समाप्त होने वाली अवधि को उस अवधि के रूप में निर्धारित करती है जिसके दौरान श्री मदनलाल चोपड़ा अध्यक्ष के रूप में कार्य करेंगे।

[संख्या एफ. 2-38/85-आर. आर. बी.]

S.O. 1012.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of Section 11 of the Regional Rural Bank Act, 1976 (21 of 1976), the Central Government hereby appoints Shri Madanlal Chopra as the Chairman of the Sahajahanpur Kshetriya Gramin Bank, Sahajahanpur and specifies the period commencing on the 22-12-86 and ending with the 31-12-89 as the period for which the said Shri Madanlal Chopra shall hold office as Chairman.

[No. F. 2-38/85-RRB]

का. प्रा. 1013.—प्रादेशिक ग्रामीण बैंक अधिनियम, 1976 (1976 का 21) की धारा 11 की उपधारा 2 द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार एतद्वारा श्री पी. डी. भादुला को जिनकी धारा-11 की उप धारा (1) के तहत इटावा क्षेत्रीय ग्रामीण बैंक, इटावा (उ.प्र.) के अध्यक्ष के रूप में नियुक्ति की तीन वर्ष की पहली अवधि 31-7-86 को समाप्त हो गयी है, 1-8-86 से प्रारम्भ होकर 11-2-87 की समाप्त होने वाली अवधि के लिए उक्त बैंक का पुनः अध्यक्ष नियुक्त करती है।

[संख्या : एफ-2-20/86-आर. आर. बी.]

S.O. 1013.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (2) of Section 11 of the Regional Rural Banks Act, 1976 (21 of 1976), the Central Government hereby reappoints Shri P. D. Bhadulla whose earlier tenure of three years appointment under sub-section (1) of section 11 had expired on 31-7-86 as the Chairman of Etawah Kshetriya Gramin Bank, Etawah (U.P.) for a further period commencing from 1-8-86 and ending with 11-2-87.

[No. F. 2-20/86-RRB]

का. प्रा. 1014.—प्रादेशिक ग्रामीण बैंक अधिनियम, 1976 (1976 का 21) की धारा-11 की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार एतद्वारा श्री एन. बी. खुरेजकर को इटावा क्षेत्रीय ग्रामीण बैंक, इटावा का अध्यक्ष नियुक्त करती है तथा 12-2-87 से प्रारम्भ होकर 28-2-90 को समाप्त होने वाली अवधि को उस अवधि के रूप में निर्धारित करती है जिसके दौरान श्री एन. बी. खुरेजकर अध्यक्ष के रूप में कार्य करेंगे।

[संख्या : एफ-2-20/86-आर. आर. बी.]

S.O. 1014.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of Section 11 of the Regional Rural Banks Act, 1976 (21 of 1976), the Central Government hereby appoints Shri M. B. Khurjekar as the Chairman of the Etawah Kshetriya Gramin Bank, Etawah and specifies the period commencing on the 12-2-87 and ending with the 28-2-90 as the period for which the said Shri M. B. Khurjekar shall hold office as Chairman.

[No. F. 2-20/86-RRB]

नई दिल्ली, 1 अप्रैल, 1987

(Insurance Division)

New Delhi, the 31st March, 1987

का. भा. 1015.—प्रारंभिक ग्रामीण बैंक अधिनियम, 1976 (1976 का 21) की धारा 11 की उपधारा 2 द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार श्री एम. जी. देशपांडे को जिनकी धारा 11 की उपधारा (1) के तहत मराठवाड़ा ग्रामीण बैंक, नांदेड (महाराष्ट्र) के अध्यक्ष के रूप में नियुक्ति की तीन वर्ष की पहली अवधि 31-12-86 को समाप्त हो गयी है, 1-1-87 से प्रारम्भ होकर 31-12-87 को समाप्त होने वाली अवधि के लिए उक्त बैंक का पुनः अध्यक्ष नियुक्त करती है।

[संख्या एक. 2-50/86-प्रार. धार. बी.]

प्रयोग कुमारतेजवाल, अवर सचिव

New Delhi, the 1st April, 1987

S.O. 1015.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (2) of Section 11 of the Regional Rural Banks Act, 1976 (21 of 1976), the Central Government hereby re-appoints Shri M. G. Deshpande whose earlier tenure of three years appointment under sub-section (1) of section 11 had expired on 31-12-86 as the Chairman of Marathwada Gramin Bank, Nanded (Maharashtra) for a further period commencing from 1-1-87 and ending with 31-12-87.

[No. F. 2-50/86-RRB]

P. K. TEJYAN, Under Secy.

नई दिल्ली, 31 मार्च, 1987

का. भा. 1016.—भारतीय औद्योगिक पुनर्निर्माण बैंक अधिनियम, 1984 (1984 का 62) की धारा 10 की उपधारा (1) के खण्ड (ब) के उप-खण्ड (1) के अनुसरण में, केंद्रीय सरकार, एतद्वारा श्री एन. पी. सिंह, संयुक्त सचिव, सरकारी उद्यम विभाग, उद्योग मंत्रालय, नई दिल्ली को श्री डी. वी. कपूर के स्थान पर भारतीय औद्योगिक पुनर्निर्माण बैंक के निदेशक के रूप में तामित करती है।

[संख्या एक. 7/2/87-प्र. ओ.-I]

एम. एस. सीतारामन, अवर सचिव

New Delhi, the 31st March, 1987

S.O. 1016.—In pursuance of sub-clause (i) of clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Reconstruction Bank of India Act, 1984 (62 of 1984), the Central Government hereby nominates Shri N. P. Singh, Joint Secretary, Department of Public Enterprises, Ministry of Industry, New Delhi, as a Director of the Industrial Reconstruction Bank of India vice Shri D. V. Kapur.

[No. F. 7/2/87-BO. I]

M. S. SEETHARAMAN, Under Secy.

(बीमा प्रभाग)

नई दिल्ली, 31 मार्च, 1987

का. भा. 1017.—जीवन बीमा निगम अधिनियम, 1956 (1956 का 31) की धारा 4 द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करने हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार एतद्वारा श्री एन. के. शिंदर, क्षेत्रीय प्रबंधक तथा श्री एम. जी. शीवान, कार्यकारी निदेशक को भारतीय जीवन बीमा निगम में प्रबंध निदेशक के पद का कार्यभार ग्रहण करने की तारीख से तथा उनके उस पद पर बने रहने की तारीख तक की अवधि के लिए निगम के सदस्य के रूप में नियुक्त करता है।

[फाईल सं. 108(2)/86-बीमा-4]

जी. सी. बागुमतारी, उप सचिव

S.O. 1017.—In exercise of the powers conferred by Section 4 of the Life Insurance Corporation Act, 1956 (3) of 1956), the Central Government hereby appoints S/Shri N. K. Shindar, Zonal Manager and M. G. Diwan, Executive Director, LIC, as Members of the Board of Life Insurance Corporation of India from the date of assumption of charge as and till they hold the post of Managing Directors of the Corporation.

[F. No. 108(2)/86-Ins. IV]

G. C. BASUMATARI, Dy. Secy.

समाहृतलय केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क : मध्यप्रदेश

इन्दौर, 10 मार्च, 1987

अधिसूचना संख्या 2/87

का. भा. 1018.—समाहृतलय केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क, इन्दौर के श्री एम. प्राय. बेग, परीक्षक समूह "क" निवृत्ति की प्राप्ति प्राप्त करने पर दिनांक 31-1-87 के अपराह्न में प्रांतीय सेवा से निवृत्त हो गए।

[पं. सं. II(3)09-गोप/87]

एस. श्री. रामाकृष्णन, समाहर्ता

CENTRAL EXCISE COLLECTORATE : M.P.

Indore, the 10th March, 1987

NOTIFICATION NO. 2/87

S.O. 1018.—Shri M. L. Baig, Examiner, Central Excise Group 'B' of Indore Collectorate having attained the age of superannuation retired from Government service on 31-3-87 in the afternoon.

[C. No. II(3)09-Con/87]

S. V. RAMAKRISHNAN, Collector

उद्योग मंत्रालय

(काम्यनी कार्य विभाग)

नई दिल्ली, 2 अप्रैल, 1987

का. भा. 1919.—एकाधिकार तथा अवरोधक व्यापारिक व्यवहार अधिनियम, 1969 (1969 का 54) की धारा 26 की उप-धारा (3) के अनुसरण में केन्द्रीय सरकार, एतद्वारा इस अधिसूचना के अनुलग्नक में उल्लिखित व्यक्तियों द्वारा स्थापित उपक्रमों में पंजीकरण के निरस्तीकरण को अधिसूचित करती है।

[सं. 16/12/86-एम.-III]

एल. सी. गोयल, अवर सचिव

अधिसूचना सं. 16/12/86-एम.-III का अनुलग्नक

क्रम संख्या	उपक्रमों के स्वामी के नाम	पंजीकरण संख्या
1.	लेटराइट इन्डस्ट्रीज लि.	1661/83
2.	उत्तर प्रदेश स्टील्स लि.	1542/81
3.	हरीशान एंड कासफिल्ड इंडिया लि.	1610/82
4.	यूनिवर्सल इलेक्ट्रीकल लि.	1691/84
5.	टाटा एस एंड एल सेल्स लि.	147/70

MINISTRY OF INDUSTRY

(Department of Company Affairs)

New Delhi, the 2nd April, 1987

S.O. 1019.—In pursuance of Sub-section (3) of Section 26 of the Monopolies and Restrictive Trade Practices Act, 1969 (54 of 1969), the Central Government hereby notifies the Cancellation of the registration of the undertakings owned by persons mentioned in the Annexure to this Notification.

[No. 16/12/86-M.III]

L. C. GOYAL, Under Secy.

Annexure to the Notification No. 16/12/86-M.III

S.No.	Names of the owner of undertakings	Registration No.
1.	Leatherite Industries Ltd.	1661/83
2.	Uttar Pradesh Steels Ltd.	1542/81
3.	Harison & Crossfield India Ltd.	1610/82
4.	Universal Electrics Ltd.	1691/84
5.	Tata S & L Sales Ltd.	147/70

(औद्योगिक विकास विभाग)

नई दिल्ली, 1 अप्रैल, 1987

गुजरात

क्र. आ. सं. 1020.—उद्योग मंत्रालय (औद्योगिक विकास विभाग) में भारत सरकार की अधिसूचना सं. क्र. आ. 683 (अ) दिनांक 12 सितम्बर, 1986 को कि भारत के राजपत्र, असाधारण भाग-II, खंड 3 (ii) में दिनांक 12 सितम्बर, 1986 को प्रकाशित हुई थी।—

पृष्ठ 2 पर, पैरा 2 के अंतर्गत, चौथी लाइन में छपे अंक "2" को 22 पढ़ा जाए।

[सं. 3(3)/86-के. सी. आई (I)]

सी. एस. राव, संयुक्त निदेशक

(Department of Industrial Development)

New Delhi, the 1st April, 1987

CORRIGENDUM

S.O. 1020.—In the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Industry (Department of Industrial Development) No. S.O. 683(E) dated 12th September, 1986, published in the Gazette of India, Extraordinary, Part II, Section 3(ii) dated 12th September, 1986 :—

At page 2, under para 2, the figure "2" appearing in the fourth line may be read as 22.

[No. 3(3)/86-KVI(I)]

C. S. RAO, Jt. Director

पेट्रोलियम और प्राकृतिक गैस मंत्रालय

नई दिल्ली, 1 अप्रैल, 1987

क्र.आ. 1021.—यद्यः केन्द्रीय सरकार को यह प्रतीत होता है कि लोकहित में यह आवश्यक है कि गुजरात राज्य में कुप नं. करजीसान-1 से कुप नं. कुलाव-126 तक पेट्रोलियम के परिवहन के लिये पाइपलाइन तैयार तथा प्राकृतिक गैस प्रायोग द्वारा बिछाई जानी चाहिए।

और यद्यः यह प्रतीत होता है कि ऐसी लाइनों को बिछाने के परियोजना के लिये एनकुपाबल अनुसूची में वर्णित भूमि में उपयोग का अधिकार अर्जित करना आवश्यक है।

अतः अर्ब पेट्रोलियम और खनिज पाइपलाइन (भूमि में उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन) अधिनियम, 1962 (1962 का 50) की धारा 3 की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार ने उसमें उपयोग का अधिकार अर्जित करने का अपना प्रावधान एनकुपाबल घोषित किया है।

नशर्त कि उक्त भूमि में बिछाई कोई व्यक्ति, उस भूमि के नीचे पाइपलाइन बिछाने के लिए आक्षेप मसाम प्राधिकारी तैयार तथा प्राकृतिक गैस प्रायोग, निर्माण और देखभाल प्रभाग, मकरपुरा रोड, बड़ौदा-9 को इस अधिसूचना की तारीख से 21 दिनों के भीतर कर सकेंगा।

और ऐसा आक्षेप करने वाला हर व्यक्ति विनिर्दिष्ट: वह भी कथन करेगा कि क्या यह बहुत चाहता है कि उसकी मुनबाई व्यक्तिगत रूप से हो या किसी विशिष्ट व्यवसायी की मार्फत।

अनुसूची

कुप नं. करजीसान-1 से कुप नं. कुलाव-116 तक पाइप लाइन बिछाने के लिए

राज्य—गुजरात	जिला—मेहसाणा	तालुका—कज		
गांव	सर्वे नं.	हेक्टर	आर.	सेटीयर्स
1	2	3	4	5
सुतासन	853/3	0	6	30
	853/2	0	12	90
	852	0	6	90
	851	0	15	00
	काटे ट्रेक	0	0	90
	865	0	6	30
	867	0	9	60
	868	0	12	00
	880	0	6	00
	879	0	6	30
	877	0	20	80
	876/1	0	12	60
	933	0	10	50
	934	0	10	20
	933/1	0	9	00
	936	0	9	80
काटे ट्रेक	933	0	9	00
	875	0	15	90
	काटे ट्रेक	0	0	90
	645/1	0	9	30
	645/2	0	9	60
	642	0	10	50

[सं. O-12016/28/87-प्रो एन जो डी-4]

MINISTRY OF PETROLEUM & NAURAL GAS

New Delhi, the 1st April, 1987

S.O. 1021.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that it is necessary in the public interest that for the transport of petroleum from Well No. Karjisan-1 to Well No. Kulal 126 in Gujarat State Pipeline should be laid by the Oil and Natural Gas Commission.

And whereas it appears that for the purpose of laying such pipe line, it is necessary to acquire the Right of User in the land specified in the Schedule annexed hereto :—

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of the Section 3 of the Petroleum and Minerals Pipelines (Acquisition of Right of User in the Land) Act, 1962, (50 of 1962), the Central Government hereby declares its intention to acquire the Right of User therein;

Provided that any person interested in the said land may, within 21 days from the date of this notification, object to the laying of the pipe line under the land to the Competent Authority, Oil and Natural Gas Commission, Construction and Maintenance Division, Makarpura Road, Baroda-390009.

Any every person making such an objection shall also state specifically whether he wished to be heard in person or by legal practitioner.

SCHEDULE

Pipeline from Well No. Karjisan-I to Well No. Kalol-126

State : Gujarat Village : Zulasan Taluka -Kadi District : Mehsana

Village	Survey No.	Hec.	Are	Centiare
1	2	3	4	5
Zulasan	853/3	0	6	30
	853/2	0	12	90
	852	0	6	90
	851	0	15	00
	Cart track	0	0	90
	865	0	6	30
	867	0	9	60
	868	0	12	00
	880	0	6	00
	879	0	6	30
	877	0	20	80
	876/1	0	12	60
	933	0	10	50
	934	0	10	20
	935/1	0	9	60
	936	0	9	80
	938	0	9	60
	875	0	15	90
	Cart track	0	0	90
	645/1	0	9	30
	645/2	0	9	60
	642	0	10	50

[No. O-12016/28/87-ONG-D4]

का.आ. 1022.—यतः केन्द्रीय सरकार को यह प्रतीत होता है कि लोकहित में यह आवश्यक है कि गुजरात राज्य में वायर बेड से एनोड बेड तक पेट्रोलियम के परिवहन के लिये पाइपलाइन तेल तथा प्राकृतिक गैस आयोग द्वारा बिछाई जानी चाहिए।

और यतः यह प्रतीत होता है कि ऐसी ज़ाहनों को बिछाने के प्रयोजन के लिये एतदुपाय अनुसूची में वर्णित भूमि में उपयोग का अधिकार अर्जित करना आवश्यक है।

अतः अब पेट्रोलियम और खनिज पाइपलाइन (भूमि में उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन) अधिनियम, 1962 (1962 का 50) की धारा 3 की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रदान शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार ने उपरोक्त उपयोग का अधिकार अर्जित करने का अपना आशय एतद्वारा घोषित किया है।

वर्तते कि उक्त भूमि में हितवन्त कोई व्यक्ति, उस भूमि के नीचे पाइपलाइन बिछाने के लिए आक्षेप सक्षम प्राधिकारी तेल तथा प्राकृतिक गैस आयोग, निर्माण और देखभाल प्रभाग, मकरपुरा रोड, बड़ोदा-9 को इस अधिसूचना की तारीख से 21 दिनों के भीतर कर सकेगा।

और ऐसा आक्षेप करने वाला हर व्यक्ति विनिर्दिष्टतः यह भी कबल करेगा कि क्या वह वह चाहता है कि उसकी सुनवाई व्यक्तिगत रूप से हो या किसी विधि व्यवसायी की मार्फत।

अनुसूची

वायर बेड से एनोड बेड तक पाइपलाइन बिछाने के लिए.

राज्य—गुजरात जिला—साबरकाण्ठा तालुका—प्रान्तीय

गांव	ब्लॉक नं.	हेक्टर	आर	संटीयर
मोयद	937	0	1	54
	936	0	3	60

[सं. O-12016/29/87-ओ एन जी डी-4]

S.O. 1022.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that it is necessary in the public interest that for the transport of petroleum from WIRE BED to ANODE BED in Gujarat State Pipeline should be laid by the Oil and Natural Gas Commission.

And whereas it appears that for the purpose of laying such pipe line, it is necessary to acquire the Right of User in the land described in the Schedule annexed hereto :—

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of the Section 3 of the Petroleum and Minerals Pipelines (Acquisition of Right of User in the land) Act, 1962, (50 of 1962), the Central Government hereby declares its intention to acquire the Right of User therein;

Provided that any person interested in the said land may, within 21 days from the date of this notification, objection to the laying of the pipe line under the land to the Competent Authority, Oil and Natural Gas Commission, Construction and Maintenance Division, Makarpura Road, Baroda-390009.

Any every person making such an objection shall also state specifically whether he wished to be heard in person or by legal practitioner.

SCHEDULE

Pipeline from Wire Bed to Anode Bed

State: Gujarat District: Sabarkantha Taluka: Prantij

Village	Block No.	Hectare	Are	Centiare
Moyad	937	0	1	54
	936	0	3	60

[No. O-12016/29/87-ONG-D4]

का.आ. 1023.—यतः पेट्रोलियम और खनिज पाइपलाइन भूमि में उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन अधिनियम, 1962 (1962 का 50) की धारा 3 की उपधारा (1) के अधीन भारत सरकार के पेट्रोलियम

और प्राकृतिक गैस मंत्रालय की अधिसूचना का.प्रा.सं. 4637 तारीख 12-9-85 द्वारा केन्द्रीय सरकार ने उस अधिसूचना में संलग्न अनुसूची में विनिर्दिष्ट भूमियों में उपयोग के अधिकार को पाइपलाइनों को बिछाने के लिए अर्जित करने का अपना अधिकार घोषित कर दिया था।

और यतः गश्म प्राधिकारी ने उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 6 की उपधारा (1) के अधीन सरकार को रिपोर्ट दे दी है।

और आगे, यतः केन्द्रीय सरकार ने उक्त रिपोर्ट पर विचार करने के पश्चात् इस अधिसूचना में संलग्न अनुसूची में विनिर्दिष्ट भूमियों में उपयोग का अधिकार अर्जित करने का निश्चय किया है।

अब, अतः उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 6 की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्ति का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार एतद्द्वारा घोषित करती है कि इस अधिसूचना में संलग्न अनुसूची में विनिर्दिष्ट उक्त भूमियों में उपयोग का अधिकार पाइपलाइन बिछाने के प्रयोजन के लिए एतद्द्वारा अर्जित किया जाता है।

और आगे उस प्राग की उपधारा (4) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार निदेश देती है कि उक्त भूमियों में उपयोग का अधिकार केन्द्रीय सरकार में निहित होने की वजह से और प्राकृतिक गैस आयोग में, सभी प्राधायों में भूकत रूप से, वापस के प्रकाशन की इस तारीख को निश्चित होगा।

अनुसूची

शोभासन सी.टी.एफ. से जी.जी.एफ.-I तक पाइप लाइन बिछाने के लिए
राज्य—गुजरात जिला एवं तालुका—मेहसाणा

गांव	ब्लॉक नं.	हेक्टर	चार	मैट्रीयर
हेबुवा	96	0	06	35
	100	0	01	50
	97	0	00	25
	कार्ट ट्रैक	0	00	40
	99	0	06	20
	106	0	03	75
	कार्ट ट्रैक	0	00	25
	109	0	08	55
	112	0	03	50
	116	0	05	00
	117	0	02	90
	कार्ट ट्रैक	0	00	25
	167	0	01	15
	166	0	04	85
	कार्ट ट्रैक	0	00	25
	165	0	04	90
	160	0	03	60
	178	0	01	15

[नं. ओ-12016/101/85-सी एन जी-सी-4]

And further whereas the Central Government has, after considering the said report decided to acquire the right of user in the lands specified in the schedule appended to this notification;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the power conferred by sub-section (1) of the Section 6 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby declares that the right of user in the said lands specified in the schedule appended to this notification hereby acquired for laying the pipeline;

And further in exercise of power conferred by sub-section (4) of the section, the Central Government directs that the right of user in the said lands shall instead of vesting in Central Government vests on this date of the publication of this declaration in the Oil and Natural Gas Commission free from encumbrances.

SCHEDULE

Pipeline from Sobhasan CTF to GGS-I

State : Gujarat District & Taluka : Mehsana

Village	Block No.	Hectare	Are	Centiare
Hebuva	96	0	06	35
	100	0	01	50
	97	0	00	25
	Cart track	0	00	40
	99	0	06	20
	106	0	03	75
	Cart track	0	00	25
	109	0	08	55
	112	0	03	50
	116	0	05	00
	117	0	02	90
	Cart track	0	00	25
	167	0	01	15
	166	0	04	85
	Cart track	0	00	25
	165	0	04	90
	160	0	03	60
	178	0	01	15

[No. O-12016/101/85-ONG-D4]

का. प्रा. 1024--यतः पेट्रोलियम और खनिज पाइपलाइन (भूमि में उपयोग के अधिकार का अधिनियम, 1962 (1962 का 50) की धारा 3 की उपधारा (1) के अधीन भारत सरकार के पेट्रोलियम और प्राकृतिक गैस मंत्रालय की अधिसूचना का.प्रा.सं. 4212 तारीख 9-12-86 द्वारा केन्द्रीय सरकार ने उस अधिसूचना में संलग्न अनुसूची में विनिर्दिष्ट भूमियों में उपयोग के अधिकार को पाइपलाइनों को बिछाने के लिए अर्जित करने का अपना अधिकार घोषित कर दिया था।

और यतः गश्म प्राधिकारी ने उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 6 की उपधारा (1) के अधीन सरकार को रिपोर्ट दे दी है।

और आगे, यतः केन्द्रीय सरकार ने उक्त रिपोर्ट पर विचार करने के पश्चात् इस अधिसूचना में संलग्न अनुसूची में विनिर्दिष्ट भूमियों में उपयोग का अधिकार अर्जित करने का निश्चय किया है।

अब, अतः उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 6 की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्ति का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार एतद्द्वारा घोषित करती है कि इस अधिसूचना में संलग्न अनुसूची में विनिर्दिष्ट उक्त भूमियों में उपयोग का अधिकार पाइपलाइन बिछाने के प्रयोजन के लिए एतद्द्वारा अर्जित किया जाता है।

S.O. 1023.—Whereas by notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Petroleum and Natural Gas S.O. No. 4637 dated 12-9-85 under sub-section (1) of Section 3 of the Petroleum and Minerals Pipelines (Acquisition of Right of User in Land) Act, 1962 (50 of 1962), the Central Government declared its intention to acquire the right of user in the lands specified in the schedule appended to that notification for the purpose of laying pipeline.

And whereas the Competent Authority has under sub-section (1) of Section 6 of the said Act, submitted report to the Government;

और आगे उस द्वारा की उपयोग (4) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार निर्देश देती है कि उक्त भूमियों में उपयोग का अधिकार केन्द्रीय सरकार में निहित होने की बजाय तेल और प्राकृतिक गैस आयोग में, सभी बाधाओं से मुक्त रूप में, घोषणा के प्रकाशन की इस तारीख को निहित होगा।

And whereas the Competent Authority has under sub-section (1) of Section 6 of the said Act, submitted report to the Government;

And further whereas the Central Government has, after considering the said report decided to acquire the right of user in the lands specified in the schedule appended to this notification;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the power conferred by sub-section (1) of the Section 6 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby declares that the right of user in the said lands specified in the schedule appended to this notification hereby acquired for laying the pipeline;

And further in exercise of power conferred by sub-section (4) of the section, the Central Government directs that the right of user in the said lands shall instead of vesting in Central Government vests on this date of the publication of this declaration in the Oil and Natural Gas Commission free from encumbrances.

SCHEDULE

Pipeline from Dahej to Palej Line

State : Gujarat District : Bharuch Taluka : Wagara

Village	Survey No.	Hectare	Are	Centiare
1	2	3	4	5
Saran	156	0	41	00
	153	0	08	00
	152/P	0	59	00
	150/A	0	30	00
	149	0	14	00
	148	0	23	00
	147	0	22	00
	145/B	0	20	00
	286/B	0	01	00
	169	0	19	00
	170	0	47	00
	171	0	12	00
	192	0	11	00
	193	0	26	00
	410	0	08	00
	283	0	55	00
	285	0	07	00
	382/A	0	47	00
	381/B	0	14	00
	321/B	0	36	00
	320/P	0	09	00
	321/A	0	04	00
	340	0	00	20
	322/A	0	03	00
	322/B	0	22	00
	339	0	13	00
	338	0	47	00
	329	0	03	00
	330	0	34	00
	383	0	13	00
	336	0	05	00
	329	0	03	00
	330	0	34	00
	383	0	13	00
	336	0	05	00

[नं. ओ-12016/214/86-जे एन जी-डी-4]

S.O. 1024.—Whereas by notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Petroleum and Natural Gas S.O. No. 4212 dated 9-12-86 under sub-section (1) of Section 3 of the Petroleum and Minerals Pipelines (Acquisition of Right of User in Land) Act, 1962 (50 of 1962), the Central Government declared its intention to acquire the right of user in the lands specified in the schedule appended to that notification for the purpose of laying pipeline.

[No. O-12016/14/86-ONG-D4]

का.घा. 1025.—यतः पेट्रोलियम और खनिज पाइपलाइन भूमि में उपयोग के अधिकार का अधिनियम, 1962 (1962 का 50) की धारा 3 की उपधारा (1) के अधीन भारत सरकार के पेट्रोलियम और प्राकृतिक गैस मंत्रालय की अधिसूचना का.घा.सं. 4264 तारीख 27-12-86 द्वारा केन्द्रीय सरकार ने उस अधिसूचना से संलग्न अनुसूची में विनिर्दिष्ट भूमियों में उपयोग के अधिकार को पाइपलाइनों को बिछाने के लिए अर्जित करने का अपना आशय घोषित कर दिया था।

और यतः सक्षम अधिकारी ने उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 6 की उपधारा (1) के अधीन सरकार को रिपोर्ट दे दी है।

और आगे, यतः केन्द्रीय सरकार ने उक्त रिपोर्ट पर विचार करने के पश्चात् इस अधिसूचना से संलग्न अनुसूची में विनिर्दिष्ट भूमियों में उपयोग का अधिकार अर्जित करने का विनिश्चय किया है।

अब, अतः उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 6 की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्ति का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार एतद्वारा घोषित करती है कि इस अधिसूचना में संलग्न अनुसूची में विनिर्दिष्ट उक्त भूमियों में उपयोग का अधिकार पाइपलाइन बिछाने के प्रयोजन के लिए एतद्वारा अर्जित किया जाता है।

और आगे उस धारा की उपधारा (4) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार निर्देश देती है कि उक्त भूमियों में उपयोग का अधिकार केन्द्रीय सरकार में निहित होने की बजाय देव और प्राकृतिक गैस आयोग में, सभी बाधाओं से मुक्त रूप में, घोषणा के प्रकाशन की इस तारीख को निहित होगा।

अनुसूची

दहेज से पालेज तक पाइप लाइन बिछाने के लिए

राज्य--गुजरात	जिला--भरुच	तालुका--भरुच		
गांव	सर्वे नं.	हेक्टर	आर	सेंटियस
1	2	3	4	5
कारेला	18	0	30	00
	19	0	40	00
	20	0	13	00
	21	0	07	00
	22	0	20	00
	9	0	11	00
	24	0	04	00
	25	0	32	00
	26	0	09	00
	5	0	34	00
	4	0	24	00
	3/ए	0	10	00
	3/बी	0	11	00
	2	0	01	00
	काटे ट्रंक	0	04	00
	634	0	10	00
	642	0	15	00
	645	0	12	00
	641	0	32	00
	640	0	17	00
	काटे ट्रंक	0	06	00
	639	0	01	00
	काटे ट्रंक	0	02	00
	476	0	02	00
	475	0	00	10

1	2	3	4	5
कारेला (जारी)	473	0	18	00
	469	0	09	00
	470	0	07	00
	466	0	16	00
	462	0	16	00
	459	0	00	16
	457	0	26	00
	456	0	01	00
	काटे ट्रंक	0	08	00
	452	0	12	00
	451	0	18	00
	450	0	18	00
	446	0	12	00
	447	0	10	00
	441	0	12	00
	442	0	01	00
	439	0	04	00
	440	0	17	00

[सं. ओ-12018/218/86-ओ एन जी-4]

S.O. 1025.—Whereas by notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Petroleum & Natural Gas S.O. No. 4264 dated 27-12-86 under sub-section (1) of Section 3 of the Petroleum and Minerals Pipelines (Acquisition of Right of User in Land) Act, 1962 (50 of 1962), the Central Government declared its intention to acquire the right of user in the lands specified in the schedule appended to that notification for the purpose of laying pipeline.

And whereas the Competent Authority has under sub-section (1) of Section 6 of the said Act, submitted report to the Government;

And further whereas the Central Government has, after considering the said report decided to acquire the right of user in the lands specified in the schedule appended to this notification;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the power conferred by sub-section (1) of the Section 6 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby declares that the right of user in the said lands specified in the schedule appended to this notification hereby acquired for laying the pipeline;

And further in exercise of power conferred by sub-section (4) of the section, the Central Government directs that the right of user in the said lands shall instead of vesting in Central Government vests on this date of the publication of this declaration in the Oil and Natural Gas Commission free from encumbrances.

SCHEDULE

Pipeline from Dahej to Palej

State : Gujarat District : Bharuch Taluk : Bharuch

Village	Survey	Hectare	Are	Centiare
1	2	3	4	5
Karela	18	0	30	00
	19	0	40	00
	20	0	13	00
	21	0	07	00
	22	0	20	00
	9	0	11	00

1	2	3	4	5
	24	0	04	00
	25	0	32	00
	26	0	09	00
	5	0	34	00
	4	0	24	00
	3/A	0	10	00
	3/B	0	11	00
	2	0	01	00
	Cart track	0	04	00
	634	0	10	00
	642	0	15	00
	645	0	12	00
	641	0	32	00
	640	0	17	00
	Cart track	0	06	00
	639	0	01	00
	Cart track	0	02	00
	476	0	02	00
	475	0	00	10
	473	0	18	00
	469	0	09	00
	470	0	07	00
	466	0	16	00
	462	0	16	00
	459	0	00	16
	457	0	26	00
	456	0	01	00
	Cart track	0	08	00
	452	0	12	00
	451	0	18	00
	450	0	13	00
	446	0	12	00
	447	0	10	00
	441	0	12	00
	442	0	01	00
	439	0	04	00
	440	0	17	00

[No. O-12016/218/86-ONG-D4]

का.प्र. 1026. यतः पेट्रोलियम और खनिज पाइपलाइन (भूमि में उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन) अधिनियम, 1962 (1962 का 50) की धारा 3 की उपधारा (1) के अधीन भारत सरकार के पेट्रोलियम और प्राकृतिक गैस मंत्रालय की अधिसूचना का.प्र.मं. 4261 तारीख 15-12-86 द्वारा केन्द्रीय सरकार ने उस अधिसूचना से संलग्न अनुसूची में विनिर्दिष्ट भूमियों में उपयोग के अधिकार को पाइपलाइनों को बिछाने के लिए अर्जित करने का अपना आशय घोषित कर दिया था,

और यतः सक्षम प्राधिकारी ने उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 8 की उपधारा (1) के अधीन सरकार को रिपोर्ट दे दी है;

और प्राप्ते, यतः केन्द्रीय सरकार ने उक्त रिपोर्ट पर विचार करने के पश्चात् इस अधिसूचना से संलग्न अनुसूची में विनिर्दिष्ट भूमियों में उपयोग का अधिकार अर्जित करने का विनिश्चय किया है;

अब, अतः उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 8 की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्ति का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार एन० द्वारा घोषित करती है कि इस अधिसूचना में संलग्न अनुसूची में विनिर्दिष्ट उक्त भूमियों

उपयोग का अधिकार पाइपलाइन बिछाने के प्रयोजन के लिए एतद्वारा अर्जित किया जाता है;

और प्राप्ते उस धारा की उपधारा (4) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का योग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार निर्देश देती है कि उक्त भूमियों में उपयोग का अधिकार केन्द्रीय सरकार में निहित होने की बजाय तत् और प्राकृतिक गैस आयोग में सभी बाधाओं से मुक्त रूप में, घोषणा के प्रकाशन की इस तारीख को निहित होगा।

अनुसूची

क्षेत्र से पायेज तक पार्श्व लाइन बिछाने के लिए

राज्य—गुजरात जिला—भरूच तालुका—बागरा

गांव	सर्वे नं.	हैक्टर	आर	संटीयरम
1	2	3	4	5
नांदोडा	517	0	18	00
	519	0	23	00
	516	0	23	00
	514	0	37	00
	513	0	25	00
	502	0	05	00
	501	0	20	00
	479	0	07	00
	472	0	04	00
	काटे ट्रैक	0	11	00
	काटे ट्रैक	0	01	00
	459	0	10	00
	466	0	23	00
	465/ए	0	05	00
	564/बी	0	04	00
	467/ए	0	35	00
	464/ए	0	14	00
	464/बी	0	28	00
	460	0	05	00
	काटे ट्रैक	0	11	00
	457	0	06	00
	311	0	22	00
	453/बी	0	02	00
	456	0	23	00
	455	0	11	00
	454	0	24	00
	438	0	06	00

[सं. O-12016/222/86 एन जी डी-4]

पी.के. राजगोपालन, वै.स्क. अधिकारी

S.O. 1026.—Whereas by notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Petroleum and Natural Gas S.O. No. 4261 dated 15-12-86 under sub-section (1) of Section 3 of the Petroleum and Minerals Pipelines (Acquisition of Right of User in Land) Act, 1962 (50 of 1962), the Central Government declared its intention to acquire the right of user in the lands specified in the schedule appended to that notification for the purpose of laying pipeline;

And whereas the Competent Authority has under sub-section (1) of Section 6 of the said Act, submitted report to the Government;

And further whereas the Central Government has, after considering the said report decided to acquire the right of user in the lands specified in the schedule appended to this notification;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the power conferred by sub-section (1) of the Section 6 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby declares that the right of user in the said land specified in the scheduled appended to this notification hereby acquired for laying the pipeline;

And further in exercise of power conferred by sub-section (4) of the section, the Central Government directs that the right of user in the said lands shall instead of vesting in Central Government vests on this date of the publication of this declaration in the Oil and Natural Gas Commission free from encumbrances.

SCHEDULE

Pipeline from Dahej to Palej Line

State : Gujarat District : Bharuch Taluk : Wagara

Village	Survey No.	Hectare	Area	Centiare
1	2	3	4	5
Nandida	517	0	18	00
	519	0	23	00
	516	0	23	00
	514	0	37	00
	513	0	25	00
	502	0	05	00
	501	0	20	00

1	2	3	4	5
	479	0	07	00
	472	0	04	00
	Cart track	0	11	00
	Cart track	0	01	01
	459	0	10	00
	466	0	23	00
	465/A	0	05	00
	465/B	0	04	00
	467/A	0	35	00
	464/A	0	14	00
	464/B	0	28	00
	460	0	05	00
	Cart track	0	11	00
	457	0	06	00
	311	0	22	00
	453/B	0	02	00
	456	0	23	00
	455	0	11	00
	454	0	24	00
	438	0	06	00

[No. O-12016/222/86-ONG-D4]

P.K. RAJAGOPALAN, Desk Officer

कर्म मंत्रालय

(कोयला विभाग)

नई दिल्ली, 2 अप्रैल, 1987

का. प्रा. 1027:—केन्द्रीय सरकार के कोयला धारक क्षेत्र (अर्जन और विकास) अधिनियम, 1957 (1957 का 20) की धारा 4 की उपधारा (1), के अधीन, भारत के राजपत्र, भाग 2, खंड 3, उपखण्ड (ii), तारीख 23 मार्च, 1985 में प्रकाशित भारत सरकार के दस्तावेज, खान और कोयला मंत्रालय (कोयला विभाग) की अधिसूचना सं. का. प्रा. 1246, तारीख 2 मार्च, 1985 द्वारा उत्तरे संलग्न अनुसूची में तथा इससे उपावद्ध अनुसूची में विनिर्दिष्ट परिक्षेत्र में 6635.05 एकड़ (लगभग) या 2685.90 हेक्टर (लगभग) माप की भूमि की बाबत कोयले का पूर्वक्षण करने के अपने आशय की सूचना दी थी;

और उक्त भूमि की बाबत उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 7 की उपधारा (1) के अधीन कोई सूचना नहीं दी गई थी;

अतः अब, केन्द्रीय सरकार, उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 7 की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, 23 मार्च, 1987 से प्रारम्भ होने वाली एक वर्ष की और अवधि को ऐसी अवधि के रूप में विनिर्दिष्ट करती है जिसके भीतर केन्द्रीय सरकार उक्त भूमि या ऐसी भूमि में या उस पर के किन्हीं अधिकारों का अर्जन करने के अपने आशय की सूचना दे सकती है।

अनुसूची

बाह्याणी ब्लॉक

तलचर कोलफील्ड (उर्बासा)

पूर्वक्षण के लिए अधिसूचित भूमि

क्र. सं.	ग्राम	थाना	उपमंडल	थाना सं.	जिला	क्षेत्र	टिप्पणियाँ
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
1.	पद्मावतीपुर	कोलियरी तालचर	तालचर	34	धनकुला	43.13	भाग
2.	राकास	"	"	92	"	294.50	भाग
3.	शालहावनगर	"	"	93	"	293.00	भाग
4.	धुन्दावनपुर	"	"	94	"	104.85	संपूर्ण
5.	यदुमाधपुर	"	"	103	"	160.00	भाग
6.	त्रिलोचनपुर	"	"	104	"	27.00	संपूर्ण
7.	कान्धास	"	"	105	"	1464.00	संपूर्ण

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
8.	मानिकमरा	कोलियर तालावर	तालावर	110	धनकमाल	30.00	भाग
9.	काम्कील	"	"	119	"	130.00	भाग
10.	स्काटलैण्डपुर	"	"	132	"	55.00	भाग
11.	विद्याधरपुर	"	"	135	"	71.00	सम्पूर्ण
12.	रासीलापुर	"	"	136	"	12.00	सम्पूर्ण
13.	बनमासीपुर	"	"	137	"	57.00	सम्पूर्ण
14.	वनरथीपुर	"	"	138	"	8.00	भाग
15.	जेंगुटिया	"	"	139	"	26.00	भाग
16.	तासबेवा	"	"	140	"	217.00	भाग
17.	एकावसीपुर	"	"	141	"	151.00	संपूर्ण
18.	वयानिधिपुर	"	"	142	"	25.00	भाग
19.	दबसीन	"	"	143	"	91.00	सम्पूर्ण
20.	बुलारपुर	"	"	145	"	30.00	सम्पूर्ण
21.	धानन्वपुर	"	"	146	"	52.00	सम्पूर्ण
22.	बालुंगा खामर	"	"	147	"	273.00	भाग
23.	मदनमोहनपुर	"	"	148	"	200.00	संपूर्ण
24.	घनाधिपुर	"	"	149	"	152.00	संपूर्ण
25.	नरहरिपुर	"	"	150	"	540.00	संपूर्ण
26.	जिलिवा	"	"	151	"	646.00	संपूर्ण
27.	खांडुभल बहल	"	"	152	"	93.00	संपूर्ण
28.	हंसमूल	"	"	153	"	954.00	भाग
29.	धम्बामुंडा	"	"	154	"	45.00	भाग
30.	नकुलवासपुर	"	"	155	"	16.00	भाग
31.	लौंगीखोडा	"	"	156	"	65.00	भाग
32.	बालुंगा रायसी	"	"	158	"	5.00	भाग
33.	मानिक गोडा	"	"	तालावर नगर	"	3.00	भाग
				पालिका			
34.	काम्बाल (भारक्षित वन)	"	"	"	"	105.00	भाग
35.	पतुरिया (भारक्षित वन)	"	"	"	"	28.00	भाग
36.	कुलिवासपुर	"	"	"	"	50.00	संपूर्ण
37.	कृष्णाचन्द्रपुर	"	"	144	"	93.00	संपूर्ण
38.	प्रमोद प्रसाद	"	"	134	"	70.00	भाग
कुल क्षेत्र :				6635.05 एकड़ (लगभग)			
या				2685.07 हेक्टर (लगभग)			

सीमा वर्णन :

- क--ख रेखा, पद्मावतीपुर ग्राम की पश्चिमी सीमा के भाग के साथ-साथ जाती है और "ख" बिन्दु पर मिलती है।
- ख--ग रेखा पद्मावतीपुर, राकास, अल्हावनगर, हंसमूला ग्रामों से होकर जाती है और "ग" बिन्दु पर मिलती है।
- ग--घ--ङ रेखा, हंसमूला, धम्बामुंडा, नकुलवासपुर, लौंगीखोडा, बालुंगा रायसी, बालुंगा खामर, तासबेवा, गेंगुटिया ग्रामों से होकर जाती है और "ङ" बिन्दु पर मिलती है।
- ङ--च रेखा, गेंगुटिया, मानिक गोडा, तासबेवा, वनरथीपुर ग्रामों से (जो देउलबेरा कोलियरी की सीमा के साथ सम्मिलित सीमा बनाती है) होकर जाती है और "च" बिन्दु पर मिलती है।
- च--छ रेखा, वनरथीपुर, प्रमोदप्रसाद, स्काटलैण्डपुर, भीकिल ग्रामों से होकर जाती है और "छ" बिन्दु पर मिलती है।
- छ--ज रेखा, कांकिर वयानिधिपुर, मानिकमरा, पतुरिया (भा. वन) काम्बाल (भा. वन) और यदुनाथपुर ग्रामों से होकर जाती है और "ज" बिन्दु पर मिलती है।
- ज--क रेखा, बंधरखोरा की पश्चिमी रेखा के भाग के साथ-साथ (जो यदुनाथपुर ग्राम की पूर्वी सीमा और नरहरिपुर, जिलिवा, खांडुभल-बहल, हंसमूला, अल्हावनगर, वृन्दावनपुर, राकास और पद्मावतीपुर ग्रामों की उत्तरी सीमा भी है) जाती है और "क" बिन्दु पर मिलती है।

[सं. 43019/15/84--सी. ए.]

समय सिंह, प्रवर सचिव

MINISTRY OF ENERGY

(Department of Coal)

New Delhi, the 2nd April, 1987

S. O. 1027:—Whereas by the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Steel, Mines and Coal) (Department of Coal No. S.O. 1246 dated 2nd March, 1985 under sub-section (1) of section 4 of the Coal Bearing Areas (Acquisition and Development) Act, 1957 (20 of 1957) and published in Part II, section 3, sub-section (ii) of the Gazette of India 23rd March, 1985, the Central Government gave notice of its intention to prospect for coal in lands measuring 6635.05 acres (approximately) or 2685.07 hectares (approximately) in the locality specified in the Schedule appended thereto as also in the Schedule hereto annexed.

And whereas in respect of the said lands, no notice under sub-section (1) of section 7 of the said Act has been given;

Now therefore in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 7 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby specifies a further period of one year commencing from 23rd March, 1987 as the period within which the Central Government may give notice of its intention to acquire the said land or any rights in or over such lands.

SCHEDULE

Brahmani Block

Talcher Coalfield (Orissa)

Lands notified for prospecting

Sl No.	Village	Police Station	Sub-Division	Thana number	District	Area in acres	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
1.	Padmabatipur	Colliery Talcher	Talcher	34	Dhenkanal	43.13	Part
2.	Rakas	"	"	92	"	294.50	Part
3.	Alhadanagar	"	"	93	"	293.00	Part
4.	Brundabanpur	"	"	94	"	104.65	Full
5.	Jadunathpur	"	"	103	"	160.00	Part
6.	Trilochanpur	"	"	104	"	27.00	Full
7.	Kandhal	"	"	105	"	1464.00	Full
8.	Manikamara	"	"	110	"	30.00	Part
9.	Kankil	"	"	119	"	130.00	Part
10.	Scatlandpur	"	"	132	"	55.00	Part
11.	Bidyadharpur	"	"	135	"	71.00	Full
12.	Rasolapur	"	"	136	"	12.00	Full
13.	Banamalipur	"	"	137	"	57.00	Full
14.	Dasarathipur	"	"	138	"	8.00	Part
15.	Gengutia	"	"	139	"	26.00	Part
16.	Talabeda	"	"	140	"	217.00	Part
17.	Ekadasipur	"	"	141	"	151.00	Full
18.	Dayanidhipur	"	"	142	"	25.00	Part
19.	Dubline	"	"	143	"	91.00	Full
20.	Dullarapur	"	"	145	"	30.00	Full
21.	Anandapur	"	"	146	"	52.77	Full
22.	Balunga Khamar	"	"	147	"	273.00	Part
23.	Madan Mohanpur	"	"	148	"	200.00	Full
24.	Anadipur	"	"	149	"	152.00	Full
25.	Naraharipur	"	"	150	"	540.00	Full
26.	Jillinda	"	"	151	"	646.00	Full
27.	Khandual Bahal	"	"	152	"	93.00	Full
28.	Honsamula	"	"	153	"	945.00	Part
29.	Ambamunda	"	"	154	"	45.00	Part

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
30.	Nakulbasapur	155	..	16.00	Part
31.	Longijoda	156	..	65.00	Part
32.	Balunga Rayati	158	..	5.00	Part
33.	Manikagoda	Talcher Municipality	..	3.00	Part
34.	Kandhal (R. F.)	—	..	105.00	Part
35.	Paturia (R.F.)	28.00	Part
36.	Krutibasapur	50.00	Full
37.	Krushna Chandrapur	144	..	93.00	Full
38.	Pramoda Prasad	134	..	79.00	Part

Total area : 6635.05 acres
(approximately)

or
2685.07 hectares
(approximately)

Boundary description :

- A—B Line passes along the part of western boundary of village Padmabatipur and meets at point 'B'.
- B—C Line passes through villages Padmabatipur, Rakas, Alhada-Nagar, Hensamula and meets at point 'C'.
- C—D—E Line passes through villages Hensamula, Ambamunda, Nakulbasapur, Longijoda, BalungaRayati, Balunga, Khamar, Talabeda, Gengutia and meets at point 'E'.
- E—F Line passes through villages Gengutia, Manikagoda, Talabeda, Dasarathipur (which also forms part of common boundary with Deulbera Colliery) and meets at point 'F'.
- F—G Line passes through villages Dasarathipur, Pramoda Prasad, Sodarapur, Kankil and meets at point 'G'.
- G—H Line passes through villages Kankil, Dayanidhipur, Manikamera, Paturia (R.F.), Kandhal (R.F.) and Jadunathpur and meets at point 'H'.
- H—A Line passes along the part Central line of Bangarujora (which are also eastern boundry of village Jadunathpur and northern boundary of villages Naraharipur, Jillinda, Khandual Bahal, Hensamula, Alhadanagar, Brundabanpur, Rakas and Padmatipur) and meet at point 'A'.

[No 43019/15/84-CA]

SAMAY SINGH, Under Secy.

परमाणु ऊर्जा विभाग

बम्बई, 17 मार्च, 1987

का.प्रा.1028.—गृह मंत्रालय द्वारा जारी की गई तारीख 29 दिसम्बर, 1986 की अधिसूचना संविधिक आदेश संख्या 6 (ई) के खंड 2 के साथ पठित परमाणु ऊर्जा अधिनियम 1962 (1962 का 33वां) की धारा 1 की उप-धारा (3) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार अगस्त, 1987 के पहले दिन को यह तारीख निर्धारित करती है जिसको उक्त अधिनियम सिक्किम राज्य में लागू होगा।

[सं. ए० ई० ए०/1/1/84-ई आर]

एच.ए.डी. सावियान, संयुक्त सचिव

DEPARTMENT OF ATOMIC ENERGY

Bombay, the 17th March, 1987

S.O. 1028.—In exercise of the powers conferred by clause 2 of Notification S.O. No. 6(E) dated December 29, 1986 issued by the Ministry of Home Affairs read with Sub-section (3) of Section 1 of the Atomic Energy Act, 1962 (33 of 1962) the Central Government hereby appoints the first day of April 1987 as the date on which the said Act shall come into force in the State of Sikkim.

[No. AEA/1/IV/84-ER]

H. A. D. SAWIAN, Jt. Secy.

मानव संसाधन विकास मंत्रालय

(शिक्षा विभाग)

नई दिल्ली, 3 अप्रैल, 1987

का.आ. 1029.—व्यवस्थापक अनुदान आयोग अधिनियम 1956 (1956 के 3) के खण्ड 6 के उपखण्ड (1) के साथ पाठित खण्ड-5 के उपखण्ड (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार श्री आनन्द स्वर्ण के स्थान पर श्री अनिल बोर्दिया, सचिव, मानव संसाधन विकास मंत्रालय (शिक्षा विभाग) को तीन वर्षों की अवधि के लिए व्यवस्थापक अनुदान आयोग का सदस्य नियुक्त करती है।

[सं. एक. 10-18/87-डेस्क(यू)]

जे.डी. गुप्ता, संयुक्त सचिव

MINISTRY OF HUMAN RESOURCE DEVELOPMENT
(Department of Education)

New Delhi, the 3rd April, 1987

S.O. 1029.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 5 read with sub-section (1) of section 6 of the University Grants Commission Act 1956 (3 of 1956), the Central Government hereby appoints Shri Anil Bordia, Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development (Department of Education) to be a Member of the University Grants Commission for a term of three years vice Shri Anand Sarup.

[No. F. 10-18/87-Desk(U)]

J. D. GUPTA, Jt. Secy.

स्वास्थ्य और परिवार कल्याण मंत्रालय

नई दिल्ली, 30 मार्च, 1987

का.आ. 1030.—केन्द्रीय सरकार, भारतीय चिकित्सा केन्द्रीय परिषद अधिनियम, 1970 (1970 का 48) की धारा 14 की उपधारा (2) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए भारतीय चिकित्सा केन्द्रीय परिषद् से परामर्श करने के पश्चात् उक्त अधिनियम का दूसरी अनुसूची में निम्नलिखित और संशोधन करती है, अर्थात्:—

उक्त अनुसूची के भाग 1 में, "चिकित्सा शीर्ष के अन्तर्गत, आयुर्वेद और यूनानी चिकित्सा पद्धति परीक्षण निकाय, दिल्ली से संबंधित क्रम सं. 14 के सामने स्तम्भ 2, 3 और 4 में विद्यमान प्रविष्टियों के पश्चात् निम्नलिखित प्रविष्टियाँ अन्तर्स्थापित की जाएंगी, अर्थात्:—

2	3	4
"आयुर्वेदाचार्य"	बी.ए.एम.एस.	1978
(बachelor ऑफ आयुर्वेदिक मेडिसिन एण्ड सर्जरी)		

टिप्पण:—भारतीय चिकित्सा केन्द्रीय परिषद् अधिनियम, 1970 (1970 का 48) की दूसरी अनुसूची की धारा में संशोधन कर दिया गया है, देखिए:—

1. का.आ. संख्या 4068 तारीख 30 नवम्बर, 1979
2. का.आ. संख्या 2635 तारीख 18 सितम्बर, 1980
3. का.आ. संख्या 2313, तारीख 20 अगस्त, 1981
4. का.आ. संख्या 2314, तारीख 22 अगस्त, 1981
5. का.आ. संख्या 137 तारीख 29 दिसम्बर, 1981
6. का.आ. संख्या 638, तारीख 25 जनवरी, 1982
7. का.आ. संख्या 661, तारीख 2 फरवरी, 1982
8. का.आ. संख्या 973, तारीख 20 फरवरी, 1982
9. का.आ. संख्या 354(अ) तारीख 6 मई, 1983
10. का.आ. संख्या 3550, तारीख 5 सितम्बर, 1983

11. का.आ. संख्या 804 (अ) तारीख 11 नवम्बर, 1983
12. का.आ. संख्या 462 (अ) तारीख 23 जून, 1984
13. का.आ. संख्या 1911 तारीख 17 अप्रैल, 1985
14. का.आ. संख्या 2745, तारीख 29 मई, 1985
15. का.आ. संख्या 3404, तारीख 5 जुलाई, 1985
16. का.आ. संख्या 4057, तारीख 14 अगस्त, 1985
17. का.आ. संख्या 5603, तारीख 2 दिसम्बर, 1985
18. का.आ. संख्या 5671, तारीख 5 दिसम्बर, 1985
19. का.आ. संख्या 832, तारीख 17 फरवरी, 1986
20. का.आ. संख्या 1832 तारीख 16 अप्रैल, 1986
21. का.आ. संख्या तारीख 2 जनवरी, 1987
22. का.आ. संख्या तारीख

[सं. बी-26015/15/82-प.ई.]

रामस्वरूप माथुर, अवर सचिव

MINISTRY OF HEALTH & FAMILY WELFARE

New Delhi, the 30th March, 1987

S.O. 1030.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (2) of section 14 of the Indian Medicine Central Council Act, 1970 (48 of 1970), the Central Government after consulting the Central Council of Indian Medicine, hereby makes the following further amendments in the Second Schedule to the said Act, namely:—

In Part I of the said Schedule under the heading "Delhi", against serial number 14 relating to Examining Body, Ayurvedic and Unani Systems of Medicine, Delhi, in columns 2, 3 and 4, after the existing entries, the following entries shall be inserted, namely:—

"Ayurvedacharya B.A.M.S. From 1978
(Bachelor of Ayurvedic Medicine and Surgery) onwards".

NOTE: The Second Schedule to the Indian Medicine Council Act, 1970 (48 of 1970) has been subsequently amended vide:

- (1) S.O. No. 4068 dated the 30th November, 1979.
- (2) S.O. No. 2635 dated the 18th September, 1980.
- (3) S.O. No. 2313 dated the 20th August, 1981.
- (4) S.O. No. 2314 dated the 22nd August, 1981.
- (5) S.O. No. 137 dated the 24th December, 1981.
- (6) S.O. No. 638 dated the 25th January, 1982.
- (7) S.O. No. 661 dated the 2nd February, 1982.
- (8) S.O. No. 973 dated the 20th February, 1982.
- (9) S.O. No. 354(E) dated the 6th May, 1983.
- (10) S.O. No. 3550 dated the 5th September, 1983.
- (11) S.O. No. 804(E) dated the 11th November, 1983.
- (12) S.O. No. 462(E) dated the 23rd June, 1984.
- (13) S.O. No. 1911 dated the 17th April, 1985.
- (14) S.O. No. 2745 dated the 29th May, 1985.
- (15) S.O. No. 3404 dated the 5th July, 1985.
- (16) S.O. No. 4057 dated the 14th August, 1985.

- (17) S.O. No. 5603 dated the 2nd December, 1985.
 (18) S.O. No. 5671 dated the 5th December, 1985.
 (19) S.O. No. 832 dated the 17th February, 1986.
 (20) S.O. No. 1832 dated the 16th April, 1986.
 (21) S.O. No. dated the 2nd January, 1987.
 (22) S.O. No. dated the

[No.V.26015/15/82-A]
 R. S. MATHUR, Under Secy.

(स्वास्थ्य विभाग)

नई दिल्ली, 2 अप्रैल, 1987

का.आ. 1031—केन्द्रीय सरकार ने भारतीय आयुर्विज्ञान परिषद् अधिनियम, 1956 (1956 का 102) की धारा 3 की उपधारा (1) के खण्ड (क) के उपबन्धों के अनुसरण में श्री प्रमोद बंगाल से परामर्श करके, डा. के. के. भट्टाचार्य, स्वास्थ्य सेवा निदेशक, और सचिव (पदेन) को इस अधिसूचना के जारी किए जाने की तारीख, 6-2-1988 तक आकस्मिक स्थिति पर भारतीय आयुर्विज्ञान परिषद् का सदस्य नामनिर्दिष्ट किया है।

अतः अब उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 2 की उपधारा (1) के उपबन्धों के अनुसरण में केन्द्रीय सरकार भारत के स्वास्थ्य मंत्रालय की 6 जनवरी, 1960 की अधिसूचना संख्या का. आ. 138 में निम्नलिखित और संशोधन करती है, अर्थात्:—

उक्त अधिसूचना में "धारा 3 की उपधारा (1) के खण्ड (क) के अधीन नामनिर्दिष्ट शीर्ष के अन्तर्गत क्रम संख्या 10 के सामने स्तम्भ 3 में की प्रविष्टि के स्थान पर निम्नलिखित प्रविष्टि रखी जाएगी, अर्थात्:—

"डा. के. के. भट्टाचार्य,
 स्वास्थ्य सेवा निदेशक, और सचिव (पदेन),
 स्वास्थ्य और परिवार कल्याण विभाग,
 पश्चिम बंगाल सरकार"

[संख्या बी.-11013/20/86-एम.ई. (पी.)]

(Department of Health)

New Delhi, the 2nd April, 1987

S.O. 1031.—Whereas the Central Government in pursuance of the provisions of clause (a) of sub-section (1) of section 3 of the Indian Medical Council Act, 1956 (102 of 1956) and in consultation with the Government of West Bengal, have nominated Dr. K. K. Bhattacharya, Director of Health Services and Secretary (ex-officio) to be a member of the Medical Council of India with effect from the date of issue of this Notification for a period upto 6th February, 1988 in a casual vacancy;

Now, therefore, in pursuance of the provisions of sub-section (1) of section 3 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby makes the following further amendment in the Notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Health, S.O. 138, dated the 9th January, 1960, namely:—

In the Notification, under the heading 'Nominated under clause (a) of sub-section (1) of section 3, against serial number 10, in column 3, for the entry, the following entry shall be substituted, namely:—

"Dr. K. K. Bhattacharya,
 Director of Health Services and Secretary
 (Ex-officio),
 Department of Health and F.W.,
 Government of West Bengal."

[No. V-11013/20/86-ME(P)]

का. आ. 1032—यतः भारतीय आयुर्विज्ञान परिषद् अधिनियम, 1956 (1956 का 102) की धारा 3 की उपधारा (1) के खण्ड (ख) के अनुसरण में पंजाब विश्वविद्यालय की सीनेट ने प्राचार्य के. एस. चुग को 21 सितम्बर, 1986 से भारतीय आयुर्विज्ञान परिषद् का सदस्य निर्वाचित किया है।

अतः अब उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 3 की उपधारा (1) के अनुसरण में केन्द्रीय सरकार एतद्वारा भारत सरकार के स्वास्थ्य मंत्रालय की 9 जनवरी, 1960 की अधिसूचना संख्या का. आ. 138 में निम्नलिखित और संशोधन करती है, अर्थात्:—

उक्त अधिसूचना में धारा 3 की उपधारा (1) के खण्ड (ख) के अधीन निर्वाचित शीर्ष के अन्तर्गत क्रम संख्या 9 के सामने स्तम्भ 3 की प्रविष्टि के स्थान पर निम्नलिखित प्रविष्टि रखी जाएगी, अर्थात्:—

"प्रोफेसर के. एस. चुग"

नेफ्रोलॉजी विभाग के अध्यक्ष,
 स्नातकोत्तर संस्थान, चण्डीगढ़।"

[संख्या बी. 11013 / 19 / 86 एम. ई. (पी)]

S.O. 1032.—Whereas in pursuance of the provisions of clause (b) of sub-section (1) of section 3 of the Indian Medical Council Act, 1956 (102 of 1956), Prof. K. S. Chugh has been elected by the State of Punjab University to be a member of the Medical Council of India with effect from the 21st September, 1986;

Now, therefore, in pursuance of sub-section (1) of section 3 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby makes the following further amendment in the Notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Health, No. S.O. 138, dated the 9th January, 1960, namely:—

In the said notification, under the heading 'Elected under clause (b) of sub-section (1) of Section 3', against serial number 9 in column 3, for the entry, the following entry shall be substituted, namely:—

"Prof. K. S. Chugh,
 Head, Department of Nephrology,
 P.G.I. Chandigarh."

[No. V. 11013/19/86-ME(P)]

का. आ. 1033.—भारतीय आयुर्विज्ञान परिषद् अधिनियम, 1956 (1956 का 102) की धारा 3 की उपधारा (i) के खण्ड (ख) के उपबन्धों का पालन करते हुए, कर्नाटक विश्वविद्यालय की सीनेट के. एच. बी. राजगोखर को 29 सितम्बर, 1986 से आकस्मिक रिक्ति पर भारतीय आयुर्विज्ञान परिषद् का सदस्य निर्वाचित किया है।

अतः अब उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 3 की उपधारा (i) के उपबन्धों के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार भारत सरकार के स्वास्थ्य मंत्रालय की 9 जनवरी, 1960 की अधिसूचना संख्या का. आ. 138 में निम्नलिखित और संशोधन करती है, अर्थात्:—

उक्त अधिसूचना में "धारा 3 की उपधारा (i) के खण्ड (ख) के अधीन निर्वाचित शीर्ष के अन्तर्गत क्रम संख्या 4 और उसके संबंधित प्रविष्टियों के स्थान पर निम्नलिखित क्रम संख्या और प्रविष्टि रखी जाएगी अर्थात्:—

"4. डा. एच. बी. राजगोखर,
 आयुर्विज्ञान के प्राचार्य और
 प्राचार्य, जे. एन. मेडिकल कॉलेज,
 बेलगाव"

[संख्या बी. 11013 / 2 / 86 / एम. ई. (पी.)]

डॉ. श्रीनिवासन, अवर सचिव

S.O. 1033.—Whereas in pursuance of the provisions of clause (b) of sub-section (1) of section 3 of the Indian Medical Council Act, 1956 (102 of 1956), Dr. H. B. Rajasekhar has been elected by the State of Karnataka University to be a member of the Medical Council of India with effect from 29th September, 1986 in a casual vacancy.

Now, therefore, in pursuance of the provisions of sub-section (1) of section 3 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby makes the following further amendment in the Notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Health No. S.O. 138, dated the 9th January, 1960, namely :—

In the said Notification under the heading "Elected under clause (b) of sub-section (1) of section 3", for serial number 4 and the entry relating thereto, the following serial number and entry shall be substituted, namely :—

"4. Dr. H. B. Rajasekhar,
Prof. of Medicine and Principal,
J. N. Medical College,

Belgaum".

[No. V-110B/22/86-ME(P)]

R. SRINIVASAN, Under Secy.

पर्यटन मंत्रालय

नई दिल्ली, 30 मार्च, 1987

का. अ. 1034.—केन्द्रीय सरकार, सरकारी स्थान (अप्राधिकृत अधिभोगियों की सेवाएँ) अधिनियम, 1971 (1971 का 40) की धारा 3 द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए और भारत सरकार के पर्यटन और नागर विमानन मंत्रालय की अधिसूचना सं. का. अ. 1415, तारीख 11 मार्च, 1985 को, उन बातों के सिवाय अधिकृत करते हुए, जिन्हें ऐसे अधिकृत से पहले किया गया है या करने का लोप किया गया है, नीचे दी गई सारणी के स्तंभ (1) में उल्लिखित अधिकारी जो केन्द्रीय सरकार के राजपत्रित अधिकारी की पंक्ति के बराबर का अधिकारी है को उक्त अधिनियम के प्रयोजनार्थ सम्पदा अधिकारी नियुक्त करती है। वह उक्त सारणी के स्तंभ (2) में तत्स्थानी प्रविष्टि में विनिर्दिष्ट सरकारी स्थानों की बावत अपनी अधिकारिता की स्थानीय सीमाओं के भीतर उन्हें प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करेगा और उन पर अधिभोगित कर्तव्यों का पालन करेगा।

सारणी

अधिकारी का नाम	सरकारी स्थान के प्रवर्ग और अधिकारिता की स्थानीय सीमाएं
(1)	(2)
महाप्रबंधक (संपदा), होटल प्रभाग भारत पर्यटन विकास विभाग लि. लोधी होटल, लाला लाजपत राय मार्ग, नई दिल्ली-110003	भारत पर्यटन विकास विभाग लि. से संबंधित या उनके द्वारा पट्टे पर लिए गए सभी परिसर जो दिल्ली, चंडीगढ़ संघ राज्य क्षेत्र, जम्मू कश्मीर हरियाणा, पंजाब, हिमाचल प्रदेश, उत्तर प्रदेश, मध्य प्रदेश और राजस्थान राज्यों में अवस्थित हैं।

[सं. यू-1015/6/78-पीएस यू(टी)]

पी. सी. शर्मा, अवर सचिव

MINISTRY OF TOURISM

New Delhi, 30th March, 1987

S.O. 1034.—In exercise of the powers conferred by Section 3 of the Public Premises (Eviction of Unauthorised Occupants) Act, 1971 (40 of 1971) and in supersession of the Notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Tourism and Civil Aviation No. S.O. 1415 dated the 11th March 1985, excepts as respects things done or omitted to be done before such supersession, the Central Government hereby appoints the officer mentioned in column (1) of the Table below, being an officer equivalent to the rank of gazetted officer of the Central Government to be Estate Officer for the purposes of the said Act, who shall exercise 26 GI/87-3

the powers conferred and perform the duties imposed on Estate Officer by or under the said Act, within the local limits of the jurisdiction in respect of Public Premises specified in the corresponding entry in column (2) of the said Table :

TABLE

Designation of the Officer	Categories of Public Premises and local limits of the jurisdiction
(1)	(2)
General Manager (Estates), All premises belonging to Hotels Division, India Tourism Development Corporation Ltd., Lodhi Hotel, Lala Lajpat Rai Marg, New Delhi-110003	or taken on lease by India Tourism Development Corporation Limited and situated in the Union Territories of Delhi, Chandigarh and the states of Jammu & Kashmir, Haryana, Punjab, Himachal Pradesh, Uttar Pradesh, Madhya Pradesh and Rajasthan.

[No. U-110/5(6)/78-PSU(T)]

P.C. SHARMA, Under Secy.

संचार मंत्रालय

(दूरसंचार विभाग)

नई दिल्ली, 9 अप्रैल, 1987

का. अ. 1035.—स्थायी आदेश संख्या 627, दिनांक 8 मार्च, 1960 द्वारा लागू किए गए भारतीय तार नियम, 1951 के नियम 434 के खंड III के पैरा (क) के अनुसार महानिदेशक, दूरसंचार विभाग ने चोपडा टेलीफोन केन्द्र, महाराष्ट्र सक्ति, में दिनांक 22-4-1987 से प्रमाणित दर प्रणाली लागू करने का निर्णय किया है।

[संख्या-5/9/87-पी एस बी]

MINISTRY OF COMMUNICATIONS

(Department of Telecommunications)

New Delhi, the 9th April, 1987

S.O. 1035.—In pursuance of para (a) of Section III of Rule 434 of Indian Telegraph Rules, 1951, as introduced by S.O. No. 627 dated 8th March, 1960, the Director General, Department of Telecommunications, hereby specifies 22nd April, 1987 as the date on which the Measured Rate System will be introduced in Chopda Telephone Exchange, Maharashtra Circle.

[No. 5-9/87-PHB]

का. अ. 1036.—स्थायी आदेश संख्या 627, दिनांक 8 मार्च, 1960 द्वारा लागू किए गए भारतीय तार नियम, 1951 के नियम 434 के खंड III के पैरा (क) के अनुसार महानिदेशक, दूरसंचार विभाग ने दिवानी टेलीफोन केन्द्र, राजस्थान सक्ति, में दिनांक 23-4-1987 से प्रमाणित दर प्रणाली लागू करने का निर्णय किया है।

[संख्या 5-6/87 पी. एस. बी.]

पी. आर. काररा, महायुक्त महानिदेशक-(पी. एस. बी.)

S.O. 1036.—In pursuance of para (a) of Section III of Rule 434 of Indian Telegraph Rules, 1951, as introduced by S.O. No. 627 dated 8th March, 1960, the Director General, Department of Telecommunications, hereby specifies 23rd April, 1987 as the date on which the Measured Rate System will be introduced in Pilani Telephone Exchange, Rajasthan Telecom. Circle.

[No. 5-6/87-PHB]

P. R. KARRA, Asstt. Director Genl. (PHB)

सूचना और प्रसारण मंत्रालय

नई दिल्ली, 25 मार्च, 1987

घावेष

का. भा. 1037 :—भारत सरकार के सूचना और प्रसारण मंत्रालय के आदेश संख्या एस. ओ. 3792, दिनांक 2 दिसम्बर, 1966 की प्रथम अनुसूची में निविष्ट प्रत्येक अधिविषय के उपबंध के अंतर्गत जारी किए गए निर्देशों के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार, फिल्म सलाहकार बोर्ड, बम्बई की सिफारिशों पर विचार करने के बाद एतद्वारा इसके साथ लगी अनुसूची के कालम 2 में दी गई फिल्मों को, उनके सभी भारतीय भाषाओं के रूपांतरों सहित, जिसका विवरण प्रत्येक के मामले उक्त अनुसूची के कालम 6 में दिया हुआ है, स्वीकृत करनी है :—

अनुसूची

क्रम नं.	फिल्म का नाम	फिल्म की संख्या	निदेशक का नाम	निर्माता का नाम	संक्षिप्त रूपरेखा कि क्या वैज्ञानिक फिल्म है या शिक्षा संबंधी फिल्म है या समाचार और सामयिक घटनाओं की फिल्म है या डाकुमेन्ट्री फिल्म है।
1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	मध्यप्रदेश समाचार वार्शन 33	283.47	निदेशक, सूचना और जनसंपर्क मध्य प्रदेश, भोपाल		समाचार और सामयिक घटनाओं की फिल्म (मध्य प्रदेश सकिट में प्रदर्शन के लिए)
2.	महाराष्ट्र भूत संख्या 402	310.00	सूचना और जनसंपर्क, महानिदेशालय, महाराष्ट्र सरकार, 68 तारखे रोड, फिल्म सेंटर, बम्बई—400034		समाचार और सामयिक घटनाओं की फिल्म। (महाराष्ट्र सकिट में प्रदर्शन के लिए)
3.	वार्ता करंजिनी संख्या 67	332.23	आंध्र प्रदेश राज्य फिल्म विकास निगम, 11-5-423/1, जकरवारा, लकड़ी का पुल, हैदराबाद		समाचार और सामयिक घटनाओं की फिल्म (आंध्र प्रदेश सकिट में प्रदर्शन के लिए)
4.	प्रत्यक्ष प्रारूप	294.44	सूचना और जनसंपर्क निदेशक, उत्तर प्रदेश सरकार, लखनऊ		डाकुमेन्ट्री (फिल्म उत्तर प्रदेश सकिट में प्रदर्शन के लिए)
5.	मध्यम भोजन योजना	329.18	महायक सूचना निदेशक (फिल्म), रामनाथ शोध लेबोरेट्रीज लि., 77, डा. ए. बी. रोड, बर्ली, बम्बई—400018	निदेशक, सूचना गुजरात सरकार, सचिवालय, ब्लॉक-7, गांधी नगर	डाकुमेन्ट्री फिल्म (गुजरात सकिट में प्रदर्शन के लिए)
6.	ए. विधि रीति	598.92	अमर हजारीका, निजारापार, गुवाहाटी, असम	अरुणाचल फिल्म सोसायटी, इटानगर, अरुणाचल प्रदेश	डाकुमेन्ट्री फिल्म (सामान्य प्रदर्शन के लिए)
7.	टूगेदर फारएवर	600.00	फिल्म प्रभाव, 24 पैडर रोड, बम्बई—400026		सामान्य प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और डाकुमेन्ट्री फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत
8.	स्वतंत्रता से सम्झि तक	293.52	घोरेश्वर पांडे, सैसर् बम्बई फिल्म लेबोरेट्रीज, 149, एस. के. बोले रोड, बम्बई—28	निदेशक, सूचना और जनसंपर्क, उत्तर प्रदेश सरकार, लखनऊ	उत्तर प्रदेश सकिट में प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और डाकुमेन्ट्री फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत
9.	हरिश् चानि	289.56	जयराम चतुर्वेदी, मार्फत बंबई फिल्म लेब., 199 एस. के. बोले रोड, बाबर, बम्बई—400028	—तैय्य—	—तैय्य—
10.	महिती चित्र सं. 424	243.84	महायक सूचना निदेशक (फिल्म), गुजरात सरकार, रामनाथ शोध, लेबोरेट्रीज, लि., डा. ए. बी. रॉय रोड, बर्ली, बम्बई—400018	निदेशक, सूचना/गुजरात सरकार, सचिवालय, ब्लॉक—7, गांधी नगर	गुजरात सकिट में प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और समाचार और सामयिक घटनाओं की फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत
11.	उत्थान	487.66	—तैय्य—	—तैय्य—	गुजरात सकिट में प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और डाकुमेन्ट्री फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।

1	2	3	4	5	6
12. श्री कामराज	533.1	श्री कृष्णास्वामी, एसोसिएशन प्रा. लि., महत्मा गांधी रोड, शास्त्री मार्ग, मद्रास—600041			सामान्य प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।
13. जागृता न्यायपी साबार	452.32	सहायक सूचना निदेशक, (फिल्म), गुजरात सरकार, रामनाथ शोध लेबोरेट्रीज लि., 7, डा. ऐनी बेसेंट रोड, वर्ली, बम्बई—400018	निदेशक, सूचना, गुजरात सरकार, सचिवालय, ब्लॉक-7, गांधी नगर।		गुजरात सर्किट में प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।
14. जवाबदारकी	411.48	—तदैव—	—तदैव—	—तदैव—	
15. नई मेवाए	296.88	घोरेन्द्र पांडे, मार्फत बम्बई फिल्म लेबोरेट्रीज, 149, एस. के. बोले रोड, बम्बई—400028	घोरेन्द्र पांडे, निर्माता, न्यूजरील, सूचना और जनसंपर्क निदेशालय, उत्तर प्रदेश सरकार, लखनऊ		उत्तर प्रदेश के सर्किट के लिए स्वीकृत और डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।
16. विकास अभियान	296.57	—तदैव—	—तदैव—	—तदैव—	
17. पानियां	412.00	श्री के. एम. बोस, बी-67 (एफ-6) पी. एस. आर., हैदराबाद—500028			भारत प्रदेश सर्किट के लिए स्वीकृत और डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।
18. सीक्रेट आफ हैपीनेस	99.00	फिल्म प्रभाग, भारत सरकार, 24, पैडर रोड, बम्बई—400026			सामान्य प्रदर्शन के लिए और डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।
19. भावति	501.39	—तदैव—	—तदैव—	—तदैव—	
20. द फोर स्टैप्स	291.00	—तदैव—	—तदैव—	—तदैव—	
21. सरल विश्वास	285.29	रमेश त्रिपाठी, मार्फत, बम्बई फिल्म लैब, 149, एस. के. बोले रोड, सावर बम्बई—28	निदेशक सूचना और जनसंपर्क, उत्तर प्रदेश सरकार, लखनऊ		उत्तर प्रदेश सर्किट के लिए स्वीकृत और समाचार और सामयिक घटनाओं की फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।
22. उत्तर प्रदेश समाचार 119	285.29	घोरेन्द्र पांडे, निदेशक सूचना और जनसंपर्क, भारत सरकार, लखनऊ			उत्तर प्रदेश सर्किट के लिए स्वीकृत और समाचार और सामयिक घटनाओं की फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।
23. महिति चित्र संख्या 425	274.32	सहायक सूचना निदेशक (फिल्म), गुजरात सरकार, रामनाथ शोध लेबोरेट्रीज लि., 77 डा. ऐनी बेसेंट रोड, वर्ली, बम्बई—400018	निदेशक, सूचना, गुजरात सरकार सचिवालय, ब्लॉक-8, गांधी नगर।		गुजरात सर्किट के लिए स्वीकृत और समाचार और सामयिक घटनाओं की फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत
24. कास मोनाटास विजिट स्टार सिटी	152.00	श्री अनिल टारे, संयुक्त प्रबन्धक निदेशक, फिल्म सिटी गोरगांव (पूर्व) बम्बई—400065			महाराष्ट्र सर्किट के लिए स्वीकृत और डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।
25. भारतरत्नम आफ महात्मा	285.00	फिल्म प्रभाग, भारत सरकार 24, पैडर रोड, बम्बई—400026			सामान्य प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।
26. वार्ता तरंगिनी संख्या 69	237.74	भारत प्रदेश फिल्म विकास निगम लि. 11-5-423/1, जफर बाग, लकड़ी का पुल, हैदराबाद—500004			भारत प्रदेश सर्किट के लिए स्वीकृत और समाचार और सामयिक घटनाओं की फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत
27. वार्ता तरंगिनी 68	274.32	—तदैव—	—तदैव—	—तदैव—	

1	2	3	4	5	6
28. सहिति चित्र संख्या 126	262.13	सहायक सूचना निदेशक (फिल्म), गुजरात सरकार, रामनाथ शोध लेबोरेट्रीज लि., डा. ऐनी बेसेन्ट रोड, बर्ली, बम्बई-18	निदेशक, सूचना गुजरात सरकार मन्त्रालय, ब्लॉक 7, गार्डी नगर	गुजरात सर्किट के लिए स्वीकृत और समाचार और सामयिक घटनाओं की फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।	
29. बिहार समाचार चित्र सं. 34	254.81	श्री झा, फिल्म संपादक, सूचना और जनसंपर्क विभाग, बिहार सरकार, पटना।	निदेशक, जन संपर्क, बिहार सरकार, पटना।	बिहार सर्किट के लिए स्वीकृत और समाचार सामयिक घटनाओं की फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।	
30. बिहार समाचार चित्र सं. 35	178.92	-तदैव-	-तदैव-	-तदैव-	
31. चलो शाकाप	588.26	सहायक निदेशक, रामनाथ शोध लेबोरेट्रीज लि. डा. ऐनी बेसेन्ट रोड, बर्ली, बम्बई-400018	गुजरात सरकार	गुजरात सर्किट के लिए स्वीकृत और डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।	
32. महाराष्ट्र समाचार संख्या 403	280.00	सूचना और जन संपर्क महानिदेशालय महाराष्ट्र सरकार, 68-तारदेव रोड, बम्बई-400034		महाराष्ट्र सरकार के लिए स्वीकृत और समाचार और सामयिक घटनाओं की फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।	
33. श्रद्धांजलि	218.17	श्री झा, फिल्म संपादक, बिहार सरकार, सूचना और जनसंपर्क विभाग, भारत सरकार	निदेशक, सूचना और जनसंपर्क बिहार सरकार।	बिहार सर्किट के लिए स्वीकृत और डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत	
34. देशरत्न डा. राजेन्द्र प्रसाद	220.28	श्री झा, फिल्म संपादक, बिहार सरकार, सूचना और जनसंपर्क विभाग बिहार सरकार, पटना		सामान्य प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत	
35. बिहार समाचार चित्र सं. 36	219.46	श्री झा, फिल्म संपादक, बिहार सरकार, सूचना और जनसंपर्क विभाग, बिहार सरकार, पटना।	श्री झा, फिल्म संपादक, बिहार सरकार, सूचना और जनसंपर्क विभाग, बिहार सरकार, पटना।	बिहार सर्किट में प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और समाचार और सामयिक घटनाओं की फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।	
36. श्रुति और वर्तमान का संगम	289.25	बी. प्रभाकर, बी 62, फ्लावर बंगला, बी. देवई रोड, अर्धेरा बम्बई-58	श्री बी.बी. शुक्ला, फिल्म निर्माता, सूचना और जनसंपर्क निदेशालय, उत्तर प्रदेश सरकार।	उत्तर प्रदेश सर्किट में प्रदर्शन के लिए और डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।	
37. गरीबी के विरुद्ध मार्च	301.44	श्री जयराम चतुर्वेदी, फिल्म निर्माता, निदेशक, सूचना और जनसंपर्क, उत्तर प्रदेश सरकार, लखनऊ	-तदैव-	-तदैव-	
38. पूर्ण स्वराज्य	541.32	फिल्म प्रस्ताव, 24 पैडर रोड, बम्बई-400026		सामान्य प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।	
39. सुशिये	554.54	एम.पी. मुकुमारन नायर मानापरासपिल, न्यूजी बलय गारा मोनदूर, कोट्टायम, केरल-1	-तदैव-	-तदैव-	

1	2	3	4	5	6
40. वार्ता तरंगिनी संख्या 71	306.30	आंध्र प्रदेश राज्य फिल्म विकास निगम लि., 11-5-423/1, जफर बाग, लकड़ी का पुल, हैदराबाद-4			आंध्र प्रदेश सकिट के लिए स्वीकृत और समाचार और सामयिक घटनाओं की फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।
41. वार्ता तरंगिनी संख्या 70	288.10	आंध्र प्रदेश राज्य, फिल्म विकास निगम लि. 11-5-423/1, जफरबाग, लकड़ी का पुल, हैदराबाद-4			आंध्र प्रदेश सकिट के लिए स्वीकृत और समाचार और सामयिक घटनाओं की फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत
42. गैरियर आफ फांटी	488.10	फिल्म प्रभाग, 24 पैडर रोड, बम्बई-400026			सामान्य प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।
43. महिति चित्र 427	77	महायक सूचना निदेशक (फिल्म), गुजरात सरकार, रामनाई गोध लेबोरेट्रीज लि., डा. ऐनी बेसेंट रोड, बम्बई-18	सूचना निदेशक, गुजरात सरकार सचिवालय ब्लॉक 7, गांधी नगर		गुजरात सकिट के लिए स्वीकृत और समाचार और सामयिक घटनाओं की फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।
44. बड़ा विजयम	550.52	मैसर्स मधुसू मूवी मैकर्स, 99, साउथ वीस्ट वाश राड, टी. नगर, मद्रास-17			आंध्र प्रदेश सकिट के लिए स्वीकृत और डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।
45. भाभी की सीख	57.00	फिल्म प्रभाग, भारत सरकार, 24, पैडर रोड, बम्बई-26			सामान्य सकिट के लिए स्वीकृत और डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।
46. दी ग्रेट्हाल्ट सांचे	580.00	-तथैव-	-तथैव-		-तथैव-
47. महाराष्ट्र समाचार संख्या 404	196.00	सूचना और जनसंपर्क निदेशालय महाराष्ट्र सरकार, फिल्म सेंटर, 68, तारवेव रोड, बम्बई-34			महाराष्ट्र सकिट के लिए स्वीकृत और समाचार और सामयिक घटनाओं की फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।
48. जग उठा बाबूबास	186.46	मैसर्स फैंटीमिया, 2/10, बरबाडी निवास, 40, एम. जे. रोड, बम्बई-16			सामान्य प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।
49. सर्वे आफ इंडिया	531.34	मैसर्स कप्पा, 3 क्रूस इन अपार्टमेंट्स, वाटर फील्ड रोड, बम्बई-50	थी केव. अन्लामस, 3, क्रूस इन अपार्टमेंट्स, वाटर फील्ड रोड, बम्बई-50		-तथैव-
50. महिति चित्र 428	286.51	महायक सूचना निदेशक (फिल्म) गुजरात सरकार, रामनाई गोध लेबोरेट्रीज लि., 77-डा. ऐनी बेसेंट रोड, वर्ली, बम्बई-18	निदेशक, सूचना, गुजरात सरकार सचिवालय, ब्लॉक 7, गांधी नगर		गुजरात सकिट के लिए स्वीकृत और समाचार और सामयिक घटनाओं की फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।
51. वार्ता तरंगिनी 73	169.91	आंध्र प्रदेश राज्य विकास निगम लि. 11-5-423/1, जफर बाग, लकड़ी का पुल, हैदराबाद-500004			आंध्र प्रदेश सकिट के लिए स्वीकृत और समाचार और सामयिक घटनाओं की फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।
52. सुखद साधन	62.18	फिल्म प्रभाग, 24 पैडर रोड, बम्बई-400028			सामान्य प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।
53. वार्ता तरंगिनी 72	269.91	आंध्र प्रदेश राज्य फिल्म विकास निगम लि. 11-5-423/1, जफर बाग, लकड़ी का पुल, हैदराबाद-4			आंध्र प्रदेश सकिट के लिए स्वीकृत और समाचार और सामयिक घटनाओं की फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।

1	2	3	4	5	6
54. औद्योगिक विकास	288.00	सूचना और जनसंपर्क विभाग, उत्तर प्रदेश, सरकार, लखनऊ।			उत्तर प्रदेश सकिट के लिए स्वीकृत और डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।
55. धनत ऊर्जा	293.53	—तय्यब—	—तय्यब—		सामान्य प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।
56. वृद्ध मां का	92.00	फिल्म प्रभाग, भारत सरकार, 24 पैडर रोड, बम्बई-400026		—तय्यब—	—तय्यब—
57. पारलेज एंड क्रॉकन फैक्ट्स	599.00	—तय्यब—	—तय्यब—	—तय्यब—	—तय्यब—
58. संत दास गन्	431.00	सूचना और जनसंपर्क निदेशालय, महाराष्ट्र सरकार, फिल्म सेंटर, 68 तारदेव रोड, बम्बई-400034			महाराष्ट्र सकिट के लिए स्वीकृत और डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।
58.ए. विकासानगुला संक्षेपा	483.37	मैथर्स श्री विरूमाला भाट्ट फिल्म, 10-4-503/1, श्री रामनगर कालोनी, हुमायूं, नगर, हैदराबाद-8			'प्रमावेरी चलवा' शीर्षक के अंतर्गत फिल्म की 2-8-83 को स्वीकृति के लिए मूल रूप में निफारिश की गई। प्रावेदक प्रपने पञ्च दिनांक 9-2-85 के द्वारा शीर्षक "विकासानगुला संक्षेपा," को बदलना चाहता है।
59. उमाज/समाज	347.5	ओम फिल्मस मार्केट याकुरी बंगला, मेहना रोड, साताकुज बम्बई-54	महाराष्ट्र सरकार मार्केट निदेशक (फिल्म) मंत्रालय, प्रशासनिक भवन के सामने, बम्बई-32		महाराष्ट्र सकिट में प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।
60. आचम आचाधियम	268.1	कौमुदी फिल्म कौमुदी बिल्डिंग पेडाम, बिबेन्द्रम			केरल सकिट में प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत
61. गुजरात ना बिहार धामा	565.10	सहायक सूचना निदेशक, (फिल्म) गुजरात सरकार, रामनार्ड शोध लिबोरेट्रीज लि., 72, डा. ऐनी बेसेंट रोड, बर्ली, बम्बई-400018	सूचना निदेशक, गुजरात सरकार सचिवालय, ज्लाक 7 गांधीनगर 382010		गुजरात में प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।
62. धाह्वान	335.00	सूचना और जनसम्पर्क महानिदेशालय, महाराष्ट्र सरकार, फिल्म सेंटर, 68, तारदेव रोड, बम्बई-400034			महाराष्ट्र सकिट में प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।
63. आडिनेसंस एरैस्टस एंड इलैवसंस	597.00	फिल्म प्रभाग, भारत सरकार, 24 पैडर रोड, बम्बई-400026			सामान्य प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।
64. संत कबीर	600.00	सूचना और जनसम्पर्क महानिदेशालय, महाराष्ट्र सरकार, 68, तार देव रोड, बम्बई-34			महाराष्ट्र सकिट के लिए स्वीकृत और डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।
64 ए. बाबूराव पेंटर	575.00	—तय्यब—	—तय्यब—		महाराष्ट्र सकिट के लिए स्वीकृत और डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।
65. टेसीफोन एटेचमेंट्स एंड ऐसमरीज	300.00	डी. पी. राव ऐसोसियेट्स ए 1/3, फ्लैट सं. 4, मेन रोड, बसंत नगर भद्राम-600090			सामान्य प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।

1	2	3	4	5	6
66. चै पंगरी परबाग	296.00	सूचना और जनसम्पर्क महानिदेशालय, महाराष्ट्र सरकार, फिल्म सेंटर महाराष्ट्र सकिट में प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत	68, तारदेश रोड, बम्बई-400034		
67. महिति चित्र-429	298.70	सहायक सूचना निदेशक (फिल्म), गुजरात सरकार, रामनाथ शोख सेबोरे-ट्रोज लि., 77, डा. ऐनी बेसेंट रोड, बम्बई-18		गुजरात सकिट में प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और समाचार और सामयिक घटनाओं की फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।	
68. प्रर्वाणद	316.22	के. के. फिल्म, फिल्म डिस्ट्रीब्यूशन आफ एशियन माईस्ट्रीफिक ट्रेडर्स, लखनऊ रोड-नूना।		महाराष्ट्र सकिट में प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।	
69. एकाय उपाय	38.57	—तथैव—	—तथैव—	—तथैव—	
70. डाक्टर	19.82	—तथैव—	—तथैव—	—तथैव—	
71. माटी कहे किमान मे	503.80	सूचना और जनसम्पर्क निदेशक, भारत सरकार, लखनऊ।		उत्तर प्रदेश सकिट में प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।	
72. लैन्ड रिफार्म	283.46	डा. पी. के. राजहंस टी. डी. टेलीविजन, फिल्म आफ इंडिया, पी. बी. नं. 6819, साल्ना क्रॉज (ई), बम्बई-55		सामान्य प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।	
73. श्रम एव प्रयत्ने	599.85	सहायक सूचना निदेशक (फिल्म), गुजरात सरकार, रामनाथ शोख सेबोरेट्रीज लि., 77, डा. ऐनी बेसेंट रोड, बम्बई-18		गुजरात सकिट में प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।	
74. अम्बाजी महूवा	599.85	—तथैव—	—तथैव—	—तथैव—	
75. एकता	257.75	पालीवाल पिक्चर्स, 25 अम हिलाल भन्सारा रिक्लामेशन, बम्बई-50		सामान्य प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और डाकुमेंट्री के रूप में वर्गीकृत।	
76. विनोवा भावे दि मैम	561.84	फिल्म प्रभाग, 24 पैडर रोड, बम्बई-400028		—तथैव—	
77. सुखी जीवनाने रहस्य	160.00	सी. एम. के. फिल्म, 10 बी. जीलीमिला अपार्टमेंट, नं. 1 केके बरेड, बम्बई-5		—तथैव—	
78. बहती धारा	487.68	सहायक सूचना निदेशक (फिल्म), गुजरात सरकार, रामनाथ शोख सेबोरेट्रीज लि., 77 डा. ऐनी बेसेंट रोड, बम्बई-18	सूचना निदेशक गुजरात सरकार सचिवालय, अलाक 7, गांधी नगर	गुजरात सकिट में प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।	
79. वार्ता नरगिनी 74	292.68	भारत प्रदेश राज्य फिल्म विकास निगम लि. 11-5-423/1, जफरबाग, लकड़ी का पुल, हैदराबाद-5		भारत प्रदेश सकिट में प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और समाचार और सामयिक घटनाओं की फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।	
80. बर्ष सन्निता	361.28	—तथैव—	—तथैव—	—तथैव—	
81. कस्तूरीदूतानन एन-वेरीमैट	542.00	फिल्म प्रभाग, 24 पैडर रोड, बम्बई-400026		सामान्य प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।	
82. अक्षर अक्षर	457.20	सहायक सूचना निदेशक, गुजरात सरकार, रामनाथ शोख सेबोरेट्रीज लि., 77, डा. ऐनी बेसेंट रोड, बम्बई-400018	सूचना निदेशक, गुजरात सरकार सचिवालय, अलाक-7, गांधी नगर	गुजरात सकिट में प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।	
83. सौदागरी करो	228.60	मुनीम कुमार सिन्हेरी, 152, राजेश्वर नगर, लखनऊ।		सामान्य प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।	

1	2	3	4	5	6
84. ब्रह्मती धावादी	294.44	श्री श्रीम सेन, फिल्म क्लब, 22-23, ग्राम्पन चैम्बर, तारदेव, बम्बई-34			—तथैव—
85. बिबट इटिया	570.68	फिल्म प्रभाग, भारत सरकार, 24, पैडर रोड, बम्बई-26			—तथैव—
86. महिनि चित्र-430	298.70	महायक सूचना निदेशक, (फिल्म) गुजरात सरकार, रामनाथ शोध-लेबोरेट्रीज लि., 77, डा. ऐनी बेसेंट रोड, वर्ली, बम्बई-400018	निदेशक, सूचना गुजरात सरकार सचिवालय ब्लॉक 7, गांधी नगर	गुजरात सर्किट में प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और समाचार और सामयिक घटनाओं की फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।	
87. महाराष्ट्र न्यूज नं. 405	243.00	सूचना और जनसम्पर्क महानिदेशालय, महाराष्ट्र सरकार, फिल्म सेंटर, 68, तारदेव रोड, बम्बई-34			महाराष्ट्र सर्किट में प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और समाचार और सामयिक घटनाओं की फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।
88. महिनि चित्र 431	274.32	महायक सूचना निदेशक, गुजरात सरकार, रामनाथ शोध-लेबोरेट्रीज लि., 77, डा. ऐनी बेसेंट रोड, वर्ली, बम्बई-18	सूचना निदेशक, गुजरात सरकार, रामनाथ शोध-लेबोरेट्रीज, डा. ऐनी बेसेंट रोड, वर्ली, बम्बई-18	गुजरात सर्किट में प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और समाचार और सामयिक घटनाओं की फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।	
89. भूत जादू क्या मरण लगुनि	297.50	सूचना और जनसम्पर्क महानिदेशालय, 68-तारदेव रोड, बम्बई-34	महाराष्ट्र सरकार, फिल्म सेंटर,		महाराष्ट्र सर्किट में प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।
90. हिमानल निर्माता डा. यशवन्त सिंह परमार	585.22	सरजू प्रसाद, फिल्म जनसम्पर्क और फोटोग्राफर, बिभाग/हिमाचल प्रदेश, सरकार	श्री छतर सिंह, निदेशक, जनसम्पर्क, हिमाचल प्रदेश, सरकार।		सामान्य प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।
91. प्रपंच श्याम्य महासभा	298.00	भारत प्रदेश राज्य फिल्म निगम लि., 11-5-423-1, जफरवाग, लकड़ी का पुल, हैदराबाद-4			भारत प्रदेश सर्किट में प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और समाचार और सामयिक घटनाओं की फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।
92. वार्ता तरंगिणी 76	275.91	—तथैव—			—तथैव—
93. गुजरात सागर दर्शन	590.85	महायक सूचना, निदेशक, गुजरात सरकार, रामनाथ शोध लेबोरेट्रीज लि., गांधी नगर।	सूचना निदेशक, गुजरात सरकार सचिवालय, ब्लॉक 7, गांधी नगर।	गुजरात सर्किट में प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।	
94. न्यू होरिजन	287.00	सुनील घोष, 6/12, बरखोव ब्यू फोर बंगला, अंधेरी, बम्बई-28			कर्नाटक सर्किट में प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।
95. बिहार समाचार चित्र सं. 37	194.94	श्री एम. झा फिल्म सम्पादक, बिहार सरकार, पटना।	निदेशक, सूचना और जनसम्पर्क, बिहार सरकार, पटना-15।	बिहार सर्किट में प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और समाचार और साम- यिक घटनाओं की फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।	
96. काला पानी-ए पिण्डिमेट	544.00	फिल्म प्रभाग, भारत सरकार, 24 पैडर रोड, बम्बई-400026।			सामान्य प्रदर्शन के लिए और डाकु- मेंट्री फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।
97. एकता की गुंज	230.00	जे. एन. श्रीवास्तव विश्वेश्वर कुटीर/ कुन्दरी रक्षागंज, लखनऊ-4			—तथैव—
98. जय हे बापुता	600.00	दिलीप बत्ता, टिवन फिल्म, 22/239, सिद्धार्थ नगर, 4 गोरेगांव (हब्बू), बम्बई, 400022.			उड़ीसा सर्किट में प्रदर्शन के लिए और डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।
99. विकास में महभाती	255.07	श्री एम. झा, फिल्म सम्पादक, बिहार सरकार, पटना।	निदेशक, सूचना और जनसम्पर्क, बिहार सरकार, पटना	बिहार सर्किट में प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।	
100. सम्भव	252.94	—तथैव—		—तथैव—	—तथैव—
101. बी. विम प्रिजरवे डेम कार पोस्टरिटी	369.00	सूचना और जनसम्पर्क महानिदेशालय, महाराष्ट्र सरकार, फिल्म सेंटर, 68 तारदेव रोड, बम्बई-34			सामान्य प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।

1	2	3	4	5	6
102.	महिला विजय 432	221.65	महायुक्त सूचना निदेशक (फिल्म), गुजरात सरकार, रामनाथ शोध लेबोरेट्रीज लि., 77 डा. एन. बी. रोड, वर्ली बम्बई-18	निदेशक सूचना, गुजरात सरकार सचिवालय, ब्लाक-7, गांधी नगर।	गुजरात सर्किट में प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और समाचार और सामयिक घटनाओं की फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत
103.	महाराष्ट्र न्यूज संख्या 406	265.00	सूचना और जनसम्पर्क निदेशालय, महाराष्ट्र सरकार, फिल्म सेंटर 68, लांगवे रोड, बम्बई-34		महाराष्ट्र सर्किट में प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और समाचार और सामयिक घटनाओं की फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत
104.	कसूर किंगका	551.08	शकील प्रोडक्शन, शकील खां, अशरफ स्टूडियो, महिम स्टेशन के सामने, बम्बई।		सामान्य प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत
105.	भूगर्भ जलान	252.44	ग्रान्ध प्रदेश राज्य फिल्म विकास, निगम लि., 11-5-423/1, जफरबाग, लकड़ी का पुल, हैदराबाद-500004		ग्रान्ध प्रदेश सर्किट में प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।
106.	और अंगर	298.09	बीना अकत, 1/13 गुरु नगर फोर बंगला, वर सोदा रोड, अंधेरी (दक्षिण), बम्बई-400058	दयाभाई अकत, 1/13, गुरु नगर, बंगला बरसावा रोड, अंधेरी (दक्षिण) बम्बई-400058	सामान्य प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत
107.	हू और डाई	604.00	फिल्म प्रभाग, भारत सरकार, 24 पैडर रोड, बम्बई-400026		—तथैव—
108.	कुछ छोड़ा बरभाग	410.98	महायुक्त सूचना निदेशक, (फिल्म) गुजरात सरकार, रामनाथ शोध लेबोरेट्रीज लि., 77, डा. एन. बी. रोड, वर्ली बम्बई-400018	सूचना निदेशक, गुजरात सरकार, गुजरात।	गुजरात सर्किट में प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।
109.	मध्य प्रदेश समाचार दर्शन 54	262.13	आनन्द हनुमान, भाई निदेशक सूचना और प्रचार, भोपाल।	निदेशक, सूचना और प्रचार मध्य प्रदेश सरकार, भोपाल।	मध्य प्रदेश में प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और समाचार और सामयिक घटनाओं की फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत
110.	बातों तरंगिणी 75	285.06	ग्रान्ध प्रदेश राज्य फिल्म विकास निगम लि., 11-5-423/1 जफरबाग, हैदराबाद		ग्रान्ध प्रदेश में प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और समाचार और सामयिक घटनाओं की फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत
111.	सानातो मागपू राछा	152.40	—तथैव—		ग्रान्ध प्रदेश सर्किट में प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत
112.	गुप्त दान	507.60	महाराष्ट्र एमो इंडस्ट्रीज डेवलपमेंट कारपोरेशन लि., राजन हाउस, तीसरा तल, प्रभादेवी, बम्बई-25		महाराष्ट्र सर्किट में प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।
113.	महिला विजय 433	204.22	महायुक्त निदेशक, (फिल्म) गुजरात सरकार, रामनाथ शोध लेबोरेट्रीज लि., 77, डा. एन. बी. रोड, वर्ली, बम्बई-1	निदेशक, सूचना गुजरात सरकार सचिवालय ब्लाक-7 गांधी नगर	गुजरात सर्किट में प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत
114.	मध्य प्रदेश समाचार दर्शन-55	239.57	निदेशक, सूचना और प्रचार, मध्य प्रदेश सरकार, भोपाल।		मध्य प्रदेश सर्किट में प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और समाचार और सामयिक घटनाओं की फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत
115.	स्वामी रामतीर्थ	489.51	निदेशक सूचना और प्रचार, उत्तर प्रदेश सरकार, लखनऊ		सामान्य प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत

1	2	3	4	5	6
116	फने रम्य गीतकी	295.05	सूचना और जनसंपर्क महाविशालय, महाराष्ट्र सरकार, फिल्म सेक्टर, 68, तारदेव रोड, बम्बई-400034		महाराष्ट्र सर्किट में प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।
117	मैमोरिज फाक ए मैगन, फीमिपेट एरा	599.00	फिल्म प्रभाग, भारत सरकार, 24 पैडर रोड, बम्बई-400026		सामान्य प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।
118	ब राईट थे	107.00	---	---	---
119	एन डेथोनी भोम पार	396.24	महायुक्त सूचना निदेशक, (फिल्म) गुजरात सरकार, रामनाई शोध लेबोरेट्रीज लि. 77 डा. ऐनी बेसेंट रोड, वर्ली, बम्बई-40008	निदेशक, सूचना गुजरात सरकार सचिवालय, ब्लाक 7, गांधी नगर	गुजरात सर्किट में प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और समाचार और सामयिक घटनाओं की फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत
120	पर्यावरण	499.87	श्री जयराम चतुर्वेदी, सूचना और जनसंपर्क विभाग, उत्तर प्रदेश सरकार, लखनऊ।	निदेशक, सूचना और जनसंपर्क, उत्तर प्रदेश सरकार, लखनऊ।	सामान्य प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत
121	न्यू होरिजन	287.00	सुनील घोष, 6/12 वरसोवा न्यू फोर बंगला, ग्रंथेरी बम्बई-58		---
122	बी आर वन	366.87	श्री ललिताकला पित्रवर्ष, बैकटारमन कालोनी, हैदराबाद-4		सामान्य प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत
123	कैटरवट	295.65	आन्ध्र प्रदेश राज्य फिल्म विकास निगम लि., 11-5-423/1, जफरबाग, लकड़ी का पुल, हैदराबाद-4		आन्ध्र प्रदेश सर्किट में प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।
124	महिति विज 434	176.78	महायुक्त सूचना निदेशक (फिल्म), गुजरात सरकार, रामनाई शोध लेबोरेट्रीज लि., 77, डा. ऐनी बेसेंट रोड, वर्ली, बम्बई-18	निदेशक सूचना, गुजरात सरकार सचिवालय, ब्लाक-7, गांधी नगर	गुजरात सर्किट में प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत
125	एंड बाम्बे नेवर स्लोपा	317.07	सूचना और जनसंपर्क निदेशालय, महाराष्ट्र सरकार, फिल्म सेक्टर, 68, तारदेव रोड, बम्बई-400034		सामान्य प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत
126	दो राहें	290.00	प्रकाश चव्हेरे, मार्केट एग. आर. सामंत, 3 आनन्द बाजार, कावेज रोड, बाबर, बम्बई-28	डा. अरुण नादक मानी, नासिक मेडिकल फाउन्डेशन साहूकार भवन, शिवाजी रोड, नासिक।	---
127	राष्ट्रिय आरक और दिराज	693.14	श्री बी. डी. गारमा, डी-11 कामर्स सेंटर, तारदेव रोड, बम्बई-14		---
128	मी. आर. पी. एक	454.00	फिल्म प्रभाग, भारत सरकार, 24 पैडर रोड बम्बई-400026		तदेव-
129	ओवर एस्लामिक हेस्टिज	537.00	---	---	---
130	नंड़ी बहुमानला प्रवानों स्सेप-84 (नंदी फिल्म प्रवाह-84)	420.63	आन्ध्र प्रदेश राज्य फिल्म विकास निगम लि., 11-5-423/1, जफरबाग, लकड़ी का पुल, हैदराबाद-4		आन्ध्र प्रदेश सर्किट में प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और समाचार और सामयिक घटनाओं की फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत
131	संचिका वार्ता 10	344.43	आन्ध्र प्रदेश राज्य फिल्म विकास निगम लि., 11-5-423/1, जफरबाग लकड़ी का पुल, हैदराबाद-4		आन्ध्र प्रदेश सर्किट में प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और समाचार और सामयिक घटनाओं की फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत
132	वार्ता तरंगिनी 177	265.00	---	---	---

1	2	3	4	5	6
133. नहीं नहीं	304.80	महायक सूचना निदेशक, गुजरात सरकार रामनाथ शोध लेबोरेट्रीज लि., 77 डा. एनी बेसेंट रोड, बर्ली, बम्बई-18	निदेशक, सूचना गुजरात सरकार सचिवालय, ब्लाक 7, गांधी नगर	गुजरात सकिट में प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत	
134. समृद्धि का वर्षा	490.00	सूचना और जनसंपर्क महानिदेशालय, महाराष्ट्र सरकार, फिल्म सेंटर, बम्बई-34		महाराष्ट्र सकिट में प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत	
135. टुबर्क फ्रीडम	598.05	फिल्म प्रभाग, 24 पैडर रोड, बम्बई-400026		सामान्य प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत	
136. श्रीनर कर्नाटक	153.88	राष्ट्रिय फिल्म इंटरनेशनल, मिस्त्री रोड बम्बई	मैतगिन, 107, महात्मा गांधी	कर्नाटक सकिट में प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत	
137. महिति चित्र 435	285.66	महायक सूचना निदेशक, गुजरात सरकार रामनाथ शोध लेबोरेट्रीज लि., 77, डा. एनी बेसेंट रोड, बर्ली, बम्बई-18	सूचना निदेशक, गुजरात सरकार, सचिवालय, ब्लाक-7, गांधी नगर	गुजरात सकिट में प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और समाचार और सामयिक घटनाओं की फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।	
138. बार्ता तरंगिनी 78	269.14	ग्रान्ध प्रदेश राज्य फिल्म विकास निगम लि., 11-5-423/1, जफरबाग, लकड़ी का पुल, हैदराबाद		ग्रान्ध प्रदेश सकिट में प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और समाचार और सामयिक घटनाओं की फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत	
139. डीलक्स-1	80.00	मुख्य प्रोड्यूसर, फिल्म प्रभाग, 24, पैडर रोड, बम्बई-26		कर्नाटक सकिट में प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।	
140. ए पिल ए डे	142.00	-तदेव-		सामान्य प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।	
141. मन की बात	180.00	-तदेव-		-तदेव-	
142. जी हां	77.00	-तदेव-		-तदेव-	
143. इयिया नितस शोडम	598.00	मुख्य प्रोड्यूसर, फिल्म प्रभाग, 24-पैडर रोड, बम्बई-400026		सामान्य प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।	
144. द बैलून	181.35	-तदेव-		-तदेव-	
145. द्राइ ल ऑफ टेक्स बाला	560.00	-तदेव-		-तदेव-	
146. बाबा जस्सा सिंह ग्रहसुबालिया	586.40	एशियन फिल्म, 72, जनपथ, नई दिल्ली		सामान्य प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।	
147. महाराष्ट्र समाचार संख्या 407	290.00	सूचना और जनसंपर्क महानिदेशालय, महाराष्ट्र सरकार, फिल्म सेंटर, 68 तारोव रोड, बम्बई-34		महाराष्ट्र सकिट में प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और समाचार और सामयिक घटनाओं की फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।	
148. महिति चित्र संख्या 436	213.36	सूचना, उपनिवेशक, गुजरात सरकार, धनराज महल जी.आर.एफ.एल. धनपति शिवाजी महाराज मार्ग, बम्बई-39	निदेशक, सूचना गुजरात सरकार सचिवालय, ब्लाक-7, गांधी नगर	गुजरात सकिट में प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और समाचार और सामयिक घटनाओं की फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।	
149. बार्ता तरंगिनी 79	295.66	ग्रान्ध प्रदेश राज्य फिल्म विकास निगम लि., 11-5-423/1, जफरबाग, लकड़ी का पुल, हैदराबाद		ग्रान्ध प्रदेश सकिट में प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और सामयिक घटनाओं की फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।	

1	2	3	4	5	6
150.	जिला उद्योग केन्द्र एक गाइड	280.41	श्री आसिफ खान, मीमस आर्ट फिल्मस, 146, एम. के. ओपे रोड, बम्बई-28		महाराष्ट्र सकिट में प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत
151.	महिति चित्र 437	281.94	सहायक सूचना निदेशक, (फिल्म, गुजरात सरकार, रामनाई शोध लेबोरेट्रीज लि., 77 डा. एनी बेसेंट रोड, वर्ली- बम्बई-18	निदेशक, सूचना गुजरात सरकार, सचिवालय, ब्लाक-7, गांधी नगर	गुजरात सकिट में प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और समाचार और सामयिक घटनाओं की फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत
152.	मध्य प्रदेश समाचार दर्शन 57	248.10	आनन्द झावले, कैमरा मैन, सूचना और प्रचार महानिदेशालय	सूचना और प्रचार निदेशक, मध्य प्रदेश सरकार, भोपाल	मध्य प्रदेश सकिट में प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और समाचार और सामयिक घटनाओं की फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।
153.	मध्य प्रदेश समाचार दर्शन 56	256.64	—तदेव—	—तदेव—	—तदेव—
154.	माटी तेरे रूप अनेक	298.90	श्री एच. शार. सरीन, सरीन प्रोडक्शन, 4 पोडार रोड, 612, 9249, शाहबनतला, मान्ताकुज, बम्बई-54		सामान्य प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।
155.	माहितीचित्र संख्या 438	265.18	सहायक सूचना निदेशक, गुजरात सरकार, रामनाई शोध लेबोरेट्रीज लि., 77, डा. एनी बेसेंट रोड, वर्ली, बम्बई-18	सूचना निदेशक, गुजरात सरकार, सचिवालय, ब्लाक-7, गांधी नगर	गुजरात सकिट में प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और समाचार और सामयिक घटनाओं की फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत
156 ए.	वार्ता तरंगिनी 81	240.79	—तदेव—	तदेव—	तदेव—
156.	वार्ता तरंगिनी 80	271.28	आन्ध्र प्रदेश राज्य फिल्म विकास निगम लि., 11-5-423/1, जफरबाग, लकड़ी का पुल, हैदराबाद		आन्ध्र प्रदेश सकिट में प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और समाचार और सामयिक घटनाओं की फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।
157.	उदयगिरी के शैव वैष्णव गुफा मंदिर	306.93	श्री ए. के. गौतम, मार्फत, नरेन्द्र गायक, डी-2, चंद सोमायटी, नूड, बम्बई		सामान्य प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।
158.	महाराष्ट्र समाचार संख्या 408	293.00	सूचना और जनसंपर्क निदेशालय, महाराष्ट्र सरकार, फिल्म सेंटर, 68, नारदेव रोड, बम्बई-59		महाराष्ट्र सकिट में प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और समाचार और सामयिक घटनाओं की फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत
159	4 प्रिन्टर गांधी	550.00	मुख्य प्रोड्यूसर, फिल्म प्रभाग, 24, पैडर राड, बम्बई-400026		सामान्य प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।
160	शो डाउन एट सनसेट	365.00	—तदेव—	—तदेव—	—तदेव—
161.	वार्ता तरंगिनी 82	289.63	आन्ध्र प्रदेश राज्य फिल्म विकास निगम लि., 11-5-423/1, जफरबाग, लकड़ी का पुल, हैदराबाद		आन्ध्र प्रदेश सकिट के लिए स्वीकृत और समाचार और सामयिक घटनाओं की फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।
162.	महिति चित्र 439	298.70	सहायक सूचना निदेशक, गुजरात सरकार, रामनाई शोध लेबोरेट्रीज लि., 77, एनी बेसेंट रोड, वर्ली, बम्बई-18	सूचना निदेशक, गुजरात सरकार, सचिवालय, ब्लाक-7, गांधी नगर	गुजरात सकिट में प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और समाचार और सामयिक घटनाओं की फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत
163.	आवति कालोड गुजरात	187.68	सहायक सूचना निदेशक, गुजरात सरकार, रामनाई शोध लेबोरेट्रीज लि., 77, डा. एनी बेसेंट रोड वर्ली, बम्बई-18	सूचना निदेशक, गुजरात सरकार, सचिवालय ब्लाक-7, गांधी नगर	गुजरात सकिट में प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।
164.	समने पल न्याय अपिप	490.70	—तदेव—	—तदेव—	—तदेव—

1	2	3	4	5	6
165.	पावर टू द पीपुले	447.60	मुख्य प्रोड्यूसर, फिल्म प्रभाग, 24,	पैडर रोड, बम्बई-26	सामान्य प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।
166.	मही कैगला	67.66	—तदेव—		—तदेव—
167.	घर कुल (होम वार होमलेस)	301.00	निदेशक, सूचना और जनसंपर्क, महाराष्ट्र सरकार, फिल्म सेक्टर, 68—तारदेव रोड, बम्बई-34		महाराष्ट्र मार्केट में प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।
168.	महिति चित्र संख्या 440	259.08	सहायक सूचना निदेशक, गुजरात सरकार, रामनाथ शोध लेबोरेट्रीज लि., 77, डा. एनी बेसेंट रोड, वर्ली, बम्बई-18	सूचना निदेशक, गुजरात सरकार, सचिवालय, ब्लाक-7 गांधी नगर	गुजरात मार्केट में प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।
169.	वार्ता तरंगिणी संख्या 83	289.63	आन्ध्र प्रदेश राज्य फिल्म विकास निगम लि., 11-5-423/1, अफर बाग, लक्ष्मी का पुल, हैदराबाद		आन्ध्र प्रदेश में प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और समाचार और सामयिक घटनाओं की फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।
170.	कोनबाय	585.22	श्री हरिण अमीन, क्रीसेंट हाउस, 76, डा. नायर रोड, बम्बई-400008		सामान्य प्रदर्शन के लिए और डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।
171.	धन्य धन्य शिवाजी	563.00	निदेशक, सूचना और जनसंपर्क, महाराष्ट्र सरकार, 68, तारदेव रोड, बम्बई-400034		महाराष्ट्र के प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।
172.	वार्नी प्रिटिंग	481.00	मुख्य प्रोड्यूसर, फिल्म प्रभाग, 24-पैडर रोड, बम्बई-26		सामान्य प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।
173.	महाराष्ट्र सरकार न्यूज संख्या 409	264.70	सूचना और जनसंपर्क महानिदेशालय, महाराष्ट्र सरकार, फिल्म सेक्टर, 68, तारदेव रोड, बम्बई-400034		महाराष्ट्र मार्केट में प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और समाचार और सामयिक घटनाओं की फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।
174.	महिति चित्र संख्या 441	298.70	सहायक सूचना निदेशक, गुजरात सरकार, रामनाथ शोध लेबोरेट्रीज लि., 77, डा. एनी बेसेंट रोड, वर्ली, बम्बई-18	सूचना निदेशक, गुजरात सरकार, सचिवालय, ब्लाक-7, गांधी नगर	गुजरात मार्केट में प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और समाचार और सामयिक घटनाओं की फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।
175.	प्रिय वर्णना	586.00	मुख्य प्रोड्यूसर, फिल्म प्रभाग, 24, पैडर रोड, बम्बई-400026		सामान्य प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।
176.	मैड मैड रस	59.30	गम्भू प्रसाद, 1509/38 डी एन. नगर, मधेरी, बम्बई-400058		—तदेव—
177.	इन सर्व ऑफ लाइट	81.23	श्री राजेन्द्र राजमल, मार्फन, खेरा सदन, बटोवादी, फाटनकर मार्ग, कुर्ली, बम्बई-70		महाराष्ट्र मार्केट में प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।
178.	कर्मयोगी आचार्य काका सहित कालेकर	600.00	मुख्य प्रोड्यूसर, फिल्म प्रभाग, 24-पैडर रोड, बम्बई-26		सामान्य प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म के रूप में स्वीकृत।
179.	शिवानी	304.80	राजीव मोहन, 55, इंटरप्राइज राहुल आटोमोबाइल, शंकर अपार्टमेंट, आन्ध्र आगरा रोड, थाना।		सामान्य प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।
180.	एंगोनी आफ पाटगिन ए. विलेज टू द नेशन	595.50	मुख्य प्रोड्यूसर, फिल्म प्रभाग, 24, पैडर रोड, बम्बई-26		—तदेव—
181.	पृथ्वीराज	600.00	—तदेव—		सामान्य प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और समाचार और सामयिक घटनाओं की फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।

1	2	3	4	5	6
182.	वार्ता तरंगिनी 84	297.25	ग्रांध प्रदेश राज्य फिल्म विकास निगम लि., 11-5/423/1, जफर बाग, लकड़ी का पुल, हैदराबाद-9	11-5/423/1, जफर बाग, लकड़ी का पुल, हैदराबाद-9	ग्रांध प्रदेश सर्किट में प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और समाचार और सामयिक घटनाओं की फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।
183.	नियंत्रण	600.00	महायक सूचना निदेशक, (फिल्म) सूचना निदेशक गुजरात सरकार गुजरात सरकार रामनाई शोध लेबोरेट्रीज सचिवालय, ब्लाक-7, गांधी नगर लि., 77, डा. एनी बेसेंट रोड, वर्ली, बम्बई-400018	गुजरात सर्किट में प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और समाचार और सामयिक घटनाओं की फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।	गुजरात सर्किट में प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और समाचार और सामयिक घटनाओं की फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।
184.	महिति चित्र 442	298.70	--तदेव--	--तदेव--	गुजरात में सामान्य प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और समाचार और सामयिक घटनाओं की फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।
185.	महिति चित्र 443	298.70	--तदेव--	--तदेव--	--तदेव--
186.	इंडिया एंजलॉफ स्ट्रीम	564.05	जगन मुरारी प्रोडक्शन, 105, कल्पतरु 27/1 इरुदावणे, पुणे-4	जगन मुरारी प्रोडक्शन, 105, कल्पतरु 27/1 इरुदावणे, पुणे-4	सामान्य प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।
187.	वार्ता तरंगिनी 85	306.10	ग्रांध प्रदेश राज्य फिल्म विकास निगम लि., 11-5-423/1 लकड़ी का पुल जफर बाग, हैदराबाद-4	ग्रांध प्रदेश राज्य फिल्म विकास निगम लि., 11-5-423/1 लकड़ी का पुल जफर बाग, हैदराबाद-4	ग्रांध प्रदेश सर्किट में प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और समाचार और सामयिक घटनाओं की फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।
188.	इन सर्व ऑफ फ्यूचर	594.05	श्री होनी सेठना, 72, ग्रशोक अपार्टमेंटम रुगटा लिन, ऑफ जगमोहनवास मार्ग, बम्बई-6	श्री होनी सेठना, 72, ग्रशोक अपार्टमेंटम रुगटा लिन, ऑफ जगमोहनवास मार्ग, बम्बई-6	सामान्य प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।
189.	सामान्य चित्र	532.00	मुख्य प्रोड्यूसर फिल्म प्रभाग, 24 पेडर रोड, बम्बई-6	मुख्य प्रोड्यूसर फिल्म प्रभाग, 24 पेडर रोड, बम्बई-6	--तदेव--
190.	महिति चित्र न्यूज संख्या 444	298.70	महायक सूचना निदेशक (फिल्म), गुजरात सरकार रामनाई शोध लेबोरेट्रीज लि., 77, डा. एनी बेसेंट रोड, वर्ली, बम्बई-18	सूचना निदेशक, गुजरात सरकार सचिवालय, ब्लाक 7	गुजरात सर्किट में प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और समाचार और सामयिक घटनाओं की फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।
191.	महाराष्ट्र न्यूज संख्या 410	272.00	सूचना और जनसम्पर्क महानिदेशालय, महाराष्ट्र सरकार, फिल्म सेंटर, 68, मारदेश रोड, बम्बई-400034	सूचना और जनसम्पर्क महानिदेशालय, महाराष्ट्र सरकार, फिल्म सेंटर, 68, मारदेश रोड, बम्बई-400034	महाराष्ट्र सर्किट में प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और समाचार और सामयिक घटनाओं की फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।
192.	महितिचित्र 445	298.70	महायक निदेशक, गुजरात सरकार, रामनाई शोध लेबोरेट्रीज लि., 77, डा. एनी बेसेंट रोड, वर्ली, बम्बई-18	सूचना निदेशक, सचिवालय मार्ग, गांधीनगर, गुजरात	गुजरात सर्किट में प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और सामयिक घटनाओं की फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।
193.	रेलवेज एंड मिथिक सेन्स	456.00	श्री के.डी. नयर, चिख लोक फिल्म निगम, लि., लिब्रेन्स	श्री के.डी. नयर, चिख लोक फिल्म निगम, लि., लिब्रेन्स	सामान्य प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।
194.	मरजर ऑफ प्रिन्सानी स्टेट्स एंजलॉफ टू व मेशन	600.00	मुख्य प्रोड्यूसर फिल्म प्रभाग 24, पेडर रोड, बम्बई-26	मुख्य प्रोड्यूसर फिल्म प्रभाग 24, पेडर रोड, बम्बई-26	--तदेव--
195.	मुक्ति साधन	101.00	--तदेव--	--तदेव--	--तदेव--
196.	उत्तम उपाय	101.00	--तदेव--	--तदेव--	--तदेव--
197.	दोस्ता दीशन	101.00	मुख्य प्रोड्यूसर फिल्म प्रभाग, 24, पेडर रोड, बम्बई-26	मुख्य प्रोड्यूसर फिल्म प्रभाग, 24, पेडर रोड, बम्बई-26	गुजरात सर्किट में प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।

MINISTRY OF INFORMATION AND BROADCASTING

New Delhi, the 25th March, 1987

ORDER

S. O. 1037:—In pursuance of the directions issued under the provision of each of the enactments specified in the first Schedule to the Order of the Government of India in the Ministry of Information and Broadcasting No. S. O. 3792 dated 2nd December, 1966, the Central Government after considering recommendations of the Film Advisory Board, Bombay hereby approves the films specified in column 2 of the Schedule annexed hereto in all its/their language versions to be of the description specified against it/each in column 6 of the said Schedule.

SCHEDULE

Sl. No.	Title of the film	Length of the film	Name of the Applicant	Name of the Producer	Brief Synopsis whether a scientific film or for educational purposes or a film dealing with news and current events or documentary film
1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	Madhya Pradesh Samachar Darshan 53	283.47	Director, Information & Publicity, Govt. of Madhya Pradesh, Bhopal.		News & current Events (Released in M. P. circuit)
2.	Maharashtra News No. 402	310.00	Directorate General of Information & Public Relations, Govt. of Maharashtra, 68, Tare Road, Film Centre, Bombay-400 034		News & current Events (Released in Maharashtra circuit).
3.	Varta Tarangini No. 67	332.23	Andhra Pradesh State Film Development Corporation, 11-5-423, Lakdikapool, Hyderabad.	1-Zafarbagh, Lakdikapool, Hyderabad.	News & current Events (Released in A. P. circuit).
4.	Achal Astha	294.44	The Director of Information and Public Relations, Govt. of U.P., Lucknow.		Documentary (Release in U.P. circuit).
5.	Madhyan Bhojan Yojana	329.18	Asstt. Director of information (F), Remnord Lab. Ltd. 66, Dr. A. B. Road, Worli, Bombay 400018.	Director of Information, Govt. of Gujarat, Sachivalaya, Block 7, Gandhinagar.	'Documentary' (Release in Gujarat circuit).
6.	A Nishi Marriage	598.92	Amar Hazarika, Nizarapar, Guwahati, Assam.	Arunachal Film Society, Itanagar, Arunachal Pradesh.	'Documentary' (General release)
7.	Together-Forever	600.00	Films Division, 24-Peddar Road, Bombay-400026.		Approved general release and classified as 'Document.'
8.	Swatantrate Se Samridhi Tak	293.52	Dhirendra Pande, M/s Bombay Film Lab. Ltd, 149, S.K. Bole Road Bombay-28.	Director of Information & Public Relations Govt. of U.P. Lucknow	Approved release in U.P circuit and classified as 'Document'
9.	Harit Kranti	289.56	Jai Ram Chaturvedi, C/o Bombay Film Lab., 199 S. K. Bole Road, Dadar, Bombay-400 028.	-do-	do

1	2	3	4	5	6
10. Mahitichitra No. 424	243.84	Asstt. Director of Information (F), Govt. of Gujarat, Ramnord Research Lab. Ltd., Worli, Bombay-400 018.	Director of Information, Govt. of Gujarat, Sachivalaya, Gandhinagar.	Approved release in Gujarat circuit and classified as News & Current Events.	
11. Utthan	487.66	-do-	-do-	Approved release in Gujarat circuit and classified as Docu- ment.	
12. Shri Kamaraj	533.1	Shri Krishnaswamy Associates Pvt. Ltd., 11, Mahatma Gandhi Road, Shastri Marg, Madras-600 041.		Approved general rele- ase and classified as 'Documentary'	
13. Jagya Tyarathi Savar	452.32	Asstt. Director of Information (F), Govt. of Gujarat, Ramnord Research Lab., 77, Dr. A. B. Road, Worli, Bombay-18.	Director of Information, Govt. of Gujarat, Sachivalaya, Block 7, Gandhinagar-382010.	Approved in Gujarat circuit and classified as 'Documentary'	
14. Jawabdar Kon	411.48	-do-	-do-	-do-	
15. Nayce Rekhayen	296.88	Dhirendra Pande, C/o. M/s. Bombay Film Lab., 149, S.K. Bole Road, Bombay-400028	Dhirendra Pande, Producer Newsreel, Directorate of Informa- tion & Public Relation, Govt. of U.P, Lucknow.	Approved for U.P. classified as 'Document'	
16. Vikas Abhiyan	296.57	-do-	-do-	-do-	
17. Polio	412.00	Shri K. S. Ch. Bose, B-67 (F-6), P. S. Nagar, Hyderabad-500028		Approved for A. P. circuit and classified as 'Documentary'.	
18. Secret of Happiness	99.00	Films Division, Govt. of India, 24-Peddar Road, Bombay-400026.		General release and classified as 'Document'	
19. Aahuti	501.39	-do-	-do-	-do-	
20. The Four Steps	291.00	-do-	-do-	-do-	
21. Saral Vishwas	285.29	Ramesh Tripathi, C/o. Bombay Film Lab. 149, S. K. Bole Road, Dadar, Bombay-28.	The Director of Informa- tion, Govt. of U.P., Lucknow.	Approved for U.P. circuit and classified as 'Documentary'.	
22. Uttar Pradesh Samachar 119	285.29	Dhirendra Pande, Director of Information & Public Relation, Govt. of U.P., Lucknow.		Approved for U.P. cur- cuit and classified as News & current Events.	
23. Mahiti Chitra 425	274.32	Asstt. Director of Information, Govt. of Gujarat, Ramnord Research Lab. Ltd., 77, Dr. A. B. Road, Bombay-400018.	Director of Information, Govt. of Gujarat, Sachivalaya, Gandhinagar.	Approved for Gujarat circuit and classified as News and current Events.	
24. Cosmonauts Visit Star City	152.00	Shri Anil Tare, Jt. M. D., Film City, Goregaon(E). Bombay-400065		Approved for Maha- rashtra circuit and classified as 'Docu- mentary'.	

1	2	3	4	5	6
25. Martyrdom of Mahatma	585.00	Films Division, Govt of India, 24— Peddar Road,, Bombay — 400026.			Approved for General release and classified as 'Document'
26. Vartha Tarangini 69	237.74	Andhra Pradesh State Film Development Corpor- ation Ltd., 11-5-423/1 Ziaarbagh Lakli-ka-pul, Hyderabad-4.			Approved for A.P. circuit and classified as News and Current Events
27. Vartha Tarangini 68	274.32	-do-	-do-	-do-	-do-
28. Mahitichitra 426	262.13	Asstt. Director of Information , Govt. of Gujarat, Ramnord Research Lab., Dr. A.B. Road, Worli, Bombay-18.	Director of Information, Govt. of Gujarat, Sachivalaya, Block 7, Gandhinagar,		Approved for Gujarat circuit and classified as 'News & Current Events'.
29. Bihar Samacharchitra No. 34	254.81	Shri Jha, Film Editor, Information & Public Relation Deptt., Govt. of Bihar, Patna	Director of Public Relation, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.		Approved for Bihar circuit and classified as 'News and Current Events'
30. Bihar Samachar- chitra No. 35	178.92	-do-	-do-	-do-	-do-
31. Chalo Shalaye	588.26	Asstt. Director of Information, Govt. of Gujarat, Ramnord Research Lab. Ltd., Dr. A.B. Road, Worli, Bombay-400018.			Approved for Gujarat circuit and classified as 'Documentary'
32. Maharashtra News No. 403	280.00	Directorate General of Information and Public Relations, Govt. of Maharashtra, 68, Tardeo Road, Bombay-400034.			Approved for Maha- rashtra circuit and classi- fied as 'News & current Events'.
33. Sharadhanjali	218.17	Shri Jha, Film Editor, Govt. of Bihar, Information and Public Relation Deptt., Govt. of Bihar.	Director of Information & Public Relation, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.		Approved for Bihar circuit and classified as 'Documentary'.
34. Deshratna Dr. Rajendra Prasad	220.28	Shri Jha, Film Editor, Govt. of Bihar, Information & Public Relation Deptt., Govt. of Bihar, Patna.			Approved for general release and classified as 'Documentary'.
35. Bihar Samachar- chitra No. 36	219.46	-do-	-do-		Approved for release in circuit and classi- fied in 'News and Current Events.'
36. Aatet Aur Vartaman Ka Sangam	289.25	V. Prabhakar, C-62 Flower Queen, Veera Desai Road, Andheri, Bombay-58.	Shri B. B. Shukla, Producer Film, Directorate of Informa- tion & Public Relation, Govt. of U.P.		Approved for release in circuit and classified as 'Documentary'.
37. Garibi Ke Vinodh Morcha	301.44	Shri Jairam Chaturvedi, Producer Film, Director of Information & Public Relation, Govt. of U.P., Lucknow.	-do-	-do-	-do-

1	2	3	4	5	6
38. Poorna Swaraj	541.32	Films Division, 24-Peddar Road, Bombay-400026.			Approved for general release and classified as 'Documentary'.
39. Mudi yettu	554.54	M. P. Sukumaran Nair, Manaparampil, Noozhiblam Gara, Neendoor, Kottayam, Kerala-1.			-do-
40. Vartha Tarangini 71	300.30	Andhra Pradesh State Film Development Corporation Ltd., 11-5-423/1, Zafarbagh, Lakdi-Ka-pul, Hyderabad-4.			Approved for A.P. circuit and classified as 'News and Current Events'.
41. Vartha Tarangini 70	288.10	-do-			-do-
42. A Year of Plenty	488.00	Films Division, 24-Peddar Road, Bombay-400026.			Approved for general release and classified as 'Documentary'.
43. Mahitichitra 427		Asstt. Director of Information, Govt. of Gujarat, Ramnord Research Lab. Ltd., 77, Dr. A. B. Road, Worli, Bombay-18.	Director of Information, Govt. of Gujarat, Sachivalaya, Gandhinagar.		Approved for Gujarat circuit and classified 'News and Current Events'.
44. Vara Vikrayam	550.52	M/s. Lakshi Movie Makers, 99, South West Boag Road., T. Nagar, Madras-17.			Approved for Andhra Pradesh circuit and classified 'Documentary'.
45. Bhabhi Ki Seekh	57.00	Films Division, Government of India, 24-Peddar Road, Bombay-26.			Approved for general circuit and classified as 'Documentary'.
46. The Great Salt March	580.00	-do-			-do-
47. Maharashtra News No. 404	196.00	Directorate General of Information and Public Relation, Govt. of Maharashtra, Film Centre, 68, Tardeo Road, Bombay-34.			Approved for Maharashtra circuit and classified 'News & Current Events'.
48. Jaag Utha Babulal	186.46	M/s. Fantasia, 2/10, Barkhandi Nivas, 40, Teckalwadi, L. J. Road, Bombay-46.			Approved for general release and classified as 'Documentary'.
49. Survey of India	531.34	M/s. Kappa, 3, Cool in Apts., Waterfield Road, Bombay-50.	Shri Keith Allams, 3, Cool in Apts., Waterfield Road., Bombay-50.		-do-
50. Mathitichitra-428	286.51	Asstt. Director of Information, Govt. of Gujarat, Ramnord Research Lab. Ltd., 77, Dr. A. B. Road, Worli, Bombay-18.	Director of Information, Gujarat, Govt. of Gujarat, Sachivalaya, Block 7, Gandhinagar.		Approved for Gujarat circuit and classified 'News and Current Events'.

1	2	3	4	5	6
51. Varta Tarangini-73	269.81	Andhra Pradesh State Film Development Corporation Ltd., 11-5-423/1 Zafarbagh, Lakdi Ka Pul, Hyderabad-500004.		Approved for A.P. circuits and classified as 'News and Current Events.'	
52. Sukhad Sadhan	62.18	Films Division 24-Peddar Road, Bombay-400 026.		Approved for general release and classified as 'Documentary.'	
53. Vartha Tarangini-72	269.81	Andhra Pradesh State Film Development Corporation Ltd., 11-5-423/1, Zafarbagh, Lakdi Ka Pul, Hyderabad-4.		Approved for A. P. circuits and classified as 'News and Current Events.'	
54. Audyogic Vikas	288.00	Deptt. of Information & Public Relation, Govt. of U.P. Lucknow.		Approved for U. P. circuits and classified as 'Documentary.'	
55. Anant Urja	293.53	-do-		Approved for general release and classified as 'Documentary.'	
56. Doodh Ma Ka	92.00	Films Division, Government of India, 24-Peddar, Road, Bombay-400 026.		-do-	
57. Parleys and broken Pacts	599.00	-do-		-do-	
58. Sant Das Ganu	431.00	Directorate General of Information & Public Relation, Govt. of Maharashtra, Film Centre, 68, Tardeo Road, Bombay-400 034.		Approved for Maharashtra circuit and classified as 'Documentary.'	
58A. Vikalangula Sankshema	483.37	M/s. Sree Thirumala Art Films, 10-4-503/1, Sriramnagar Colony, Humayunnagar, Hyderabad-8.		The Film was originally recommended for approve at 2-8-83 under title "Amma Chethi Chala" The application vide his letter dated 9-2-85 wants change the title as "Vikalangula Sankshema."	
59. Umaj/Samaj	347.5	Oum-films C/o. Thakuri Bunglow, Mehta Road, Santacruz Bombay-54.	Maharashtra Govt. C/o. Director (Films) Opp. Mantralaya Administrative Building, Bombay-32.	Approved for release in Maharashtra circuit and classified as 'Documentary'	
60. AchumAchadiyum	268.1	Kaumudi Films Kaumudi Building, Pettam, Trivandrum.		Approved for release in Kerala circuits and classified as 'Documentary'.	
61. Gujarat Na Vihardhama	565.10	Asstt. Director of Information Govt. of Gujarat, Ramnord Research Lab., 77, Dr. A. B. Road, Bombay-18.	Director of Information, Govt. of Gujarat, Sachivalaya, Block 7, Gandhinagar.	Approved for release in Gujarat circuit and classified as 'Documentary.'	

1	2	3	4	5
62.	Awhan	335.00	Director General of Information & Public Relations, Govt. of Maharashtra, Film Centre, 68, Tardeo Road, Bombay-400 034.	Approved for release in Maharashtra circuit and classified as 'Documentary'.
63.	Ordinances Arrests and Elections.	597.00	Films Division, Govt. of India, 24-Peddar Road, Bombay-400 026.	Approved for general release and classified as 'Documentary'.
64.	Sant Kabir	600.00	Director General of Information & Public Relation, Govt. of Maharashtra, 68, Tardeo Road, Bombay-34.	-do-
65.	Baburao Painter	575.00	-do-	-do-
				Approved for Maharashtra circuit and classified as 'Documentary'.
66.	Telephone Attachments & Accessories	300.00	D. P. Rao Associates, , A 1/3, Flat No. 4, Main Road, Besant Nagar, Madras-600 090.	Approved for general release and classified as 'Documentary'.
66.	Ghe Bharari Pankhara	296.00	Directorate General of Information & Public Relation, Govt. of Maharashtra, Film Centre, 68, Tardeo Road, Bombay-400 034.	Approved for release in Maharashtra circuit and classified as 'Documentary'.
67.	Mahitichitra-429	298.70	Asstt. Director of Information, Govt of Gujarat, Ramnord Research Lab. Ltd., 77, Dr. A. B. Road, Bombay-18.	Approved for release in Gujarat Circuit and classified as 'News and Current Events.'
68.	Aashirvad	316.22	K.K. Films, Films Division of Asian Scientific Traders Laxmi, Poona.	Approved for release in Maharashtra circuit and classified as 'Documentary'.
69.	Ekach Upay	36.57	-do-	-do-
70.	Doctor	19.82	-do-	-do-
71.	Maati Kahe Kissanse	503.80	Director of Information & Public Relations, Govt. of U.P. Lucknow.	Approved for release in U.P. circuit and classified as 'Documentary.'
72.	Land Reforms	283.46	Dr. P.K. Rajhuns DD Television Films of India PB No. 6819 Santa Cruz (E), Bombay-55	Approved for general release and classified as 'Documentary'.
73.	Shram Eva Jayate	599.85	Asstt. Director of Information, Govt. of Gujarat, Ramnord Research Lab., Ltd. 77 Dr. A.B. Road, Bombay-18.	Approved for release in Gujarat circuit and classified as 'Documentary'.
74.	Ambaji Ahwa 9911	599.85	-do-	-do-
75.	Ekata	257.75	Paliwal Pictures, 25 Al Hilal, Bandra Reclamation, Bombay-50.	Approved for general release and classified as 'Documentary'.
76.	Vinoba Bhawe-The Mar	561.84	Films Division, 24-Peddar Road, Bombay-400 026.	-do-
77.	Sukhi Jeevanache Rahasaya	160.00	C.M.K. Films, 10B, Jolly Mitra Apt. No. 1. Cuff Parade Bombay-5.	-do-

1	2	3	4	5	6
78. Vahati Dhara	487.68	Asstt. Director of Information, Govt. of Gujarat, Ramnord Research Lab., 77, Dr. A.B. Road, Bombay-18.	Director of Information, Govt. of Gujarat, Sachivalaya Block 7, Gandhinagar.	Approved for release in Gujarat circuit and classified as 'Documentary'.	
79. Vartha Tarangini No. 74	292.68	Andhra Pradesh State Film Development Corporation Ltd., 11-5-423/1, Zafarbagh, Lakdi-Ka-Pul, Hyderabad-5.		Approved for release in A. circuit and classified as 'News and Current Events'.	
80. Vartha Sanchita	361.28	-do-	-do-	-do-	
81. Constitutional Experiment	542.00	Films Division 24-Peddar Road, Bombay-400 026.		Approved for general release and classified as 'Documentary'.	
82. Akshar Brahm	457.20	Asstt. Director of Information, Govt. of Gujarat, Ramnord Research Lab. Ltd., 77 Dr. A.B. Road, Bombay-18.	Director of Information, Govt. of Gujarat, Sachivalaya Block 7, Gandhinagar.	Approved for release in Gujarat circuit and classified as 'Documentary'.	
83. Souda Band Karo	228.60	Susheel Kumar Trivedi, 152, Rajendra Nagar, Lucknow.		Approved for general release and classified as 'Documentary'.	
84. Badhti Aas baadi	294.44	Shri Bhim Sen, Climb Films, 22-23 Arun Chamber, Tardeo, Bombay-34.		-do-	
85. Quit India	570.68	Films Division, Govt. of India, 24-Peddar Road, Bombay-26.		-do-	
86. Mahitichitra 430	298.70	Asstt. Director of Information, Govt. of Gujarat, Ramnord Research Lab. Ltd. 77, Dr. A.B. Road, Bombay-18.	Director of Information, Govt. of Gujarat, Sachivalaya, Block 7, Gandhinagar	Approved for release in Gujarat circuit and classified as 'News and Current Events.'	
87. Maharashtra News No. 405	243.00	Directorate General of Information & Public Relation, Govt. of Maharashtra, Film Center, -68, Tardeo Road, Bombay-34.		Approved for release in Maharashtra circuits and classified as 'News and Current Events'.	
88. Mahitichitra 431	274.32	Asstt. Director of Information, Govt. of Gujarat, Ramnord Research Lab. Ltd. 77, Dr. A.B. Road, Worli, Bombay-18.	Director of Information, Govt. of Gujarat, Ramnord Research Lab. Ltd. Dr. A.B. Road, Worli, Bombay-18.	Approved for release in Gujarat circuit and classified as 'News and Current Events'.	
89. June Jayudya Marana Laguni	297.50	Directorate General of Information, & Public Relations, Govt. of Maharashtra, Film Center, 68, Tardeo Road, Bombay-34.		Approved for release in Maharashtra circuit and classified as 'Documentary'.	

1	2	3	4	5	6
90.	Himachal Nirmata Dr. Yeshwant Singh Parmar	585.22	Sarju Prasad Film and Photographer, Deptt. of Public Relations, Govt. of Himachal Pradesh.	Shri Chatar Singh, Director, Public Relations, Govt. of Himachal Pradesh.	Approved for general release and classified as 'Documentary'.
91.	Prapanch Hasya Mahasabha	288.00	Andhra Pradesh State Film Development Corporation Ltd., 11-5-423/1, Zafarbagh, Lakdi-Ka- Pul, Hyderabad-4.		Approved for release in A.P. circuit and classi- fied as 'News and Current Events'.
92.	Varata Tarangini 76	275.91	-do-	-do-	-do-
93.	Gujarat Sagar Darshan	599.85	Asstt. Director of Information, Govt. of Gujarat, Ramnord Research Lab. Ltd. Gandhinagar.	Director of Information, Govt. of Gujarat, Sachivalaya Block 7, Gandhinagar.	Approved for release in Gujarat circuit and classified as 'Documen- tary'.
94.	New Horizen	287.00	Sunil Ghosh, 6/12, Versove View, Four Bunglow, Andheri, Bombay-28.		Approved for release in Karnataka circuit and classified as 'Documen- tary'.
95.	Bihar Samachar Chitra No. 37	194.94	Shri M. Jha, Film Editor, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.	Director of Information & Public Relation, Govt. of Bihar, Patna-15.	Approved for release in Bihar circuit and classified as "News and Current Events."
96.	Kala Pani-A Pilgrimate	544.00	Films Division, Government of India, 24-Peddar Road, Bombay-400 026.		General release and classified as 'Docu- mentary.'
97.	Ekta-Ki-Goonj	230.00	J.N. Srivastava, Bishesheshwar Kutir, Kundri Rakabgunj, Lucknow-4.		-do-
98.	Jhiahya Ba Pua	600.00	Dilip Dutta, Twin Films, 22/239, Sidharthnagar, 4, Goregaon (W), Bombay-400 022.		Release in Orissa circuit and classified as 'Documentary'.
99.	Bikash Me Sahbhagi	255.07	Shri M. Jha, Film Editor, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.	Director of Information & Public Relations, Govt. of Bihar.	Release in Bihar circuit and classified as 'Documentary'.
100.	Sambhaw	252.94	-do-	-do-	-do-
101.	We will preserve them for posterity	360.00	Directorate General of Information & Public Relations, Govt. of Maharashtra, Film Center, 68-Tardeo Road, Bombay-34.		Approved for general release and classified as 'Documentary'
102.	Mahitichitra 432	221.65	Asstt. Director of Information (Films) Govt. of Gujarat, Ramnord Research Lab. Ltd. Worli, Bombay-18.	Director of Information, Govt. of Gujarat, Sachivalaya Block 7, Gandhinagar	Approved for Gujarat circuit and classified as News & Current events'.
103.	Maharashtra News No. 406	265.00	Directorate General of Relations, Govt. of Maharashtra, Film Center, 68-Tardeo Road, Bombay-34.	Information & Public	Approved for Maha- rashtra circuit and classified as 'News and Current Events'.

1	2	3	4	5	6
104. Qasoor Kiska	551.08	Shakil Production, Shakil Khan, Ashraf Studios, Opp. Mahim Station, Bombay.			Approved for general release and classified as 'Documentary'.
105. Bhugarba Jalalu	252.44	Andhra Pradesh State Film Devlp. Corporation Ltd. 11-5-423/1, Zafarbagh, Lakdi-ka-pool, Hyderabad.			Approved for release in A.P. circuit and classified as documentary.
106. Aur Agar	298.09	Bina Bhakta, 1/13, Guru Nagar, Four Bungalows, Versova Road, Andheri (W), Bombay-400 058.	Dayabhi Bhakta; 1/13, Gurunagar, 4 Bungalows, Versova Road, Andheri (W), Bombay-400 058.		Approved for general release and classified as Documentary.
107. Do Or Die	604.00	Films Division, 24-Peddar Road,	Bombay-400 026.		-do-
108. Kuchchado Baramas	410.98	Asst. Dir. of Information, Govt. of Gujarat, Ramnord Research Lab. Ltd. 77, Dr. A.B. Road, Worli, Bombay-18.	Dir. of Inf. Govt. of Gujarat, Gujarat, Gujarat.		Approved for release Gujarat circuit and classified as documentary.
109. Madhya Pradesh Samachar Darshan 54	262.13	Anand Hatvalne C/o Dir. of Inf. & Publicity, Bhopal.	Dir of Inf. & Publicity, Govt. of MP, Bhopal.		Approved for release in MP and classified as News & Current Events.
110. Vartha Tarangini 75	285.06	Andhra Pradesh State Film Development Corporation Ltd. 11-5-423/1 Zafarbagh, Hyderabad.			Approved for release in Andhra Pradesh circuit and classified as News and Current Events.
111. Manalo Marupu Raada	152.40	-do-			Approved for release in AP circuit and classified as documentary.
112. Guptdhan	507.60	Maharashtra Agro Industries Development Corpn. Ltd., Rajan House, 3rd floor, Prabhadevi, Bombay-25.			Approved for release in Maharashtra circuit and classified as documentary.
113. Mahitichitra 433	204.22	Asstt Dir of Inf. Govt. of Gujarat, Ramnord Research Lab. Ltd. Worli, Bombay	Dir. of Inf. Govt. of Gujarat, Gandhinagar-10.		Approved for release in Gujarat circuit and classified as News and current events.
114. Madhya Pradesh Samachar Darshan- 55	239.57	Dir. of Inf. & Publicity, Govt. of M.P. Bhopal.			Approved for release in MP circuit & classified as "News & Current Events".
115. Swami Ramtirth	489.51	The Dir. of Information & Public Relations, Govt. of UP, Lucknow.			Approved for general release and classified as documentary.
116. Phale Rasal Gomati	295.05	Dir. Gen. of Information & Public Relations, Govt. of Maharashtra, Film Center, 68, Tardeo Road, Bombay-400 034.			Approved for release in Maharashtra circuit and classified as documentary.
117. Memories of A Magnificent Era	599.00	Films Division, Govt. of India, 24-Peddar Road, Bombay-400 020.			Approved for general release and classified as documentary.
118. The Right Way	107.00	-do-			-do-

1	2	3	4	5	6
119.	An Detheli Bhcm Par	396.24	Asstt. Dir of Inf. & Pub. Relations, Govt. of Gujarat, 77 Ramnord Research Lab. Dr. A.B. Road, Worli, Bombay-18.	Dir of Inf. Govt. of Gujarat, Gandhinagar, Sachivalaya, Gujarat	Approved for release in Gujarat circuit and classified as "News & Current Events."
120.	Pariyawaran	499.87	Shri Jai Ram Chaturvedi, Deptt. of Inf. & Pub. Relations, Govt. of UP, Lucknow.	The Dir. of Inf. & Public Relations, Govt. of UP, Lucknow.	Approved for general release and classified as documentary.
121.	New Horizon	287.00	Sunil Ghosh, 6/12 Versova view, Four Bunglow, Andheri, Bombay-58.		-do-
122.	We are one	366.87	Sri Lalithakala Pictures, Venkataraman Colony, Hyderabad-4.		-do-
123.	Cataract	295.65	Andhra Pradesh State Film Development Corporation Ltd., 11-5-423/1, Zafarbagh, Lakdi-ka-pool, Hyderabad-4.		Approved for release in A.P. circuit and classified as documentary.
124.	Mahitichitra 434	176.78	Asstt. Dir. of Inf. & Pub. Relations, Govt. of Gujarat, 77 Ramnord Research Lab. Ltd. Worli, Bombay-18.	Director of Inf. Govt. of Gujarat, Sachivalaya, Block 7, Gandhinagar, Gujarat.	Approved for release in Gujarat circle and classified as documentary.
125.	And Bombay Never Sleeps	317.07	Dir. Gen. of Information & Public Relations, Govt. of Maharashtra, Film Center, 68, Tardeo Road, Bombay-400 034.		Approved for general release and classified as documentary.
126.	Do Rahe	290.00	Prakten Chumble C/o S.R. Samant 3, Anand Ban Near, Agar Bazar, College Road Dadar. Bombay-28.	Dr. Arun Nadkamni, Nasik Medical Foundation, Sahakar Bhavan, Shivaji Road, Nasik.	-do-
127.	Writing of Off The Raj	593.14	Shri B.D. Garge, D-11 Commerce Centre, Tardeo Road Bombay-14.		-do-
128.	C.R.P.F.	454.00	Films, Division, Govt. of India, 24-Peddar Road, Bombay-400 026.		-do-
129.	Our Islamic Heritage	537.00	-do-		-do-
130.	Nandi Bahumatula Pradanotsavam 84 (Nandi Film Award 84)	420.63	Andhra Pradesh State Film Development Corpn. Ltd., 11-5-423/1, Zafarbagh, Lakdi-ka-pool, Hyderabad-4		Approved for release in A.P. circuit and classified as News & Current Events.
131.	Vartha Sanchika 10	344.43	-do-		-do-
132.	Vartha Tarangini 77	265.00	-do-		-do-
133.	Nahin Nahin	304.80	Asstt. Director of Information, Govt. of Gujarat, Ramnord Research Lab. Ltd. 77 Dr. A.B. Road, Worli, Bombay.	Director of Information, Govt. of Gujarat, Sachivalaya Block 7, Gandhinagar,	Approved for release in Gujarat circuit and classified as Documentary

1	2	3	4	5	6
134	Samridhichya Vata	490.00	Directorate General of Information & Public Relations Govt. of Maharashtra, Film Center, 68, Tardeo Road, Bombay-34.		Approved for release in Maharashtra circuit and classified as 'Documentary'.
135.	Towards freedom	598.5	Films Division, 24-Peddar Road, Bombay-400 026.		Approved for general release and classified as 'Documentary'.
136.	Greener Karnataka	453.88	Raboul Films International, Mistry Mansion, 107, Mahatma Gandhi Road, Bombay.		Approved for release in Karnataka circuit and classified as 'Documentary'.
137.	Mahitichitra 435	285.66	Asstt. Director of Information, Govt. of Gujarat, Rambord Research Lab. Ltd. -77, Dr. A.B. Road, Worli, Bombay-18.	Director of Information Govt. of Gujarat, Sachivalaya Block 7 Gandhinagar.	Approved for release in Gujarat circuit and classified as 'News and Current Events.'
138.	Varta Tarangini 78	269.14	Andhra Pradesh State Film Development Corporation Ltd., 11-5-423/1, Zafarbagh, Lakdi-Ka-Pul, Hyderabad.		Approved for release in A.P. circuit and classified as 'News and Current Events.'
139.	Deluxe-I	80.00	Chief Producer, Films Division, 24-Peddar Road, Bombay-26.		Approved for general release in Karnataka circle and classified as 'Documentary'.
140.	A Pill a day	142.00	-do-	-do-	Approved for general release and classified as 'Documentary'.
141	Mar Ki Baat	180.00	-do-	-do-	-do-
142	See Haan	77.00	-do-	-do-	-do-
143.	India Wins Freedom	598.00	The Chief Producer Films Division, 24-Peddar Road, Bombay 400 026.		Approved for general release and classified as 'Documentary'.
144.	The Balloon	181.35	-do-	-do-	-do-
145.	Trial of Tax wallah	560.00	-do-	-do-	-do-
146.	Baba Jassa Singh Ahluwalia	586.00	Asian Films, 72, Janpath, New Delhi.		-do-
147.	Maharashtra News No. 407	290.00	Directorate General of Information & Public Relations, Govt. of Maharashtra, Film Center, 68, Tardeo Road, Bombay-34.		Approved for release in Maharashtra circuit and classified as 'News and Current Events.'
148.	Mahitichitra No. 436	213.36	Dy. Director of Information, Govt. of Gujarat, Dhanraj Mahal Gr. Fl. Chhatrapati Shivaji Maharaj Marg, Bombay-39.	Director of Information Govt. of Gujarat, Sachivalaya, Block 7, Gandhinagar.	Approved for release in Gujarat circuit classified as 'New and Current Events.'
149.	Vortha Tarangini 79	295.66	Andhra Pradesh State Film Development Corporation, Ltd., 11-5-423/1, Zafarbagh, Lakdi Ka-Pul, Hyderabad.		Approved for release in A.P. circuit and classified 'News as and Current Events'.

1	2	3	4	5	6
150. Zilla Udyog Kendra Ek Guide	280.41	Shri Asif Khan, M/s. Art Films, 146, S.K. Bole Road, Bombay-28.			Approved for release in Maharashtra circuit and classified as 'Documentary'.
151. Mahitichitra 437	281.94	Asstt. Director of Information, Govt. of Gujarat, Ramnord Research Lab. Ltd. 77, Dr. A.B. Road, Worli, Bombay-18.	Director of Information, Govt. of Gujarat, Sachivalaya Block 7, Gandhinagar.		Approved for release in Gujarat circuit and classified as 'News and Current Events.'
152. M.P. Samachar Darshan 57	248.10	Anand Hatvare, Cameraman, Directorate Information & Publicity	Director of Information & Publicity, Govt. of M.P. Bhopal.		Approved for release in M.P. circuit and classified as 'New and Current Events.'
153. M.P. Samachar Darshan 56.	256.64	-do-	-do-		-do-
154. Matti Tere Roop Areek	298.90	Shri H.R. Sarin, Sarin Prod., 4 Podar Road, 612, 9249, Shauvntala Santacruz, Bombay-54.			Approved for general release and classified as 'Documentary.'
155. Mahitichitra No. No. 438	265.18	Asstt. Director of Information, Govt. of Gujarat, Ramnord Research Lab. Ltd. 77 Dr. A.B. Road, Worli, Bombay-18.	Director of Information, Govt. of Gujarat, Sachivalaya Block 7, Gandhinagar.		Approved for release in Gujarat circuit and classified as 'News and Current Events.'
156A. Vartha Tarangini	240.79	-do-	-do-		-do-
156. Vartha Tarangini	271.2	Andhra Pradesh State Film Development Corporation Ltd., 11-5-423/1, Zafarbagh, Lakdi-Ka-Pul, Hyderabad			Approved for release in A.P. circuit and classified as 'News and Current Events.'
157. Udaigiri Ke Shaiv Vaishnav Gupha Mandir	306.93	Shri S.K. Gautam, C/o. Narendra Goel, D-II Chand Society, Juhu, Bombay.			Approved for general release and classified as 'Documentary'.
158. Maharashtra News No. 408	293.00	Directorate General of Information & Public Relations, Govt. of Maharashtra, Film Center, 68, Tardeo Road, Bombay-54.			Approved for release in Maharashtra circuit and classified as 'News and Current Events.'
159. The Frontier Gandhi	550.00	The Chief Producer, Films Division, 24-Peddar Road, Bombay-400 026.			Approved for general release and classified as 'Documentary'.
160. Show down at sunset.	365.00	-do-	-do-		-do-
161. Vartha Tarangini 82	289.65	Andhra Pradesh State Film Development Corpn Ltd., 11-5-423/1 Zafarbagh, Lakdi-ka-pool, Hyderabad.			Approved for release in A.P. circuit and classified as 'News and Current Events'
162. Mahitichitra 439	298.70	Asstt. Dir. of Inf. Govt. of Gujarat, Ramnord Research Lab: Ltd, 77, Dr. A.B. Road, Worli, Bombay-18.	Dir. of Inf. Govt. of Gujarat, Sachivalaya, Block 7, Gandhinagar		Approved for release in Gujarat circuit and clas- sified as 'News and current Events.'
163. Aavtikalou Gujarat	487.68	-do-	-do-		Approved for release in Gujarat circuit and clas- sified as Documentary.

1	2	3	4	5	6
164	Tamno Pan Nyav Apiye	590.70	-do-	-do-	-do-
165.	Powe. to the People	447.60	Chief Producer, Films Division, 24-Peddar Road, Bombay-26.		Approved for general release and classified as documentary,
166	Sahi Faisla	67.66	-do-		-do-
167.	Gharkul (Home for Homeless)	304.00	Dir. of Inf. & Public Relations, Govt. of Maharashtra, Film Center, 68, Tardeo Road, Bombay-34.		Approved for release in Maharashtra circuit and classified Documentary.
168.	Mahitichitra No. 440	259.08	Asstt. Dir of Inf. & Public Rlations, Ramnord Research Lab Ltd, 77, Dr. A.B. Road, Worli, Bombay.	Dir of Inf. Govt. of Gujarat. Sachivalaya, Block 7, Gandhinagar.	Approved for release in Gujarat circuit and classified as documentary.
169.	Vartha Turangini No. 83	289.63	Andhra Pradesh State Film Devp. Corpn. Ltd., 11-5-423/1 Zafarbagh, Lakdi Ka Pul, Hyderabad.		Approved for release in A.P. and classified as news and Current Events.
170.	Convoy	585.22	Shri Harish Amin, Cresset House, 76 Dr. Nair Road, Bombay-400008.		Approved for gen. release & classified as Documentary.
171.	Dhanya Dhanya Shivaji	563.00	Dir. Gen. of Inf. & Public Relations, Govt. of Maharashtra, 68 Tardeo Road, Bombay-400034.		Approved for release in Maharashtra and classified as Documentary.
172.	Warli Painting	481.00	The Chief Producer, Films Division, 24-Peddar Road, Bombay-26.		Approved for gen. release and classified as documentary.
173	Maharashtra News No 409	264.00	Dir. Gen of Information & Public Relations, Govt. of Maharashtra, Film Centre, 68 Tardeo Road, Bombay-400034		Approved for release in Maharashtra Circuit and classified as News & Current Events
174	Mahitichitra No. 441	298.70	Asstt. Dir. of Inf. Govt. of Gujarat, Ramnord Research Lab. Ltd. 77, Dr. A.B. Road, Worli, Bombay 18	Dir. of Inf. Govt. of Gujarat. Sachivalaya, Block 7, Gandhinagar.	Approved for release in Gujarat circuit and classified as News and Current Events.
175.	Priyadarshini	586.00	The Chief Producer, Films Division, 24-Peddar Road, Bombay-400026.		Approved for general release and classified as documentary
176.	Mad Mad Rush	59.30	Shambu Prasad, 1509/38 D.N. Nagar, Andheri, Bombay-400058.		-do-
177.	In search of Light	84.23	Mr Rajendra Gajmal, C/o Khara Sadan, Brahmawadi, Patankar Marg, Kurla, Bombay-70.		Approved for release in Maharashtra circuit and classified as Documentary.
178.	Karma yogi Acharya Kakasaheb Kalelkar	600.00	The Chief Producer, Films Division, 24-Peddar Road, Bombay-26.		Approved for gen. release and classified as Documentary.

1	2	3	4	5	6
179.	Shibani	304.80	Rajeev Mohan, 55 Enterprise Rahul, Automobile, Shankar Apt. Old Agra Road, Thana.		Approval for gen. release and classified as Documentary.
180.	Agony of Partition A Challenge of the Nation.	595.05	The Chief Producer, Films Division, 24-Peddar Road, Bombay-26.		-do-
181.	Prithviraj	600.00	-do-		Approved for gen. release and classified as News and Current Events.
182.	Vartha Tarangini 84 ¹	291.25	Andhra Pradesh State Film Development Corpn. Ltd. 11-5-423/1, Zafarbagh, Lakdi-Ka-Pul, Hyderabad-4.		Approved for release in A.P. circuit and classified as News and Current Events.
183.	Nivantian	600.00	Asstt. Dir. of Inf. Govt. of Gujarat, Ramnord Research Lab. Ltd., 77 Dr. A.B. Road, Worli, Bombay-400018.	Dir. of Inf., Govt. of Gujarat, Sachivalaya, Block 1, Gandhinagar.	Approved for release in Gujarat circuit and classified as Documentary.
184.	Mahitichitra No. 442	298.70	-do-	-do-	Approved for release in Gujarat and classified as News & Current Events.
185.	Mahitichitra 443	298.70	-do-	-do-	-do-
186.	India's Life Stream	564.05	Jagat Murari Productions, 105 Kalpataru, 27/1 Erandavane, Pune-4.		Approved for gen. release and classified as Documentary.
187.	Vartha Tarangini 85	306.10	Andhra Pradesh State Film Development Corpn. Ltd., 11-5-423/1 Lakdi-ka-Pul, Zafarbagh, Hyderabad-4.		Approved for release in A.P. circuit and classified as News and Current Events.
188.	In search of Future	594.05	Shri Honi Sethna, 72 Ashoka Apts., Rungta Lane, Off Jagmohandas Marg, Bombay-6.		Approved for gen. release and classified as "Documentary".
189.	Saubhagya Chinh	532.00	The Chief Producer, Films Division, 24-Peddar Road Bombay-6.		-do-
190.	Mahitichitra News No. 444	298.70	Asstt. Dir. of Inf. Govt. of Gujarat, Ramnord Research Lab. Ltd., 77 Dr. A.B. Road, Worli, Bombay-18.	Dir. of Inf. Govt. of Gujarat, Sachivalaya, Block 7 Gandhinagar.	Approved for release in Gujarat circuit, and classified as "News and Current Events."
191.	Maharashtra News No. 410	272.00	Dir. Gen. of Information & Public Relations, Govt. of Maharashtra Film Center, 68-Tardeo Road, Bombay-400034.		Approved for release in Maharashtra circuit and classified as "News and Current Events".
192.	Mahitichitra 445	298.70	Asstt. Dir. of Information, Govt. of Gujarat, Ramnord Research Lab. Ltd. Worli, Bombay-18.	Dir. of Inf. Sachivalaya, Gujarat.	Approved for release in Gujarat circuit and classified as News and Current Events.

1	2	3	4	5	6
193.	Railways and Civic Sense	456.00	Shri K.B. Nair, Chitralekha Film Corpn Ltd., Trivandrum		Approved for gen. release and classified as Documentary.
194.	Merger of Princely States A Challenge to the Nation	600.00	The Chief Producer, Films Division, 24-Peddar Road, Bombay-26.		-do-
195.	Mukti Sadhan	101.00		-do-	-do-
196.	Uttam Upaya	101.00		-do-	-do-
197.	Dostan Di Gal	101.00		-do-	Approved for release in Punjab circuit classified as Documentary

[File No. 315/1/85-F(P)]

नई दिल्ली, 20 मार्च, 1987

आदेश

क्र.आ. 1038—भारत सरकार के सूचना और प्रसारण मंत्रालय के आदेश संख्या एम.आ. 3792, दिनांक 2 दिसम्बर, 1966 की प्रथम अनुसूची में निविष्ट प्रत्येक अधिनियम के उपबन्ध के अन्तर्गत जारी किये गए निर्देशों के अनुसरण में, केंद्रीय सरकार, फिल्म सलाहकार बोर्ड, बम्बई की सिफारिशों पर विचार करने के बाद एतद्वारा इसके साथ लगी अनुसूची के कालम 2 में दी गई फिल्मों को, उनके सभी भारतीय भाषाओं के रूपान्तरों सहित, जिनका विवरण प्रत्येक के सामने उक्त अनुसूची के कालम 6 में दिया हुआ है, स्वीकृत करती है:—

अनुसूची

क्रम संख्या	फिल्म का नाम	फिल्म की लम्बाई (मीटरों में)	आवेदक का नाम	निर्माता का नाम	संक्षिप्त रूप देखा कि क्या यह वैज्ञानिक फिल्म है या शिक्षा संबंधी फिल्म है या समाचार और सामयिक घटनाओं की फिल्म है या डाक्यूमेंट्री फिल्म है।
1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	"गौर कम्पोनियन"	315.00	सी.टी. वैंडिस्टा हुनर फिल्म नानाभाई मैसन मर पी. एम. रोड, बम्बई-400001	सी.टी. वैंडिस्टा हुनर फिल्म नानाभाई मैसन मर पी. एम. रोड, बम्बई-400001	डाक्यूमेंट्री फिल्म सामान्य प्रदर्शक के लिये
2.	"सेफ क्राफ्टिंग एंड योर डोरस्टेप" (रंगान)	166.42	"जी" की फिल्म शाप, रज्जाल स्टूडियो द्वितीय तल, डी.पी. रोड, दादर, बम्बई-100014	"जी" की फिल्म शाप, रज्जाल स्टूडियो, द्वितीय तल, डी.पी. रोड, दादर, बम्बई-400014	डाक्यूमेंट्री फिल्म शाप प्रदर्शन के लिए
3.	"नियोन्ड द स्टार्ग"		श्री एच.एम. के. मूर्ति, बी. कुम्बारा संघ बिज्जिगम, द्वितीय भाग, राधो नगर, बंगलूर-560009	श्री एच.एम. के. मूर्ति, बी. कुम्बारा संघ बिज्जिगम, द्वितीय भाग, राधो नगर, बंगलूर-560009	डाक्यूमेंट्री फिल्म सामान्य प्रदर्शनी के लिए
4.	त्रिफाजन	328.00	शकील खान प्रणय स्टूडियो, रागिनवाला मैसन, महिम रेलवे स्टेशन के सामने, महिम (पश्चिम), बम्बई-100016	शकील खान प्रणय स्टूडियो, रागिनवाला मैसन, महिम रेलवे स्टेशन के सामने, महिम (पश्चिम), बम्बई-100016	---नयैव---
5.	"एक सवाल मुझे को"	162.08	वैमना मिनमैटिक विमुथला, 6. पैरिया, एन.एन. रोड, बांद्रा (पश्चिम), बम्बई-400050	राज्जिब अरोड़ा, 6. पैरिया, एन.एन. रोड, बांद्रा (पश्चिम), बम्बई-400050	---नयैव---

1	2	3	4	5	6
6.	गुजरात नौ गारबो	597.71	महायक निदेशक, सूचना (फिल्म), गुजरात सरकार, रामनाई, रिसर्च लेबोरेट्रीज लि., 77, डा. ऐनी बेमेंट रोड, बर्ली, बम्बई-18	सूचना निदेशक, गुजरात सरकार, सचिवालय ब्लाक 7, गांधीनगर गांधीनगर-382010	डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म सामान्य प्रदर्शन के लिए
7.	बढ़ा मर्दिया	551.00	सूचना और जनसंपर्क महा निदेशालय, महाराष्ट्र सरकार, फिल्म सेंटर, 68, तारदेव रोड, बम्बई, 400034	सूचना और जनसंपर्क महा निदेशालय, महाराष्ट्र सरकार, फिल्म सेंटर, 68, तारदेव रोड, बम्बई-400034	--- तथैव ---
8.	"दि ब्रिगनिंग"	398.00	फिल्म प्रभाग, 24, पैडर रोड, बम्बई-400026	फिल्म प्रभाग, 24, पैडर रोड, बम्बई-400026	--- तथैव ---
9.	मी	452.00	प्रेम कपूर, 2, स्वीट हॉम, 9बी रोड एक्सप्लेशन जी.बी.पी.डी. स्कीम, बम्बई-400049	मनोहर कृष्ण प्रोडक्शन्स, 2, स्वीट हॉम, 9बी रोड एक्सप्लेशन जी.बी.पी.डी. स्कीम, बम्बई-400049	डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म सामान्य रिलीज प्रदर्शन के लिए
10.	पारममणि	333.15	महायक सूचना निदेशक, (फिल्म), गुजरात सरकार, रामनाई रिसर्च लेबोरेट्रीज लि., 77, डा. ऐनी बेमेंट रोड, बम्बई-4000018	सूचना निदेशक, गुजरात सरकार, सचिवालय, ब्लाक 7, गांधीनगर-382010	डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म गुजरात स्किट में प्रदर्शन के लिए
11.	महिनि विषय सं	410 298.70	महायक सूचना निदेशक, (फिल्म) गुजरात सरकार, रामनाई रिसर्च लेबोरेट्रीज लि., 77, डा. ऐनी बेमेंट रोड, बर्ली, बम्बई-400018	सूचना निदेशक, गुजरात सरकार, सचिवालय ब्लाक 7, गांधी नगर-382010	समाचार और सामयिक घटनाओं की फिल्म (गुजरात मॉकट में प्रदर्शन के लिए)
12.	खुश-हाल जिखरी	186.70	धरमेश दल, कला श्री, मोहन स्टूडियो, अंधेरी (ईस्ट), बम्बई	धरमेश दल, कला श्री, मोहन स्टूडियो, अंधेरी (ईस्ट), बम्बई	डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म सामान्य प्रदर्शन के लिए
13.	आंध्र प्रदेश प्रभुत्व प्राधम्य बायिक निवेदिका समर्पण, सदामु	300.00	आंध्र प्रदेश राज्य फिल्म विकास निगम लि., 11-5-423/1, जफर बाग, लकड़ी का पुल, हेदराबाद-500004	आंध्र प्रदेश राज्य फिल्म विकास निगम लि., 11-5-423/1, जफर बाग, लकड़ी का पुल, हेदराबाद-500004	डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म आंध्र प्रदेश में प्रदर्शन के लिए
14.	भूल	303.50	श्रीमती आबा हजारीका, नगर सेवा, दीसपुर, लास्ट गेट, गुवाहाटी-6	आबा हजारीका और मंजूला हजारीका नगर सेवा, दीसपुर लास्ट गेट, गुवाहाटी-6	डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म असम मॉकट में प्रदर्शन के लिए
15.	यांग-यात्री	560.83	श्री सुभाष के. जयकार, जयकारस बंगला, मुन. ईसी, दाम-टालू, बामाई-401201	सुभाष के. जयकार, जयकारस बंगला, दाम-टालू, बामाई-401201	डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म सामान्य प्रदर्शन के लिए
16.	निविग एट हाट प्राईम 9	325.00	फिल्म प्रभाग, 24, पैडर रोड, बम्बई-400026	फिल्म प्रभाग, 24, पैडर रोड, बम्बई-400026	डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म सामान्य प्रदर्शन के लिए
17.	"महिनि-चित्र" गंख्या 411	274.32	महायक सूचना निदेशक, गुजरात सरकार, रामनाई रिसर्च लेबोरेट्रीज लि., 77, डा. ऐनी बेमेंट रोड, बर्ली। बम्बई-400018	सूचना निदेशक, गुजरात सरकार, सचिवालय, ब्लाक-7, गांधी नगर- 382010	समाचार और सामयिक घटनाओं की फिल्म। (गुजरात मॉकट में प्रदर्शन के लिए)
18.	इज इट--फेयर 9	54.00	फिल्म प्रभाग, 24, पैडर रोड, बम्बई-400026	फिल्म प्रभाग 25, पैडर रोड, बम्बई-400026	डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म सामान्य प्रदर्शन के लिए
19.	रिफ	405.00	---तथैव---	---तथैव---	---तथैव---

2	3	4	5	6
20. रथ चलावा पुष्प-पुष्प	279.00	सूचना और जन-संपर्क महानिदेशालय महाराष्ट्र सरकार, फिल्म सेंटर, 68 नारदेव रोड, बम्बई-400034	सूचना और जनसंपर्क महानिदेशालय महाराष्ट्र सरकार, फिल्म सेंटर, 68 नारदेव रोड, बम्बई-400034	डाकूमेट्री फिल्म। (महाराष्ट्र सर्किट में प्रदर्शन के लिए)
21. महाराष्ट्र न्यूज न. 397	300.00	—तथैव—	—तथैव—	समाचार और सामयिक घटनाओं की फिल्म। (महाराष्ट्र सर्किट में प्रदर्शन के लिए)
22. "दि चैलेंज ऑफ थ्रॉ" (मराठी)	300.00	मैमर्स हमार फिल्मस, 2 मित्र मिलन 68, चेपल रोड, बम्बई-400050	मैमर्स हमार फिल्मस 2 मित्र मिलन 68 चेपल रोड, बम्बई-400050	डाकूमेट्री फिल्म। (सामान्य प्रदर्शन के लिए)
23. वार्ता नरंगिनी संख्या 58	268.59	ग्रान्ध प्रदेश राज्य फिल्म, विकास निगम लि., 11-5-423/1, जफर बाग, नकड़ी का पुल, हैदराबाद-500004		समाचार और सामयिक घटनाओं की फिल्म। (ग्रांध प्रदेश सर्किट में प्रदर्शन के लिए)
24. वार्ता नरंगिनी संख्या 57	287.19	—तथैव—	—तथैव—	—तथैव—
25. वार्ता नरंगिनी संख्या 56	283.53	—तथैव—	—तथैव—	—तथैव—
26. माग ऑफ साइलेंस	465.00	फिल्म प्रभाग, 24-पीडर रोड, बम्बई-400026	फिल्म प्रभाग 24-पीडर रोड, बम्बई-400026	डाकूमेट्री फिल्म। सामान्य प्रदर्शन के लिए।
27. जायना	597.40	नागधर ब्रह्म मखिव, थोथी फिल्म गोमाहटी कोकरा साड़, असम।	थोथी फिल्म गोमाहटी कोकरा साड़, असम	डाकूमेट्री फिल्म उन क्षेत्रों में प्रदर्शन के लिए जहां बोधा भाषा समझी जाती है।
28. बिहार समाचार चित्र	263.96	एम. झा., फिल्म सम्पादक, सूचना और जनसम्पर्क, बिहार सरकार पटना-15	निदेशक सूचना और जनसम्पर्क, बिहार सरकार पटना-15	समाचार और सामयिक घटनाओं की फिल्म। बिहार सर्किट में प्रदर्शन के लिए।
29. गतिविधि चित्र संख्या 412	274.32	सहायक सूचना निदेशक (फिल्म) गुजरात सरकार, रामनाई रिसर्च लेबोरेटरीज लि., डा. एनी. बेमेंट रोड, बर्ली, बम्बई-16	सूचना निदेशक, गुजरात सरकार सचिवालय, ब्लॉक 7, रांछी नगर- 382010	डाकूमेट्री फिल्म गुजरात सर्किट में प्रदर्शन के लिए।
30. विकास की राहें	593.45	मन्य प्रकाश, ए-30 डिफेंस कालोनी, नई दिल्ली-110024	मन्य प्रकाश, ए-30 डिफेंस कालोनी, नई दिल्ली-24	डाकूमेट्री फिल्म सामान्य प्रदर्शन के लिए।
31. प्रगति के पथिक (मराठी)	321.00	सूचना और जन संपर्क महानिदेशालय, महाराष्ट्र सरकार, फिल्म सेंटर, 68, नारदेव रोड, बम्बई-400034	सूचना और जन संपर्क महानिदेशालय, महाराष्ट्र सरकार, फिल्म सेंटर, 68, नारदेव रोड, बम्बई-400034	महाराष्ट्र सर्किट में प्रदर्शन के लिए, धनुसोदिन और डाकूमेट्री फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।
32. नागरिक	441.96	प्रफुल्ल मैकिया, मार्फत-नरंगिनी एन्टरप्राइजिज, हॉस्पिटल रोड, डिफेंस कॉलोनी, प्रांगलांग।	प्रफुल्ल मैकिया, मार्फत-नरंगिनी एन्टरप्राइजिज, हॉस्पिटल रोड, डिफेंस कॉलोनी, प्रांगलांग।	डाकूमेट्री फिल्म असम में प्रदर्शन के लिए
33. हाइड्रो इलेक्ट्रिक प्रोजेक्ट्स	222.00	फिल्म प्रभाग, भारत सरकार 24, पीडर रोड, बम्बई-400026	फिल्म प्रभाग भारत सरकार 24, पीडर रोड, बम्बई-400026	सामान्य प्रदर्शन के लिए और इसके सभी स्थापनों में डाकूमेट्री फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।
34. कलाहिया	278.58	एम. जी. खिरवाधार, संयुक्त निदेशक, सूचना और जन सम्पर्क, मध्य प्रदेश शासन।	सूचना और प्रचार निदेशालय, मध्य प्रदेश सरकार।	मध्य प्रदेश सर्किट में प्रदर्शन के लिए और डाकूमेट्री फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।
35. बिहार समाचार	245.97	एम. झा., फिल्म सम्पादक, सूचना और जन संपर्क विभाग, बिहार सरकार पटना।	निदेशक, सूचना और जन संपर्क बिहार सरकार पटना	बिहार सर्किट में प्रदर्शन के लिए और एन. एंड सी. ई. के रूप में वर्गीकृत।
36. बिहार समाचार	288.40	—तथैव—	—तथैव—	—तथैव—
37. मोती एंड दि एस्ट्रोलाइजर	184.00	फिल्म प्रभाग, 24, पीडर रोड, बम्बई-400026	फिल्म प्रभाग, 24, पीडर रोड, बम्बई-400026	डाकूमेट्री फिल्म सामान्य प्रदर्शन के लिए।
38. मेमोरिज फ्राम मध्य प्रदेश	458.00	—तथैव—	—तथैव—	समाचार और सामयिक घटनाओं की फिल्म। (भारत में प्रदर्शन के लिए)।

1	2	3	4	5	6
39. पोदेबल वाटर फॉर सिवियल	451.00	-तथैव-	-तथैव-	डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म । सामान्य प्रदर्शन के लिए ।	
40. स्पी. 2 ए. किलो राइस	202.43	आन्ध्र प्रदेश राज्य फिल्म विकास निगम लि., 11-5-123/1, जफर बाग, लकड़ी का पुल, हैदराबाद-500004		डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म । (आंध्र प्रदेश मर्किट में प्रदर्शन के लिए)	
41. नन्दी बहुमनुष्य प्रदानात्मक 83	335.36	-तथैव-		समाचार और सामयिक घटनाओं की फिल्म । (आंध्र प्रदेश मर्किट में प्रदर्शन के लिए)	
42. महिनि-चित्र संख्या 413	243.82	महायुक्त सूचना निदेशक (फिल्म) गुजरात सरकार, रामानाई रिमचं लेबोरेट्रीज लि., 77, डा. एन. वेगेट रोड, वर्ली, बम्बई-100018	निदेशक, सूचना गुजरात सरकार मन्त्रिवालय, ब्लॉक-7 गांधी नगर-382010	समाचार और सामयिक घटनाओं की फिल्म । गुजरात मर्किट में फिल्म प्रदर्शन के लिए ।	
43. वृमन एटगप्रीनियम	295.35	फिल्म प्रभाग, 24, पैडर रोड, बम्बई-400026	फिल्म प्रभाग, 24, पैडर रोड, बम्बई-400026	डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म, सामान्य प्रदर्शन के लिए ।	
44. तो डोलाम आला	306.00	सूचना और जन सम्पर्क महानिदेशालय, महाराष्ट्र सरकार, फिल्म सेंटर 68, नारदेव रोड, बम्बई-400034		डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म । महाराष्ट्र राज्य में प्रदर्शन के लिए ।	
45. चाहाकाय-ए-थकाइ इन केरल	599.00	मज्जिफर अली, कम्युनिकेशन फिल्मस, ए-1, मल्लिक, जुहु गांव, बम्बई-49	कम्युनिकेशन फिल्मस, ए-1, मल्लिक, जुहु गांव, बम्बई-19	डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म । सामान्य प्रदर्शन के लिए ।	
46. श्री	106.38	मैगम मिनिमैन् पुण्डाविका निवास, ट्राम्बे, बम्बई-88	बाबा मोजगावकर पुण्डाविका निवास, ट्राम्बे, बम्बई-88	-तथैव-	
47. उत्तर प्रदेश समाचार सं. 117	291.13	धरेंद्र पाण्डे, निर्माता स्यूजर्गेन, सूचना और जन सम्पर्क महानिदेशालय, उत्तर प्रदेश सरकार, लखनऊ		समाचार और सामयिक घटनाओं की फिल्म । उत्तर प्रदेश मर्किट में प्रदर्शन के लिए ।	
48. वार्ता तरंगिनी संख्या 60	239.02	आन्ध्र प्रदेश राज्य फिल्म विकास निगम लि., 11-5-423/1, जफर बाग, लकड़ी का पुल, हैदराबाद-500004		समाचार और सामयिक घटनाओं की फिल्म । (आंध्र प्रदेश मर्किट में प्रदर्शन के लिए)	
49. वार्ता तरंगिनी सं. 59	289.63	-तथैव-	-तथैव-	-तथैव-	
50. महिनि-चित्र सं. 414	243.84	महायुक्त सूचना निदेशक (फिल्म), गुजरात सरकार, रामानाई रिमचं लेबोरेट्रीज लि., 77, डा. एन. वेगेट रोड, वर्ली, बम्बई-400018	सूचना निदेशक, गुजरात सरकार, मन्त्रिवालय, ब्लॉक 7, गांधी नगर-382010	समाचार और सामयिक घटनाओं की फिल्म । (आंध्र प्रदेश मर्किट में प्रदर्शन के लिए)	
51. महिनि-चित्र सं. 415	243.84	-तथैव-	-तथैव-	-तथैव-	
52. महाराष्ट्र समाचार संख्या 398	300.00	सूचना और जन सम्पर्क महानिदेशालय, नारदेव रोड, बम्बई-400034	महाराष्ट्र सरकार, फिल्म सेंटर 68,	समाचार और सामयिक घटनाओं की फिल्म । गुजरात मर्किट में प्रदर्शन के लिए ।	
53. फिगोर्ड (हिन्दी) रंगीत	255.00	बाबा मोजगावकर मिनिमैन्, पुण्डाविका निवास, ट्राम्बे, बम्बई-400088	सूचना निदेशक, गुजरात सरकार मन्त्रिवालय, ब्लॉक-7 गांधी नगर-382010	सामान्य प्रदर्शन के लिए अनुमोदित और डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत ।	
54. रिवाज	111.24	फिल्म प्रभाग, 24 पैडर रोड, बम्बई-400026		डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म । सामान्य प्रदर्शन के लिए ।	
55. गंगा कथो	271.00	रवि मेहरा, मार्केट मिनिमैन् फिल्म के सामने बम्बई-400052	डिडरा 36 ए. मार्केट, वाराणसी	-तथैव-	
56. छत्रिगमक एक अन्वय	579.12	राजिन्द्र जीत सिंह, सी-27, चार गोलाई, जुहु बंबे, आन्ध्रप्रदेश, बम्बई-400049		-तथैव-	
57. साते जहां से आया	199.00	सूचना और जन सम्पर्क महानिदेशालय, महाराष्ट्र सरकार, फिल्म सेंटर 68, नारदेव रोड, बम्बई-400034		डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म । (महाराष्ट्र मर्किट में प्रदर्शन के लिए)	
58. इसी धामधिया पहाडवा	313.00	-तथैव-		-तथैव-	

1	2	3	4	5	6
58. काली तरंगिनी	274.32	आन्ध्र प्रदेश राज्य फिल्म विकास निगम लि., 11-5-423/1, जकरबाग, लकड़ी का पुल, हैदराबाद-500004			समाचार और सामयिक घटनाओं की फिल्म। (आंध्र प्रदेश सफिट में प्रदर्शन के लिए)।
59. महिनि-चित्र	274.32	सहायक सूचना निदेशक, गुजरात सरकार, रामनाथ रिसर्च लेबोरेट्रीज लि., डा. ऐनी बेसेंट रोड, वर्ली, बम्बई-400018	सूचना निदेशक, गुजरात सरकार सचिवालय, ब्लॉक-7 गांधी नगर-382010		समाचार और सामयिक घटनाओं की फिल्म। गुजरात सफिट में प्रदर्शन के लिए।
60. आनंद गेलेंट संग	541.00	फिल्म प्रभाग, भारत सरकार 24, पैडर रोड, बम्बई-400026			वर्गीकरण डाकुमेंट्री के अंतर्गत सामान्य प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत।
61. आंध्र प्रदेश देवालायालु चित्र	259.38	आन्ध्र प्रदेश राज्य फिल्म विकास निगम लि., 11-5-423/1, जकरबाग, हैदराबाद-500004			वर्गीकरण डाकुमेंट्री के अंतर्गत आंध्र प्रदेश सफिट में प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत।
62. तारातारालु सेलगु समरकृति	308.15	-तथैव-			-तथैव-
63. महिनि-चित्र	298.80	सहायक सूचना निदेशक, (फिल्म) गुजरात सरकार, रामनाथ रिसर्च लेबोरेट्रीज लि., डा. ऐनी बेसेंट रोड, वर्ली, बम्बई-400018	निदेशक, सूचना, गुजरात सरकार, गुजरात		वर्गीकरण डाकुमेंट्री के अंतर्गत गुजरात सफिट में सामान्य प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत।
64. ज्योति जले ज्योति	598.83	सहायक सूचना निदेशक, (फिल्म) गुजरात सरकार, रामनाथ रिसर्च लेबोरेट्रीज लि., 77 डा. ऐनी बेसेंट रोड, वर्ली, बम्बई-400018	सूचना निदेशक, गुजरात सरकार गुजरात		वर्गीकरण डाकुमेंट्री के अंतर्गत गुजरात सफिट में प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत।
65. महाराष्ट्र म्यूज संख्या 399	195.00	सूचना और जनसम्पर्क महा-निदेशालय महाराष्ट्र सरकार, फिल्म सेंटर, 68, तारदेव रोड, बम्बई-34	महाराष्ट्र सरकार		वर्गीकरण डाकुमेंट्री के अंतर्गत महाराष्ट्र सफिट में प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत।
66. फेटल बेल्ल भाफ नार्थ-ईस्ट	256.87	श्री अरुणभद्र भट्टाचार्य, होटल हीरामनी प्राइवेट लि. लानबाग बम्बई 4400014	श्री राईशन रापनाता हंगरिंग निबोमिने प्रोडक्शन जयदेव, लानगसनिंग, जिला-792002		वर्गीकरण डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म के रूप में उत्तर-पूर्वी राज्यों में प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत।
67. मशा	158.61	वीरक बबली, 8 तुमसी खार-पानी रोड, खार, बम्बई-400052			सामान्य प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और डाकुमेंट्री के रूप में वर्गीकृत।
68. मोघारा सूर्या मन्थिर	286.51	सहायक सूचना निदेशक, (फिल्म) गुजरात सरकार, रामनाथ रिसर्च लेबोरेट्रीज लि., 77 डा. ऐनी बेसेंट रोड, वर्ली, बम्बई-400018	सूचना निदेशक, गुजरात सरकार सचिवालय, ब्लॉक-7, गांधीनगर, 382010		गुजरात सफिट में प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।
69. प्रगणी ने पन्थे	990.00	सहायक सूचना निदेशक, (फिल्म) गुजरात सरकार, रामनाथ रिसर्च लेबोरेट्रीज लि., 77 डा. ऐनी बेसेंट रोड, वर्ली, बम्बई-28	सूचना, निदेशक गुजरात सरकार, सचिवालय, गांधी नगर-382010		गुजरात सफिट में प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।
70. कलोटिंग बैंक	317.64	फिल्म प्रभाग, भारत सरकार, 24 पैडर रोड, बम्बई-400026			सामान्य प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।
71. गुस्साणी	295.00	-तथैव-			-तथैव-
72. महिनि चित्र संख्या 418	293.46	सहायक सूचना निदेशक, (फिल्म) गुजरात सरकार, 77, डा. ऐनी बेसेंट रोड, वर्ली, बम्बई-400018	सूचना निदेशक, गुजरात सरकार, सचिवालय, गांधी नगर		गुजरात सफिट में प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और समाचार और सामयिक घटनाओं के रूप में वर्गीकृत।
73. मल्लारी भेज, गुजरात	561.75	सहायक सूचना निदेशक, (फिल्म) गुजरात सरकार रामनाथ रिसर्च लेबोरेट्रीज लि., 77-डा. ऐनी बेसेंट रोड, वर्ली, बम्बई-400018	सूचना निदेशक, गुजरात सरकार, सचिवालय, ब्लॉक 7, गांधीनगर		डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म, गुजरात सफिट में प्रदर्शन के लिए।

1	2	3	4	5	6
74. प्रिन्ट इन्डाइनेस प्रबन्ध साईट	298.00	फिल्म प्रभाग, 24, पैडर रोड, बम्बई-4000261		डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म सामान्य प्रदर्शन के लिए।	
75. कैटल बैल्य आफ नार्थ ईस्ट (संक्षिप्त रूपान्तर)	256.87	श्री प्ररखेन्द्र भट्टाचार्य, होटल हीरामणी, प्राइवेट लिमिटेड, साल बाग, बम्बई-14	राईसन रैपसाग निधिमिते, प्रोडक्शन, जयएच, सागमींग, शिलांग-793002	डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म सामान्य प्रदर्शन के लिए।	
76. हम एक रहेंगे	365.00	प्रेम धवन प्रोडक्शन, 11-ए, जीवन, नेपिन सी रोड, बम्बई-6	प्रेम धवन, 11-ए-जीवन नेपिन सी रोड, बम्बई-6	डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म सामान्य प्रदर्शन के लिए।	
77. सौ नो सनावरे मिडि	472.44	सहायक सूचना निदेशक (फिल्म), गुजरात सरकार रामनाथ रिसर्च, सेबोरेटरीज लि. 77-आ. ऐनीजेसेंट, रोड, बर्ली, बम्बई-400018	सूचना निदेशक, गुजरात सरकार सचिवालय, ब्लाक-7 गांधी नगर-382010	डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म गुजरात सफिट में प्रदर्शन के लिए।	
78. 1857-वि विगनिंग	592.00	फिल्म प्रभाग, भारत सरकार, 24, पैडर रोड, बम्बई-400026		“डाकुमेंट्री” सामान्य प्रदर्शन के लिए	
79. आईमा	597.40	चाणक्य बाह्य, सचिव, वि बोदो फिल्म सोसाइटी कोकराझाड, असम	वि बोदो फिल्म सोसायटी, कोकराझाड, असम	डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म उन क्षेत्रों में प्रदर्शन के लिए जहाँ असमिया भाषा बोली जाती है।	
79ए. गरजा जयकार	285.00	सूचना और जनसंपर्क महानिदेशालय, महाराष्ट्र सरकार, फिल्म सेंटर, 68-तार देव रोड, बम्बई-400034	जनसंपर्क	डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म महाराष्ट्र सफिट में प्रदर्शन के लिए	
80. वार्ता तरंगिनी संख्या 62	265.24	आन्ध्र प्रदेश राज्य फिल्म विकास निगम लि., 11-5-423/1, जफर बाग, लकड़ी का पुल, हैदराबाद-500004		समाचार और सामयिक घटनाओं की फिल्म आन्ध्र प्रदेश सफिट प्रदर्शन के लिए।	
81. इण्डोअिनियस टेक्नोलोजी	302.00	फिल्म प्रभाग 24, पैडर रोड, बम्बई-400026	पैडर रोड,	डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म सामान्य प्रदर्शन के लिए।	
82. फिजन पावर कल्पककम	293.00	फाली विलिमोरियां प्रोडक्शन फिल्म, चौथा तल, 68-तारदेव रोड, बम्बई-400024		डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म सामान्य प्रदर्शन के लिए।	
83. महिहित लिख संख्या 419	298.70	सहायक सूचना निदेशक, (फिल्म) गुजरात सरकार रामनाथ रिसर्च सेबोरेटरीज लि., 77 आ. ऐनीजेसेंट रोड, बर्ली बम्बई-400018	सूचना निदेशक, गुजरात सरकार सचिवालय, ब्लाक-7 गांधी नगर-382010	समाचार और सामयिक घटनाओं की फिल्म गुजरात सफिट में प्रदर्शन के लिए।	
84. दुस्तो	457.00	-तथैव-	-तथैव-	डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म गुजरात सफिट में प्रदर्शन के लिए।	
85. स्प्रिट आफ नेशनलिज्म	598.32	फिल्म प्रभाग, भारत सरकार, 24-पैडर रोड, बम्बई-400026		डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म सामान्य प्रदर्शन के लिए।	
86. महाराष्ट्र प्यज संख्या 400	296.00	सूचना और जनसम्पर्क महानिदेशालय, महाराष्ट्र सरकार, फिल्म सेंटर, 68, तारदेव रोड, बम्बई-400034		समाचार और सामयिक घटनाओं की फिल्म (आन्ध्र प्रदेश सफिट में प्रदर्शन के लिए)	
87. वार्ता तरंगिनी संख्या 63	262.13	आन्ध्र प्रदेश राज्य फिल्म विकास निगम लि., 11-5-423/1, लकड़ी का पुल, हैदराबाद-500004		समाचार और सामाजिक घटनाओं की फिल्म (आन्ध्र प्रदेश सफिट में प्रदर्शन के लिए।)	
88. स्टैमिंग फारवाड	299.92	मैसर्स गोबर्धन, अधिकारी प्रोडक्शन मैसर्स सूबी हाउस, 91 'ए' कोल्लिहिलम 251, पामो हिल बांश, बम्बई-50		डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म सामान्य प्रदर्शन के लिए।	

1	2	3	4	5	6
89. एक आकार	593.45	एस.एस. गुलजार, 91-ए, कोर्जा हम्, 251 पाली हिल्स, बांद्रा बम्बई-400050	मै. सूची हाउस	डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म सामान्य प्रदर्शन के लिए	
90. अखबार मुबह का कहानी रात की	301.00	बच्चन, श्रीवास्तव, माध्यम 16, दरियागंज, नई दिल्ली-110002		—तथैव—	
91. महिषि चित्र	298.70	विनोद गणेश, सहायक सूचना निदेशक (फिल्म) गुजरात सरकार, रामनार्ड रिसर्च लेबोरेटरीज लि. बम्बई-400018	सूचना निदेशक गुजरात सरकार सचि- वालय, ब्लॉक 7, गांधी नगर-10	समाचार और सामयिक घटनाओं की फिल्म (गुजरात सकिट में प्रदर्शन के लिए)।	
92. मध्य प्रदेश	371.58	आनन्द हुतवालने मार्फत निदेशक, सूचना और जनसम्पर्क, मध्य प्रदेश, भोपाल	सूचना और जनसम्पर्क निदेशक भोपाल	समाचार और सामयिक घटनाओं की फिल्म (मध्यमप्रदेश सकिट में प्रदर्शन के लिए)।	
93. कालफर स्वरान एण्ड स्वदेशी	575.76	फिल्म प्रभाग, भारत सरकार, 24, पैडर रोड, बम्बई-400026		डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म सम्पूर्ण प्रदर्शन के लिए।	
94. वार्ता तर्कगती संख्या 64	201.17	आंध्र प्रदेश राज्य फिल्म विकास निगम लि., 11-5-323/1, अफरबाग, लकड़ी का पुल, हैदराबाद-500004		समाचार और सामयिक घटनाओं की फिल्म आंध्र प्रदेश सकिट में प्रदर्शन के लिए।	
95. भोलाना मोहम्मद अली जोहर	439.52	फिल्म प्रभाग, 24, पैडर रोड, बम्बई-400026		“डाकुमेंट्री” फिल्म। सामान्य प्रदर्शन के लिए।	
96. छत्तीसगढ़	530.97	एस.जी. खिरवाडकर, संयुक्त निदेशक, सूचना और जनसम्पर्क, मध्य प्रदेश सरकार, भोपाल	सूचना और जनसम्पर्क निदेशक, मध्य प्रदेश शासन, भोपाल	डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म मध्य प्रदेश सकिट में प्रदर्शन लिए	
97. महिषि चित्र संख्या 421	298.70	सहायक सूचना निदेशक (फिल्म) गुजरात सरकार, रामनार्ड रिसर्च लेबोरेटरीज लि. 77-डा. ए.नी. रोड बर्ली, बम्बई-18	सूचना, निदेशक, गुजरात सरकार सचिवालय, ब्लॉक 7, गांधी नगर-10	समाचार और सामयिक घटनाओं की फिल्म (गुजरात सकिट में प्रदर्शन के लिए)।	
98. गुजरात स्टेडियम खाते प्रथम मैच		सहायक सूचना निदेशक (फिल्म) गुजरात सरकार/रामनार्ड रिसर्च लेबोरेटरीज लि. 77 डा. ए.नी. रोड बर्ली, बम्बई-18	सूचना, निदेशक, गुजरात सरकार सचिवालय, ब्लॉक-7, गांधीनगर-10	डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म, (गुजरात सकिट में प्रदर्शन के लिए)	
99. सेण्टिनलस थाफ ‘दि नार्थ-ईस्ट दि असम राफ़ल्स,	466.35	शंकर घोष, पी/86/डी-न्यू सी. आई. टी. रोड, कलकत्ता-700014		“डाकुमेंट्री” फिल्म (सामान्य प्रदर्शन के लिये)।	
100. दैन केम गांधी	589.00	फिल्म प्रभाग 24, पैडर रोड, बम्बई-400026		—तथैव—	
101. बिहार समाचार चित्र संख्या-33	243.84	एम. सा., फिल्म सम्पादक, सूचना और जनसम्पर्क विभाग, बिहार सरकार, पटना।	निदेशक सूचना और जनसम्पर्क, बिहार सरकार, पटना	समाचार और सामयिक घटनाओं की फिल्म। (बिहार सकिट में प्रदर्शन के लिये)।	
102. तथैव संख्या-32	259.08	—तथैव—	—तथैव—	—तथैव—	
103. तथैव संख्या-31	237.74	—तथैव—	—तथैव—	—तथैव—	

1	2	3	4	5	6
104. ज़बर गैलेट सन्त		फिल्म प्रभाग, भारत सरकार 24 पैडर रोड, बम्बई-400026			डाकूमैट्री फिल्म। (सामयिक प्रदर्शन के लिये)।
105. उपलब्धि	278.59	एम. शा., फिल्म सम्पादक, सूचना और जनसम्पर्क, बिहार सरकार, पटना	निदेशक, सूचना और जनसम्पर्क, बिहार सरकार, पटना।		डाकूमैट्री फिल्म। (बिहार सफिट में प्रदर्शन के लिये)।
106. कारले	285.00	सूचना और जनसम्पर्क महाराष्ट्र सरकार, 68-तारवेव रोड	महानिदेशालय फिल्म सेन्टर, बम्बई-400034		डाकूमैट्री फिल्म। (सामयिक प्रदर्शन के लिये)।
107. माफ्टर कोमा ए. फुल स्टाप	110.00	—तय्य—			—तय्य—
108. मध्य प्रदेश समाचार संख्या-51	260.60	प्रानंद हुतवालने मार्फत निदेशक सूचना और जनसम्पर्क, मध्य प्रदेश सरकार, भोपाल।	निदेशक, सूचना और जनसम्पर्क, मध्य प्रदेश सरकार, भोपाल।		समाचार और सामयिक घटनाओं की फिल्म। (मध्य प्रदेश सफिट में प्रदर्शन के लिये)।
109. उत्तर प्रदेश समाचार संख्या-118	276.45	घोरेन्द्र पाण्डे निर्माता और जनसम्पर्क निदेशालय, उत्तर प्रदेश सरकार।	म्यूब्रोस सूचना		समाचार और सामयिक घटनाओं की फिल्म। (उत्तर प्रदेश सफिट में प्रदर्शन के लिये)।
110. बारागा	600.00	सूचना और जनसम्पर्क महानिदेशालय, महाराष्ट्र सरकार, फिल्म सेंटर 68-तारवेव रोड, बम्बई-34			डाकूमैट्री फिल्म। महाराष्ट्र सफिट में सामान्य प्रदर्शन के लिये।
111. ज्ञान भाषा गांधीयन एरा	567.00	फिल्म प्रभाग, भारत सरकार, 24 पैडर रोड, बम्बई-26			डाकूमैट्री फिल्म। (सामान्य प्रदर्शन के लिये)।
112. महिति चित्र संख्या-422	256.03	सहायक सूचना निदेशक (फिल्म) गुजरात सरकार, रामनाथ रिसर्च लेबोरेट्रीज लि., 77 डा. ऐनी बेसेंट रोड, बर्ली, बम्बई-400018	सूचना निदेशक, गुजरात सरकार सचिवालय, ब्लाक 7, गांधीनगर-382010		समाचार और सामयिक घटनाओं की फिल्म गुजरात सफिट में प्रदर्शन के लिये।
113. भारती तरंगिनी सं.-65	224.08	भारत प्रदेश राज्य फिल्म विकास निगम लि., 11-5-423/1, अफर बाग, लकड़ी का पुल, हैदराबाद			समाचार और सामयिक घटनाओं की फिल्म (भारत प्रदेश सफिट में प्रदर्शन के लिये)।
114. मध्य प्रदेश समाचार संख्या-32	279.50	प्रानंद हुतवालने मार्फत, निदेशक, सूचना और जनसम्पर्क, मध्य प्रदेश सरकार, भोपाल।	निदेशक, सूचना और जनसम्पर्क, मध्य प्रदेश सरकार, भोपाल।		समाचार और सामयिक घटनाओं की फिल्म, मध्य प्रदेश सफिट में प्रदर्शन के लिये)।
115. धरती तो छेड़ो धर		सहायक सूचना निदेशक, (फिल्म) गुजरात सरकार, रामनाथ रिसर्च लेबोरेट्रीज लि., डा. 77 ऐनी बेसेंट रोड, बर्ली, बम्बई-18	सूचना निदेशक, गुजरात सरकार सचिवालय, ब्लाक 7, गांधीनगर-382010		डाकूमैट्री फिल्म। गुजरात सफिट में प्रदर्शन के लिये।
116. बिफरैन्ट रेस्टिनी	548.64	बी. एन. नाने मध्य-सचिव, पीपुल्स एक्शन फार डेवलपमेंट (महा.) कृषि और सहाकारिता विभाग, मंशालय, बम्बई-32	पिपुल्स एक्शन फार डेवलपमेंट (महा.) कृषि और सहाकारिता विभाग मंशालय, एनेक्सी बम्बई-32		डाकूमैट्री फिल्म। महाराष्ट्र सफिट में प्रदर्शन के लिये।
117. दि वेरी ब्राट सागा	305.00	श्री शंकर बोव, पी. 86-डी, म्यू. सी. आई. टी. रोड, कलकत्ता-14			डाकूमैट्री फिल्म। सामान्य प्रदर्शन के लिये।
118. एक्सपोर्ट प्रमोशन इन गुजरात	471.83	सहायक सूचना निदेशक, (फिल्म) गुजरात सरकार, रामनाथ रिसर्च लेबोरेट्रीज लि., 77-डा. ऐनी बेसेंट रोड बर्ली, बम्बई-400018	निदेशक, सूचना, गुजरात सरकार सचिवालय, ब्लाक-7, गांधी नगर-382010		डाकूमैट्री फिल्म। गुजरात सफिट में प्रदर्शन के लिये।
119. लेट मस टेक ए होलीडे	288.00	सूचना और जनसम्पर्क महानिदेशालय, महाराष्ट्र सरकार फिल्म सं. 68 तारवेव रोड, बम्बई-400034			डाकूमैट्री फिल्म। महाराष्ट्र में प्रदर्शन के लिये।
120. नाथ को-आपरेशन मूवमेंट	529.00	फिल्म डिवीजन, भारत सरकार, 24, पैडर रोड, बम्बई-400026			डाकूमैट्री फिल्म। सामान्य प्रदर्शन के लिये।
121. महिति चित्र संख्या 423	249.94	सहायक सूचना निदेशक (फिल्म), गुजरात सरकार, रामनाथ रिसर्च लेबोरेट्रीज लि., 77-डा. ऐनी बेसेंट रोड, बर्ली, बम्बई-18	सूचना निदेशक, गुजरात सरकार सचिवालय, ब्लाक-7, गांधीनगर-382010		समाचार और सामयिक घटनाओं की फिल्म गुजरात सफिट में प्रदर्शन के लिये।

1	2	3	4	5	6
122.	महाराष्ट्र समाचार संख्या-401	290.00	सूचना और जनसम्पर्क महाविद्यालय, महाराष्ट्र सरकार, 68-तारवेम रोड, बम्बई-400054		समाचार और सामयिक घटनाओं की फिल्म। महाराष्ट्र सर्किट में प्रदर्शन के लिये।
123.	लैट ग्रस सिंग टूनीवर	505.00	फिल्म प्रभाग, भारत सरकार, 24- पैवर रोड, बम्बई-400026		सामान्य प्रदर्शन के लिये स्वीकृत और डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत
124.	पुट्टाबा पूरनाम्मा	594.77	टी. कृष्णा 1-8-181/7, प्रिन्डर वास्ट रोड, भिकवरवावा		डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत और आन्ध्र प्रदेश सर्किट में प्रदर्शन के लिये स्वीकृत।
125.	मण्डन विद्यालय		आन्ध्र प्रदेश राज्य फिल्म विकास निगम लि., 11-5-423/1, जफर बाग, लकड़ी का पुल, हैदराबाद-500004		—तथैव—
126.	सहकार	590.00	श्री पुरुष भाल्कार, सचिव, दि किट्स फिल्म प्रोडक्शन को. प्रापरे. सोसायटी लि., 5 एफ. नाज, बिल्डिंग, लेपिनगहन रोड, बम्बई-400004		डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत और महाराष्ट्र सर्किट के लिये स्वीकृत।
127.	महामती प्राणनाथ	510.00	फिल्म प्रभाग, भारत सरकार 24- पैवर रोड, बम्बई-400026		डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म सामान्य प्रदर्शन के लिये।
128.	राम सुला राम	449.12	—तथैव—		डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म। महाराष्ट्र सर्किट में प्रदर्शन के लिये।
129.	चिरकते पांव	592.00	सूचना और जनसम्पर्क निदेशालय, महाराष्ट्र सरकार, फिल्म सेंटर 68- तारवेम रोड, बम्बई-400034		—तथैव—
130.	परति बा	294.00	एस.एम. जुम्हारकर 12-ए, नगरावेवी को. प्राप. हाऊसिंग सोसायटी, टी.पी. एस.बी. रोड प्लॉ. 8, साप्ताक्रुज (ई), बम्बई-53	निदेशक, फिल्मी, सूचना और जनसम्पर्क निदेशालय, महाराष्ट्र सरकार, बम्बई-32	डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म महाराष्ट्र सर्किट में प्रदर्शन के लिये।
131.	भार्ता तरंगिनी संख्या-66	259.08	आन्ध्र प्रदेश फिल्म विकास निगम लि., 11-5-423/1, जफर बाग, लकड़ी का पुल, हैदराबाद-500004		समाचार और सामयिक घटनाओं की फिल्म आन्ध्र प्रदेश सर्किट में प्रदर्शन के लिये।
132.	कोल इंडिया सरगिंग ग्रहृइ न दि एहीज	526.40	कोल इंडिया लिमिटेड, कोल भवन, 1, नेताजी सुभाष रोड, कलकत्ता।		डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म। सामान्य प्रदर्शन के लिये।
133.	भान्ति ज्योत	365.76	सहायक सूचना निदेशक, (फिल्म) गुजरात सरकार, रामभाई रिसर्च लेबोरेट्रीज लि., 77, डा. ऐनी बेसेंट रोड, बर्ली, बम्बई-18	सूचना निदेशक, गुजरात सरकार सचिवालय, ब्लाक 7, गांधीनगर- 382010	समाचार और सामयिक घटनाओं की फिल्म, गुजरात सर्किट में प्रदर्शन के लिये।
134.	लेपाळी शिल्प सम्पदा		बी.य. राव, मार्फत, बी. एस. प्रसाद, 1-2-41, डीमालगुडा, हैदराबाद		आन्ध्र प्रदेश सर्किट और डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत
135.	बोघर प्रीमियम बोट		फिल्म प्रभाग, भारत सरकार, 24, पैवर रोड, बम्बई-400026		सामान्य प्रदर्शन के लिये और डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत
136.	घमर जवान		—तथैव—		—तथैव—
137.	चिकटरी एटबारबोली		फिल्म प्रभाग, भारत सरकार, 24 पैवर रोड, बम्बई-400026		सामान्य प्रदर्शन के लिये और डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत

New Delhi, the 20th March, 1987

ORDER

S O. 1038.—In pursuance of the directions issued under the provision of each of the enactments specified in the first Schedule to the Order of the Government of India in the Ministry of Information and Broadcasting No. S.O. 3792 dated 2nd December, 1966 the Central Government after considering recommendations of the Film Advisory Board, Bombay hereby approves the films specified in column 2 of the Schedule annexed hereto in all its/their language versions to be of the description specified against it/each in column 6 of the said Schedule.

SCHEDULE

Sl. No.	Title of the film	Length of the film in metres	Name of the Applicant	Name of the Producer	Brief synopsis whether a scientific film or for educational purpose or a film dealing with news & current events or documentary film.
1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	Your Companion	345.00	C.T. Baptista Hunner Films Nanabhay Mansion, Sir P.M. Road Bombay-400001.	C.T. Baptista Hunner Films, Nanabhay Mansion, Sir P.M. Road, Bombay-400001.	'Documentary'. General release
2.	Safe Cooking Gas At Your Doorstep (Col.)	166.42	G's Filmshop Ranjit Studio 2nd Floor, D.P. Road Dadar, Bombay-400014	G's Filmshop, Ranjit Studio, 2nd Floor, D.P. Road, Dadar, Bombay-400014	'Documentary'. General release
3.	Beyond the Stars		Sh. H.M.K. Murthy B. Kurubara Sangha Buildings, 2nd Cross, Gandhinagar, Bangalore-560009	H.M.K. Murthy B. Kurubara Sangha Buildings, 2nd Cross, Gandhinagar, Bangalore-560009.	'Documentary' General release
4.	Hifaaazat	328.00	Shakil Khan Ashraf Studios, Daginawala Mansion Opp. to Mahin Rly. Stn., Mahin(W) Bombay-400016	Shakil Khan Ashraf Studios, Daginawala Mansion Opp. to Mahim Rly Stn., Mahim (W), Bombay-400016	-do-
5.	Ek Sawal Munni Ki	462.08	Vaishna Cinematic Visuals, 6, Marina, N.L. Road, Bandra (W) Bombay-400050	Rajiv Arora, 6, Marina, N.L. Road, Bandra (W) Bombay-400050	-do-
6.	Gujarat No Garbo	597.71	Asstt. Director of Information (Films), Govt. of Gujarat, Ramnord Research Lab. Ltd., 77-Dr. A.B. Road, Worli, Bombay-18.	Director of Information Govt. of Gujarat, Sachivalaya, Block 7, Gandhinagar-382010	-do-

1	2	3	4	5	6
7. Guda Madiya	551.00	Directorate General of Inf. & Public Relations, Govt. of Maharashtra, Film Centre, 68-Tardeo Road, Bombay-400034	Directorate General of Information & Public Relations, Government of Maharashtra, Film Centre 68-Tardeo Road, Bombay-34.	-do-	
8. The Beginning	398.00	Films Division 24-Peddar Road Bombay-400026	Films Division 24-Peddar Road, Bombay-400026.	-do-	
9. Maa	452.00	Prem Kapoor, 2, Sweet Home 9th Rd. Extn. J.V.P.D. Scheme, Bombay-400049	Manohar Krishna Productions, 2, Sweet Home, 9th Rd. Extn. J.V.P.D. Scheme, Bombay-400049.	Documentary General release.	
10. Parasmani	333.15	Asstt. Director of Information (Films) Ramnord Research Lab. Ltd. 77, Dr. A.B. Road, Worli, Bombay-18.	Director of Information Govt. of Gujarat, Sachivalaya, Block 7, Gandhinagar-382010	Documentary release in Gujarat circuit	
11. Mahitichitra No. 410	298.70	-do-	-do-	News and Current Events (Release in Gujarat circuit)	
12. Khush-Hal Zindagi	186.70	Dharmesh Dutta Kala-Shree, Mohan Studios Andheri (East) Bombay.	Dharmesh Dutta Kala-Shree Mohan Studios Andheri (East) Bombay.	Documentary General release	
13. Andhra Pradesh Prabhutva, Pradhama Vaarshika Nivedika Samarpana Sadassu	300.00	A.P. State Film Development Corp. Ltd. 11-5-423/1, Lakdi-ka-pool, Hyderabad-500004	A.P. State Film Development Corp. Ltd. 11-5-423/1, Lakdi-ka-pool, Hyderabad.	'Documentary' release in A.P. Circuit	
14. Bhool	303.50	Mrs. Aba Hazarika, City Services, Dispur, Last Gate, Gauhati-6.	Aba Hazarika & Manjula Hazarika, City Services, Dispur, Lastgate Gauhati-6.	'Documentary' Release in Assam circuit	
15. Youga-Yatri	560.83	Mr. Subhash K. Jaykar, Jaykar's Bunglow, Sutar-ali, Tam-taloo, Vasai-401201.	Subhash K. Jaykar Jaykar's Bunglow, Tam-taloo, Vasai-401201.	'Documentary' General release	
16. Living— At what Price ?	325.00	Films Division, 24-Peddar Road, Bombay-400026.	Films Division, 24-Peddar Road, Bombay-400026.	'Documentary'. General release	

1	2	3	4	5	6
17. Mahitichitra No. 411	274.32	Asstt. Director of Inf., Govt. of Gujarat, Ramnord Research Lab. Ltd., 77, Dr. A.B. Road, Worli, Bombay-18.	Director of Information, Govt. of Gujarat Sachivalaya, Block 7, Gandhinagar-10	'News and Current Events' (Release in Gujarat circuit)	
18. Is it Fair	54.00	Films Division, 24-Peddar Road, Bombay-400026.	Films Division, 24-Peddar Road, Bombay-400026.	'Documentary'. General release.	
19. The Roof	405.00	-do-	-do-	-do-	
20. Rath Chalala Pudha Pudhe	279.00	Directorate General of Information & Public Relations Govt. of Maharashtra, Film Centre, 68-Tardeo Road, Bombay-400034.	Directorate General of Information & Public Relations Govt. of Maharashtra Film Centre, 68-Tardeo Road, Bombay-400034.	Documentary (Release in Maharashtra circuit)	
21. Maharashtra News No. 397	300.00	-do-	-do-	'News and Current Event' Release in Maharashtra circuit	
22. The Challenge of Change	463.30	M/s. Issar Films, 2, Mitra Milan 68, Chapel Road, Bombay-400050	M/s. Issar Films, 2, Mitra Milan, 68' Chapel Road, Bombay-400050	'Documentary'. General release	
23. Varta Tarangini No. 58	268.59	Andhra Pradesh State, Corporation, 11-5-423/1, Hyderabad-500004.	Film Dvelopment Zafarbagh, Lakdi-ka-pool,	News and Current Events (Release in A.P. circuit).	
24. Varta Tarangini No. 57	287.19	-do-	-do-	-do-	
25. Varta Tarangini No. 56	283.53	-do-	-do-	-do-	
26. Song of Silence	465.00	Films Division, 24-Peddar Road, Bombay-400026.	Films Division, 24-Peddar Road, Bombay-400026	Documentary General release	
27. Daina	597.40	Chanakya Brahma Secretary, The Bodo Film Society, Kokrajhar, Assam.	The Bodo Films Society Kokrajhar, Assam	Documtary (Release in areas where Bodo language is understood)	
28. Bihar Samachar Chitra	263.96	M. Jha, Film Editor, Inf. & Pub. Relations Patna.	Director of Information & Public Relations, Patna-15.	News and Current Events (Release in Bihar Circuit)	
29. Mahitichitra No. 412	274.32	Asstt. Director of Information, Govt. of Gujarat, Ramnord Research Lab. Ltd. 77, Dr. A.B. Rd., Worli, Bombay-18.	Director of Information Govt. of Gujarat Sachivalaya, Block 7, Gandhinagar-10	News & Current Events (Release in Gujarat circuit)	

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.
30. Vikas Ki Rahen	593.45	Satya Prakash A-30 Defence Colony, New Delhi-110024.	Satya Prakash A-30, Defence Colony New Delhi-24.	Documentary General release.	
31. Pragatich Pathik	321.00	Directorate General of Inf. & Public Relations, Govt. of Maharashtra, Film Centre, 68-Tardeo Road, Bombay-400034.		Approved for Maharashtra circuit and classified as 'documentary'	
32. Nagarik	441.96	Prafulla Saikia, C/o Tarangpi Enterprises, Hospital Road, Diphukarbi Anglong.		Release in Assam as documentary	
33. Hydro-Electric Projects	222.00	Films Division, Government of India 24-Peddar Road, Bombay-400025		General release and classified as documentary in all its versions.	
34. Kanadia	278.58	S.G. Khirwadhar Jt. Director, M.P. Government.	Directorate of Inf. & Publicity, M.P. government	Release in M.P. circuit and classified as documentary	
35. Bihar Samachar- chitra No. 30	245.97	M. Jha, Film Editor, Inf. & Public Relations Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.	Director of Inf. & Public Relations, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.	Release in Bihar circuit and classified as 'N&CE'	
36. Bihar Samachar- chitra No. 29	288.4	-do-	-do-	-do-	
37. Mouji And The strologer	184.00	Films Division, 24-Peddar Road, Bombay-400026.	Films Division 42-Peddar Road, Bombay-400026.	Documentary. General release	
38. Memories from Madhya Pradesh	458.00	-do-	-do-	News & current events (release in All India)	
39. Potable Water for Millions	451.00	-do-	-do-	Documentary Gen. release.	
40. Rs. 2 A Kilo Rice	202.43	Andhra Pradesh State Film Development Corporation Limited, 11-5-423/1/ Zafarbagh, Lakdi-ka-Pool, Hyderabad-400004.		Documentary (Release in Andhra Pradesh circuit)	
41. Handi Bahorathula Pradanostavam '83	335.36	-do-		News and Current Events (Release in A.P. circuit)	
42. Mahiti-chitra No. 413	243.82	Asstt. Director of Information (Films), Govt. of Gujarat Ramnord Research Lab. Ltd., 77, Dr. A.B. Road, Worli, Bombay-400018.	Director of Information Govt. of Gujarat Sachivalaya, Block 7, Gandhinagar-382010	News and Current Events (Release in Gujarat circuit)	

1	2	3	4	5	6
43. Women Entrepreneurs	295.35	Films Division, 24-Peddar Road, Bombay-400026.	Films Division 24-Peddar Road, Bombay-400026.	Documentary Gen. release	
44. To Dolas Zala	306.00	Directorate General of Information & Public Relations, Government of Maharashtra, Film Center, 68, Tardeo Road, Bombay-400 034.		'Documentary' Release in Maharashtra State.	
45. Vadakath-A Thervad in Kerala	599.40	Muzaffar Ali Communication Films, A-1 Mander, Juhu Village, Bombay-49.	Communication Films A-1, Mandir Juhu Village, Bombay-49.	'Documentary' General release	
46. We	106.38	M/s. Cineman Pundalik Niwas Trombay, Bombay-88.	Baba Majgav kar Pundalik Niwas Trombay, Bombay-88.	-do-	
47. Uttar Pradesh Samachar No. 117	294.13	Dhirendra Pande, Producer Newsreel, Directorate of Information & Public Relations, Govt. of U.P., Lucknow.		'News and Current Events (Release in U. P. (circuit)).	
48. Varta Tarangini No. 60	239.63	Andhra Pradesh State Film Development Corp. Ltd., 11.5—423/1, Zafar Bagh, Lakdikapool, Hyderabad-4.		News and Current Events (Release in Andhra Pradesh circuit).	
49. Varta Tarangini No. 59	289.63	-do-		-do-	
50. Mahitichitre No. 414	243.84	Asstt. Director of Information, Govt. of Gujarat, Ramnord Research Lab. Ltd., 77, Dr. A.B. Road, Worli, Bombay-18.	Director of Information, Govt. of Gujarat, Sachivalaya, Block 7, Gandhi-nagar-382010.	News and Current Events (Release in Gujarat Circuit.	
51. Mahitichitra No. 415	243.80	-do-	-do-	-do-	
52. Maharashtra News No. 398	300.00	Director General of Information and Public Relations, Government of Maharashtra, Film Center, 68-Tardeo Road, Bombay-400 034.		News and Current Events (release in Maharashtra circuit.)	
52A. Maharashtra Rajya Yuva Sammelan Akola 1984	250.00	-do-	-do-	Release in Maharashtra circuit and classified as 'documentary'	
52B. Fish Pond (Hindi) (Colour)	255.00	Baba Majgavkar Cinemen, Pundalik Niwas Trombay, Bombay-400088	-do-	Approved for General Release and classified as 'documentary'.	
52C. Circle of Confusion (Hindi) (colour)	202.00	-do-	-do-	-do-	

1	2	3	4	5	6
53. Rivaj	111.24	Films Division, 24 Peddar Road, Bombay-400 026.			'Documentary' release. Gen.
54. Aisaa Kyoon	274.00	Ravi Mehra, C/o Minnis Film India, 36 Hari Market, Opp. Khar Station, Bombay-400 052			-do-
55. Chhattis Ghar Ek Zalak	579.12	Rajindrajeet Singh, C-27 Chand Society, Juhu Church, Santacruz, Bombay-400 049.			-do-
56. Sare Jahanse Achcha	199.00	Directorate General of Inf. & Pub. Relation, Govt. of Maharashtra, Film Centre, 68 Tardeo Road, Bombay-400 034.			Documentary (Release in Maharashtra circuit).
57. Asi Basaliya, Pahadawar	313.00		-do-		-do-
58. Varta Tarangini	274.32	Andhra Pradesh State Film Development Corp. Ltd., 11-5-423/1, Zafarbagh, Ladki-ka-pool, Hyderabad-500 004.			News & Current Events' (Release in A.P. circuit).
59. Mahitichitra	274.32	Asstt. Director of Information Govt. of Gujarat, Ramnord Research Lab. Ltd., Worli, Bombay-18.	Director of Information, Govt. of Gujarat, Sachivalaya, Block-7, Gandhinagar-382010		News & Current Events' (Release in Gujarat circuit).
60. Our Gallant Sons	541.00	Films Division, Govt. of India, 24-Peddar Road, Bombay-400026.			Approved for general release under the classification 'Documentary'.
61. Andhra Pradesh Devalayalu	259.38	Andhra Pradesh State Film Development Corporation Ltd., 11-5-423/1, Zafarbagh, Hyderabad-500 004.			Approved for release in A.P. circuit under the classification 'Documentary'.
62. Tarataralu Telugu Samas-kruti.	308.15	-do-			-do-
63. Mahitichitra	298.70	Asstt. Director of Information, Govt. of Gujarat, Ramnord Research, Laboratories Ltd., 77, Dr. Annie Besant Road, Worli, Bombay.	Director of In., Govt. of Gujarat, Gujarat.		Approved for general release in Gujarat circuit under the classification 'Documentary'.
64. Jyothi Jyot Jale	598.63	Asstt. Director of Inf., Govt. of Gujarat, Ramnord Research Lab. Ltd., 77, Dr. Annie Besant Road, Worli, Bombay-18.	Director of Inf., Govt. of Gujarat, Gujarat.		Approved for gen. release in Gujarat circuit under the classification 'Documentary'.
65. Maharashtra New No. 399	195.00	Directorate Gen. of Inf. & Public Relations, Govt. of Maharashtra, Film Centre, 68, Tardeo Road, Bombay-34.	Govt. of Maharashtra.		Approved for gen. release in Maharashtra circuit under the classification 'Documentary'.

1	2	3	4	5	6
66.	Cattle Wealth of North-East	256.87	Shri Ardhender Bhattacharya, Hotel Hiramani Pvt. Ltd., Lalbough, Bombay-440 014	Shri Rishan Rapsang English Neo Cine Prodn., Jaiaw, Langsning Shillong-792002.	Approved for release in North Eastern States and classified as 'Documentary'.
67.	Nasha	158.61	Deepak Bakshi, 8, Tulsi, Kharpali Road, Khar, Bombay-400 052.		Approved for gen. release and classified as 'documentary'.
68.	Modhara Surya Mandir	286.51	Asstt. Dir. of Inf., (Films), Govt. of Gujarat, Ramnord Research Lab. Ltd., 77, Dr. A.B. Road, Worli, Bombay-18.	Director of Information, Govt. of Gujarat, Sachivalaya, Block 7, Gandhinagar-382010.	Approved for release in Gujarat circuit and classified as 'documentary'.
69.	Pragani Ne Panthe	990.00	Asstt. Dir. of Inf., (Films) Govt. of Ramnord Research Lab. Pvt. Ltd., 77-Dr. A.B. Road, Worli, Bombay-18.	Dir. of Inf., Govt. of Gujarat Sachivalaya, Gandhinagar-382010.	Approved for release in Gujarat circuit and classified as 'documentary'.
70.	Floating Bank	317.64	Films Division, Govt. of India, 24-Peddar Road, Bombay-400 026.		Approved for gen. release and classified as 'documentary'.
71.	Gurbani	295.00		-do-	-do-
72.	Mahitichitra No. 418	283.46	Asstt. Dir. of Inf., (Films), Govt. of Gujarat, 77-Dr. A.B. Road, Worli, Bombay-400 018.	Director of Inf., Govt. of Gujarat, Sachivalaya, Gandhinagar.	Approved for Gujarat circuit and classified as News & Current Events.
73.	Shakari Kshetra Gujarat	561.75	Asstt. Director of Inf., (Films) Govt. of Gujarat, Ramnord Research. Lab. Ltd., 77, Dr. A.B. Road, Worli, Bombay-18.	Dir. of Gujarat Govt. of Gujarat, Sachivalaya, Block 7, Gandhinagar.	'Documentary' Release in Gujarat circuit.
74.	Prevent Blindness, Preserve sight.	298.00	Films Division, Bombay-400 026.	24-Peddar Road,	'Documentary' Gen. release.
75.	Cattle Wealth of North East (Shorter version)	256.87	Ardhendra Bhattacharya, Hotel Hiramani Pvt. Ltd. Lalbaug, Bombay-14.	Rishon Rapsang Neo Cine Production, Jaiaw Langming, Shillong-793002.	'Documentary' Gen. release.
76.	Hum Ek Rahenge	365.00	Prem Dhawan Prodn 11, A-Jiwan, Nepean, Sea Road, Bombay-6.	Prem Dhawan, 11/A-Jiwan, Nepean Sea Road, Bombay-6.	'Documentary' Gen. release.
77.	Sau Na Sathavre Siddhi	472.44	Asstt. Dir. of Inf., Govt. of Gujarat, Ramnord Research Lab. Ltd., 77, Dr. A.B. Road, Worli, Bombay-400 018.	Dir. of Inf., Govt. of Gujarat, Sachivalaya, Block 7, Gandhinagar-382010.	'Documentary' Release in Gujarat circuit.
78.	1857—The Beginning	592.00	Films Division, Govt. of India, Bombay-400 026.	24-Peddar Road,	'Documentary' Gen. Release.

1	2	3	4	5	6
79. Daina	597.40	Chanakya Brahma Sec- retary, The Bodo Film Society, Kokrajhar, Assam.	The Bodo Film Society, Kokrajhar, Assam.	'Documentary' (for re- release in areas where Assamese language is spoken.	
79A. Garja Jayyaykar	285.00	Directorate General of Inf., & Public Relations, Govt. of Maharashtra, Film Centre, 68-Tardeo Road, Bombay-400034.		'Documentary' Release in Maharashtra circuit.	
80. Varta Tarangini No. 62	265.24	Andhra Pradesh State Film Development Corpora- tion Ltd., 11-5-423/1, Jafarbagh, Lakdi-ka-pool, Hyderabad-500 004.		News and Current Events (Release in A.P. circuit)	
81. Indigenous Technology	302.00	Films Division, 24-Peddar Road, Bombay-400026		Documentary, Gen. re- lease	
82. Fission Power Kalpakkam	293.00	Fali Billimoria Production, Film Centre, 4th Floor, 68-Tardeo Road, Bombay-400 024.		'Documentary'. Gen. re- lease.	
83. Mahitichitra No. 419	298.70	Asstt. Dir. of Inf., (Films), Govt. of Guja- rat, Ramnord Research Lab. Ltd., 77, Dr. A.B. Road, Worli, Bombay-18.	Director of Inf., Govt. of Gujarat, Sachivalaya, Block 7, Gandhinagar-383010.	News & Current Events (Release in Gujarat cir- cuit).	
84. Drushtee	457.00	-do-	-do-	Documentary, Release in Gujarat circuit.	
85. The Spirit of Nationalism	598.32	Films Divivision, Government of India, 24- Peddar Road, Bombay-400 026.		'Documentary'. Gen. release.	
86. Maharashtra News No. 400	296.00	Directorate General of Information & Public Rela- tions, Govt. of Maharashtra, Film Centre, 68- Tardeo Road, Bombay-400 034.		News & Current Events (Release in Maharashtra circuit).	
87. Varta Tarangini No. 63	262.13	Andhra Pradesh State Film Development Corpora- tion Ltd., 11-5-423/1, Lakdi-ka-pool, Hyderabad-500 004.		News & Current Events (Release in A.P. circuit).	
88. Stepping Forward	299.92	M/s. Gobardhan, Adhikary Prod., A-12, Sunder Roy Road, Calcutta-39.		'Documentary' General release.	
89. Ek Akar	593.45	S.S. Gulzar, Prop., M/s. Movie House, 91-A, Cozihom, 251, Pali Hill, Bandra, Bombay-400 050.		-do-	
90. Akhbar Subahka Kahani Raat Ki	301.00	Bachchan Srivastava, Maddhyam, 16, Daryog, New Delhi-110002.		-do	
91. Mahitichitra No. 420.	298.70	Vinod Ganatra, Asstt. Dir. of Inf., (Films) Govt. of Gujarat, Ram- nord Research Lab. Ltd., Bombay-400 018.	Dir. of Inf., Govt. of Gujarat, Sachivalaya, Block 7, Gandhinagar-10.	News & Current Events' (Release in Gujarat cir- cuit).	
92. Madhya Pradesh Samachar Dar- shan No. 50.	271.58	Anand Hatvalne, C/o Director, Inf. & Publicity, M.P. Bhopal.	Dir. of Inf. & Publicity, Bhopal.	News & Current Events' (Release in M.P. circuit).	

1	2	3	4	5	6
93.	Call for Swaraj and Swadeshi	575.76	Films Division, Govt. of India, 24-Peddar Road, Bombay-400 026.		'Documentary' Release in India circuit.
94.	Varta Tarangini No. 64	201.17	Andhra Pradesh State Film Development Corp. Ltd. 11-5-423/1, Jafarbagh, Lakdi-ka-pool, Hyderabad-500 004.		'News & Current Events' (Release in A. P. Circuit)
95.	Maulana Mohammad Ali Jauhar	439.52	Films Division, Govt. of India, 24-Peddar Road, Bombay-400 026.		'Documentary' General release.
96.	Chattisgarh	530.97	S.G. Khirwadkar Jt. Dir., Inf. & Publicity, M.P. Govt., Bhopal.	Director, Inf. & Publicity, M.P. Govt., Bhopal.	Documentary. Release in M.P. circuit.
97.	Mahitichitra No. 421	298.70	Asstt. Dir. of Inf., (Films) Govt. of Gujarat, Ram-nord Research Lab. Ltd., 77, Dr. A.B. Road, Worli, Bombay-18.	Dir. of Inf., Govt. of Gujarat, Sachivalya, Block 7, Gandhinagar-10.	'News & Current Events' (Release in Gujarat circuit).
98.	Gujarat Stadium Khate Pratham Match.		-do-	-do-	'Documentary' Release in Gujarat circuit.
99.	Sentinels of the North East—The Assam Rifles.	466.35	Shankar Ghosh, P/86/D-New C.I.T. Road, Calcutta-700 014.		'Documentary' General release.
100.	Then came Gandhi	589.00	Films Division, 24-Peddar Road, Bombay-400 026.		-do-
101.	Bihar Samachar Chitra No. 33	243.84	M. Jha, Film Editor, Information & Public Relations Deptt., Govt. of Bihar, Patna.	Dir. of Inf. & Public Relations, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.	'News & Current Events' (Release in Bihar circuit).
102.	-do- No. 32	259.08	-do-	-do-	-do-
103.	-do- No. 31	237.74	-do-	-do-	-do-
104.	Our Gallant Sons		Films Division, Government of India, 24-Peddar Road, Bombay-400 026.		Documentary' General Release.
105.	Uplabadhi	278.59	M. Jha, Film Editor, Inf. & Public Relations, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.	Director of Inf. & Public Relations, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.	'Documentary'. Release in Bihar circuit.
106.	Karle	265.00	Directorate General of Information & Public Relations, Govt. of Maharashtra, Film Centre, 68-Tardeo Road, Bombay-400 034.		'Documentary'. General release.
107.	After Comma, A Full Stop	110.00		-do-	-do-
108.	Madhya Pradesh Samachar No. 51	260.60	Anand Hatvalne C/o Director, Inf. & Publicity, Govt. of M.P. Bhopal.	Director of Inf. & Publicity, Govt. of M.P., Bhopal.	'News & Current Events' (Release in M.P. circuit.)

1	2	3	4	5	6
109.	Uttar Pradesh Samachar No. 118	276.45	Dhirendra Pande, Producer Newsreels, Directorate of Inf. & Public Relations, Govt. of U.P., Lucknow.		'News & Current Events' (Release in U.P. circuit).
110.	Warana	600.00	Directorate General of Inf. & Public Relations, Govt. of Maharashtra, Film Centre 68-Tardeo Road, Bombay-34.		Documentary (Release in Maharashtra circuit).
111.	Dawn of Gandhian Era	567.00	Films Division, Govt. of India, 24-Peddar Road, Bombay-26.		'Documentary'. Gen. release)
112.	Mahitichitra No. 422	250.03	Asstt. Director of Information, Govt. of Gujarat, Ramnord Research Lab. Ltd., 77, Dr. A.B. Road, Worli, Bombay.	Director of Information, Govt. of Gujarat, Sachivalaya Block-7, Gandhinagar.	'News & Current Events' (Release in Gujarat circuit.)
113.	Varta Yarangini No. 65	224.08	Andhra Pradesh State Film Development Corporation, Ltd., 11-5-423/1, Azfar Bagh, Lakdi-Ka-Pool, Hyderabad.		News & Current Event (Release in A.P. circuit).
114.	Madhya Pradesh Samachar No. 52	279.50	Anand Hatvalne C/o Director, Inf. & Publicity, Govt. of M.P., Bhopal.	Director, Inf. & Publicity, Govt., of M.P., Bhopal	News & Current Events (Release in M.P. circuit)
115.	Dharti No Chhedo Ghar		Asstt. Director of Information, Govt. of Gujarat, Ramnord Research Lab. Ltd. 77, Dr. A.B. Road, Worli, Bombay-18.	Director of Information, Govt. of Gujarat, Sachivalaya, Gandhinagar.	'Documentary' (Release in Gujarat circuit)
116.	A different Destiny	548.64	B.N. Nane, Member-Secretary, People's Action for Development (Mah), Agriculture and Co-operation Deptt. Mantralaya, Bombay-32.	People's Action for Development (Mah.), Agriculture and Co-operation Deptt. Mantralaya Annex, Bombay-32.	'Documentary' (Release in Maharashtra circuit)
117.	The devighat Saga	305.00	Shri Shankar Ghosh, P 86/D New C.I.T. Road, Calcutta-14.		'Documentary' Gen. release.
118.	Export Promotion in Gujarat	471.83	Asstt. Dir. of Information (F), Govt. of Gujarat, Ramnord Research Lab. 77, Dr. A.B. Road, Worli, Bombay-18.	Director of Inf. Govt. of Gujarat, Sachivalaya, Block 7, Gandhinagar-382010.	'Documentary' (Release in Gujarat circuit)
119.	Let us take a holiday	288.00	Directorate General of Information & Public Relations, Govt. of Maharashtra, Film Centre 68-Tardeo Road, Bombay-400 034.		'Documentary' (Release in Maharashtra)
120.	Non-Cooperation Movement	529.00	Films Division, Government of India, 24-Peddar Road, Bombay-400 026.		'Documentary' General release).
121.	Mahitichitra No. 423	249.94	Asstt. Director of Inf. (Films), Ramnord Research Lab. Ltd., 77, Dr. A.B. Road, Worli, Bombay-18.	Director of Inf., Govt. of Gujarat, Sachivalaya Block 7, Gandhinagar-382010.	News & Current Events (Release in Gujarat circuit).

1	2	3	4	5	6
122.	Maharashtra News No. 401	290.00	Directorate General of Inf. & Public Relations, Government of Maharashtra, Film Centre, 68-Tardeo Road, Bombay-400034.		'News & Current Events' (Release in Maharashtra circuit).
123.	Let us Sing Together	505.00	Films Division, Govt. of India, 24-Peddar Road, Bombay-400026.		Approved for Gen. release & classified as 'documentary'.
124.	Puttadi Bomma Poornamma	594.77	T. Krishna, 1-8-161/7, Prenderghast Road, Secunderabad.		Approved for release in A.P. circuit & classified as 'documentary'
125.	Mandala Vidhanam		Andhra Pradesh State Film Development Corporation Ltd., 11-5-423/1, Zaffarbagh, Lakdi-ka-pool, Hyderabad-500 004.		-do-
126.	Sahkar	590.70	Shri Prush Baskar, Secretary, The Creators' Film Prod. Co-op. Society Ltd. 5F Naaz Bldg., Lamingto Road, Bombay-400 004.		Approved for Maharashtra circuit and classified as 'documentary'.
127.	Mahamati Pran Nath	510.00	Films Division, Government of India, 24-Peddar Road, Bombay-400 026.		'Documentary' General release.
128.	Ram Tule Ram	449.12	-do-		'Documentary' Gen. release.
129.	Thirakte Paunv	592.00	Directorate General of Inf. & Public Relations, Govt. of Maharashtra, Film Centre, 68-Tardeo Road, Bombay-400 034.		'Documentary' (Release in Maharashtra circuit).
130.	Perte Wha	294.00	S.M. Junnarkar 12-A, Nandadevi Co-op. Housing Society, TPSV Road, No. 8 Santacruz (E), Bombay-53.	Director, Films Directorate Gen. of Inf. & Public Relations, Govt. of Maharashtra, Bombay-32.	-do-
131.	Varta Tarangini No. 65	259.08	A.P. State Film Development Coproation Ltd. 11-5-423/1, Zafar Bagh, Lakdi-ka-pool, Hyderabad-50004.		'News and Current Events' (Release in A.P. circuit).
132.	Coal India Surging Ahead in the 'Eigthees.	526.40	Coal India Limited, Coal Bhavan, 1-Netaji Subhas Road, Calcutta-1.		'Documentary' Gen. release.
133.	Shanti Jyot	365.76	Asstt. Dir of Inf., Govt. of Gujarat, Ramnord Research Lab. Ltd., 77, Dr. A.B. Road, Worli, Bombay-18.	Dir. of Inf., Govt. of Gujrat, Sachivalya, Block 7, Gandhinagar-382010.	'News and Current Events' (Release in Gujarat circuit).
134.	Lepakshi Silpa Sampada		V.U. Rao, C/o, V.S. Prasad, 1-2-41, Domalguda, Hyderabad.		A.P. circuit and classified as documentary.
135.	Your Precious Vote		Films Division, Govt. of India, 24-Peddar Road, Bombay-400026.		General release and classified as documentary.
136.	Amar Jawan		-do-		-do-
137.	Victory at Bardoli		-do-		-do-

अथ मंत्रालय

नई दिल्ली, 27 मार्च, 1987

का.प्र. 1039—खान नियम, 1955 के नियम 72 के उप नियम (2) के खंड (ख) के अनुसार में केन्द्रीय सरकार उक्त नियम के प्रयोजनार्थ, राष्ट्रीय श्रम संस्थान, नई दिल्ली द्वारा औद्योगिक संबंध और कार्मिक प्रबंध व्यवस्था में प्रवृत्त स्नातकोत्तर डिप्लोमा की माध्यता प्रदान करती है।

[एस-65025/1/86-एम-1]

प्रार. टी. पाण्डेय, उप सचिव

MINISTRY OF LABOUR

New Delhi, the 27th March, 1987

S.O. 1039.—In pursuance of clause (b) of sub-rule (2) of rule 72 of the Mines Rules, 1955, the Central Government hereby recognises for the purpose of the above rule, the Post Graduate Diploma in Industrial Relations and Personnel Management awarded by the National Labour Institute, New Delhi.

[S-65025/1/86-M-1]

R. T. PANDEY, Dy. Secy.

नई दिल्ली, 30 मार्च, 1987

का.प्र. 1040—कर्मचारी राज्य बीमा अधिनियम, 1948 (1948 का 34) की धारा 1 की उप-धारा (3) द्वारा प्रवृत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार एतद्द्वारा 1 अप्रैल, 1987 को उस तारीख के रूप में नियत करती है, जिसको उक्त अधिनियम के अध्याय 4 (धारा 44 और 45 के सिवाय जो पहले ही प्रवृत्त की जा चुकी है) और अध्याय 5 और 6 (धारा 76 की उप-धारा (1) और धारा 77, 78, 79 और 81 के सिवाय जो पहले ही प्रवृत्त की जा चुकी है) के आबन्ध उद्गीता राज्य के निम्नलिखित क्षेत्र में प्रवृत्त होंगे अर्थात्—

“जिला मयूर भंज में तहसील बेटनोटी के तथा पुरबोत्तमपुर राजस्व ग्राम के अन्तर्गत आने वाले क्षेत्र।

[संख्या एस-38013/13/87-एस.एस.-1]

New Delhi, the 30th March, 1987

S.O.1040.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (3) of section 1 of the Employees' State Insurance Act, 1948 (34 of 1948), the Central Government hereby appoints the 1st April, 1987 as the date on which the provisions of Chapter IV (except sections 44 and 45 which have already been brought into force) and Chapters V and VI (except sub-section (1) of section 76 and Sections 77, 78, 79 and 81 which have already been brought into force) of the said Act shall come into force in the following areas in the State of Orissa, namely:—

“Area within the revenue village of Nayapurusottampur under Tehsil Betnoti in the Distt. of Mayurbhanj.”

[No. S-38013/13/87-SS.1]

नई दिल्ली, 31 मार्च, 1987

का.प्र. 1041.—मेसर्स उबनेक मैट्रिज लि., रजि. ग्राफिस एण्ड बक्स, मेकफैक्टरी रोड, यशवंतपुरा, बंगलूर (के. न./1031) (जिसे इसमें इसके पश्चात् उक्त स्थापन कहा गया है) ने कर्मचारी भविष्य निधि और प्रकीर्ण उपबन्ध अधिनियम, 1952 का 17 (जिसे इसमें इसके पश्चात् उक्त अधिनियम कहा गया है) की धारा 17 की उपधारा (2क) के अधीन छूट दिये जाने के लिए आवेदन किया है।

और केन्द्रीय सरकार का समाधान हो गया है कि उक्त स्थापन के कर्मचारी, किसी पृथक अभिदाय या प्रीमियम का संदाय किये बिना ही, भारतीय जीवन बीमा नियम की सामूहिक बीमा स्कीम के अधीन जीवन 26 GI/87—9

बीमा के रूप में फायदे उठा रहे हैं और ऐसे कर्मचारियों के लिये ये फायदे उन फायदों से अधिक अनुकूल हैं जो कर्मचारी निवेश सहबद्ध बीमा स्कीम 1978 (जिसे इसमें इसके पश्चात् उक्त स्कीम कहा गया है) के अधीन उन्हें अनुज्ञेय हैं।

अतः केन्द्रीय सरकार, उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 17 की उपधारा 2क द्वारा प्रवृत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए और इससे उपाबद्ध अनुसूची में विनिर्दिष्ट शर्तों के अधीन रहते हुए, उक्त स्थापन की तीन वर्ष की अवधि के लिए उक्त स्कीम के सभी उपबन्धों के प्रवर्तन से छूट देती है।

अनुसूची

1. उक्त स्थापन के संबंध में नियोजक प्रादेशिक भविष्य निधि आयुक्त, बंगलूर को ऐसी विवरणियां भेजेगा और ऐसे लेखा रखेगा तथा निरीक्षण के लिए ऐसी सुविधाएं प्रदान करेगा जो केन्द्रीय सरकार, समय समय पर निर्दिष्ट करे।

2. नियोजक, ऐसे निरीक्षण प्रसारों का प्रत्येक मास की समाप्ति के 15 दिन के भीतर संदाय करेगा जो केन्द्रीय सरकार, उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 17 की उपधारा 3क के खण्ड क के अधीन समय-समय पर निर्दिष्ट करे।

3. सामूहिक बीमा स्कीम के प्रशासन में, जिसके अन्तर्गत लेखाओं का रखा जाना, विवरणियों का प्रस्तुत किया जाना, बीमा प्रीमियम का संदाय, लेखाओं का छल्लरण, निरीक्षण प्रसारों संदाय आदि की है, होने वाले सभी व्ययों का वहन नियोजक द्वारा किया जाएगा।

4. नियोजन, केन्द्रीय सरकार द्वारा अनुमोदित सामूहिक बीमा स्कीम के नियमों की एक प्रति और जब कभी उनमें संशोधन किया जाये, तब उस संशोधन की प्रति तथा कर्मचारियों की बहुसंख्या इस भाषा में उसकी मुख्य भाषों का अनुवाद स्थापन के सूचना पट्ट पर प्रवर्तित करेगा।

5. यदि कोई ऐसा कर्मचारी जो कर्मचारी भविष्य निधि का या उक्त अधिनियम के अधीन छूट प्राप्त किसी स्थापन की भविष्य निधि का पहले ही सदस्य है, उसके स्थापन में नियोजित किया जाता है तो, नियोजन सामूहिक बीमा स्कीम के सदस्य के रूप में उसका नाम तुरन्त दर्ज करेगा अगर उसकी बाबत आवश्यक प्रीमियम भारतीय जीवन बीमा नियम को संदर्भ करेगा।

6. यदि उक्त स्कीम के अधीन कर्मचारियों को उपलब्ध फायदे बढ़ाये जाते हैं तो, नियोजक सामूहिक बीमा स्कीम के अधीन कर्मचारियों को उपलब्ध फायदों में समुचित रूप से वृद्धि किये जाने की व्यवस्था करेगा कि कर्मचारियों के लिए सामूहिक बीमा स्कीम के अधीन उपलब्ध फायदे उन फायदों से अधिक अनुकूल हो जो उक्त स्कीम के अधीन अनुज्ञेय हैं।

7. सामूहिक बीमा स्कीम में किसी बात के होते हुए भी यदि किसी कर्मचारी की मृत्यु पर इस स्कीम के अधीन संदेय रकम उस रकम से कम है जो कर्मचारी को उस दशा में संदेय होती जब वह उक्त स्कीम के अधीन होता तो, नियोजक कर्मचारी के विधिक कारिम/नाम निर्देशिनी को प्रतिकर के रूप में दोनों रकमों के अन्तर के बराबर रकम का संदाय करेगा।

8. सामूहिक बीमा स्कीम के उपबन्धों में कोई भी संशोधन प्रादेशिक भविष्य निधि आयुक्त, बंगलूर के पूर्व अनुमोदन के बिना नहीं किया जाएगा और जहां किसी संशोधन से कर्मचारियों के हित पर प्रतिकूल प्रभाव पड़ने की संभावना हो, वहां प्रादेशिक भविष्य निधि आयुक्त अपना अनुमोदन देने से पूर्व कर्मचारियों को अपना वृत्तिकोण स्पष्ट करने का सुनिश्चित अवसर देगा।

9. यदि किसी कारणवश स्थापन के कर्मचारी भारतीय जीवन बीमा निगम को उस सामूहिक बीमा स्कीम के, जिसे स्थापन पहले अपना चुका है अधीन नहीं रह जाता है या इस स्कीम के अधीन कर्मचारियों को प्राप्त

होने वाले फायदे किसी रीति से कम हो जाते हैं, तो यह रद्द की जा सकती है।

10. यदि किसी कारणवश नियोजक उस नियत तारीख के भीतर जो भारतीय जीवन बीमा नियम नियत करे, प्रीमियम का संदाय करने में असफल रहता है और पॉलिसी को ब्यवगत हो जाने दिया जाता है तो, छूट रद्द की जा सकती है।

11. नियोजक द्वारा प्रीमियम के संदाय में किये गये किसी व्यतिक्रम की वशा में उन मृत सदस्यों के नाम निर्देशितियों या विधिक वारिसों को जो यदि यह छूट न दी गई होती तो, उक्त स्कीम के अन्तर्गत होते। बीमा फायदों के संदाय का उत्तरदायित्व नियोजक पर होगा।

12. उक्त स्थापन के संबंध में नियोजक इस स्कीम के अधीन अपने बने किसी सदस्य की मृत्यु होने पर उसके हकदार नाम निर्देशितियों/विधिक वारिसों को बीमाकृत रकम का संदाय तत्परता से और प्रत्येक शव में भारतीय जीवन बीमा नियम से बीमाकृत रकम प्राप्त होने के एक मास के भीतर सुनिश्चित करेगा।

[संख्या एस-35014(25)/87-एस-2]

New Delhi, the 31st March, 1987

S.O. 1041.—Whereas Messrs UB-MEC Batteries Limited, Regd. Office & Works, MEC Factory Road, Yeshwantpura, Bangalore-22(KN/1031) (hereinafter referred to as the said established) have applied for exemption under sub-section (2A) of Section 17 of the Employees' Provident Funds & Miscellaneous Provisions Act, 1952 (19 of 1952) (hereinafter referred to as the said Act);

And whereas, the Central Government is satisfied that the employees of the said establishment are, without making any separate contribution or payment of premium, in enjoyment of benefits under the Group Insurance Scheme of the Life Insurance Corporation of India in the nature of Life Insurance which are more favourable to such employees than the benefits admissible under the Employees' Deposit Linked Insurance Scheme, 1976 (hereinafter referred to as the said Scheme);

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (2A) of section 17 of the said Act and subject to the conditions specified in the Schedule annexed hereto, the Central Government hereby exempts the said establishment from the operation of all the provisions of the said Scheme for a period of three years.

SCHEDULE

1. The employer in relation to the said establishment shall submit such returns to the Regional Provident Fund Commissioner, Bangalore and maintain such accounts and provide such facilities for inspection, as the Central Government may direct from time to time.

2. The employer shall pay such inspection charges as the Central Government may, from time to time, direct under clause (a) of sub-section (3A) of section 17 of the said Act, within 15 days from the close of every month.

3. All expenses involved in the administration of the Group Insurance Scheme, including maintenance of accounts, submission of returns, payment of insurance premia, transfer of accounts, payment of inspection charges etc. shall be borne by the employer.

4. The employer shall display on the Notice Board of the establishment, a copy of the rules of the Group Insurance Scheme as approved by the Central Government and, as and when amended, alongwith a translation of the salient features thereof, in the language of the majority of the employees.

5. Whereas an employee, who is already a member of the Employees' Provident Fund or the Provident Fund of an establishment exempted under the said Act, is employed in his establishment, the employer shall immediately enrol him as a member of the Group Insurance Scheme and pay necessary

premium in respect of him to the Life Insurance Corporation of India.

6. The employer shall arrange to enhance the benefits available to the employees under the Group Insurance Scheme appropriately, if the benefits available to the employees under the said Scheme are enhanced, so that the benefits available under the Group Insurance Scheme are more favourable to the employees than the benefits admissible under the said Scheme.

7. Notwithstanding anything contained in the Group Insurance Scheme, if on the death of an employee the amount payable under this Scheme be less than the amount that would be payable had employee been covered under the said Scheme, the employer shall pay the difference to the legal heir/nominee of the employee as compensation.

8. No amendment of the provisions of the Group Insurance Scheme, shall be made without the prior approval of the Regional Provident Fund Commissioner, Bangalore and where any amendment is likely to effect adversely the interest of the employees, the Regional Provident Fund Commissioner shall before giving his approval, give a reasonable opportunity to the employees to explain their point of view.

9. Where, for any reason, the employees of the said establishment do not remain covered under the Group Insurance Scheme of the Life Insurance Corporation of India as already adopted by the said establishment, or the benefits to the employees under this Scheme are reduced in any manner, the exemption shall be liable to be cancelled.

10. Where, for any reason, the employer fails to pay the premium etc. within the due date, as fixed by the Life Insurance Corporation of India, and the policy is allowed to lapse, the exemption is liable to be cancelled.

11. In case of default, if any made by the employer in payment of premium the responsibility for payment of assurance benefits to the nominees or the legal heirs of deceased members who would have been covered under the said Scheme but for grant of this exemption, shall be that of the employer.

12. Upon the death of the members covered under the Scheme the Life Insurance Corporation of India shall ensure prompt payment of sum assured to the nominee or the legal heirs of the deceased member entitled for it and in any case within one month from the receipt for claim complete in all respects.

[No. S-35014/25/87-SS.II]

का.बा. 1042.—मैसर्स विन्विजय टेक्सटाईल, आइए एण्ड प्रिंटिंग मिल्स 12-ए, हैवी इण्डस्ट्रियल एरिया, जोधपुर (भार.जे./1551) (जिसे इसमें इसके पश्चात् उक्त स्थापन कहा गया है) ने कर्मचारी प्रविण्य निधि और प्रकीर्ण उपबन्ध अधिनियम, 1952 का 17 (जिसे इसमें इसके पश्चात् उक्त अधिनियम कहा गया है) की धारा 17 की उपधारा (2क) के अधीन छूट दिये जाने के लिए आवेदन किया है।

और केन्द्रीय सरकार का समाधान हो गया है कि उक्त स्थापन के कर्मचारी, किसी पृथक प्रविण्य या प्रीमियम का संदाय किये बिना ही, भारतीय जीवन बीमा नियम की सामूहिक बीमा स्कीम के अधीन जीवन बीमा के रूप में फायदे उठा रहे हैं और ऐसे कर्मचारियों के लिए फायदे, उन फायदों से अधिक अनुकूल हैं जो कर्मचारी निक्षेप सहव्यवस्था स्कीम, 1976 (जिसे इसमें इसके पश्चात् उक्त स्कीम कहा गया है) के अधीन उन्हें अनुभूते हैं।

अतः केन्द्रीय सरकार, उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 17 की उपधारा 2क द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए और उससे उपबन्ध अनुसूची में विनिर्दिष्ट शर्तों के अधीन रहते हुए, उक्त स्थापन की तीन वर्ष की अवधि के लिए उक्त स्कीम के सभी उपबन्धों के प्रवर्तन से छूट देती है।

अनुसूची

1. उक्त स्थापन के संबंध में नियोजक प्रादेशिक भविष्य निधि आयुक्त, राजस्थान को ऐसी विवरणियां भेजेगा और ऐसे लेखा रखेगा तथा निरीक्षण के लिए ऐसी सुविधाएँ प्रदान करेगा जो केन्द्रीय सरकार, समय समय पर निरदिष्ट करे।
2. नियोजक, ऐसे निरीक्षण प्रसारों का प्रत्येक मास की समाप्ति के 15 दिन के भीतर संदाय करेगा जो केन्द्रीय सरकार, उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 17 की उपधारा 3क के खण्ड-क के अधीन समय समय पर निरदिष्ट करें।
3. सामूहिक बीमा स्कीम के प्रशासन में, जिसके अन्तर्गत लेखाओं का रखा जाना, विवरणियों का प्रस्तुत किया जाना, बीमा प्रीमियम का संदाय, लेखाओं का अन्तरण, निरीक्षण प्रसारों संवाय आदि भी है, होने वाले सभी व्ययों का वहन नियोजक द्वारा दिया जायगा।
4. नियोजन, केन्द्रीय सरकार द्वारा अनुमोदित सामूहिक बीमा स्कीम के नियमों की एक प्रति और जब कभी उनमें संशोधन किया जाये, तब उस संशोधन की प्रति तथा कर्मचारियों की बहुसंख्या की भाषा में उसकी मुख्य बातों का अनुवाद स्थापन के सूचना पट्ट पर प्रदर्शित करेगा।
5. यदि कोई ऐसा कर्मचारी जो कर्मचारी भविष्य निधि का या उक्त अधिनियम के अधीन छूट प्राप्त किसी स्थापन का भविष्य निधि का पहले ही सदस्य है, उसके स्थापन में नियोजित किया जाता है तो, नियोजन सामूहिक बीमा स्कीम के सदस्य के रूप में उसका नाम सुरन्त र्ज करेगा और उसका बाबत आवश्यक प्रीमियम भारतीय जीवन बीमा निगम को संवत करेगा।
6. यदि उक्त स्कीम के अधीन कर्मचारियों को उपलब्ध फायदे बढ़ाये जाते हैं तो, नियोजक सामूहिक बीमा स्कीम के अधीन कर्मचारियों को उपलब्ध फायदों में समुचित रूप से वृद्धि किये जाने की व्यवस्था करेगा जिससे कि कर्मचारियों के लिए सामूहिक बीमा स्कीम के अधीन उपलब्ध फायदे उन फायदों से अधिक अनुकूल हो जो उक्त स्कीम के अधीन अनुभूत हैं।
7. सामूहिक बीमा स्कीम में किम्, बात के होते हुए भी, यदि किसी कर्मचारी की मृत्यु पर इस स्कीम के अधीन संघेय रकम उस रकम से कम है जो कर्मचारी को उस दशा में संघेय होता, जब वह उक्त स्कीम के अधीन होता तो, नियोजक कर्मचारी के विधिक वारिस/नामनिर्देशितों को प्रतिकर के रूप में दोनों रकमों के अन्तर के बराबर रकम का संदाय करेगा।
8. सामूहिक बीमा स्कीम के उपबन्धों में कोई भी संशोधन प्रादेशिक भविष्य निधि आयुक्त, राजस्थान के पूर्व अनुमोदन के बिना नहीं किया जाएगा और जहां किसी संशोधन से कर्मचारियों के हित पर प्रतिकूल प्रभाव पड़ने की संभावना हो, वहां प्रादेशिक भविष्य निधि आयुक्त अपना अनुमोदन देने से पूर्व कर्मचारियों को अपना दृष्टिकोण स्पष्ट करने का युक्तियुक्त अवसर देगा।
9. यदि किसी कारणवश स्थापन के कर्मचारी भारतीय जीवन बीमा निगम को उस सामूहिक बीमा स्कीम के, जिसे स्थापन पहले करना चुका है, अधीन नहीं रह जाता है या इस स्कीम के अधीन कर्मचारियों को प्राप्त होने वाले फायदे किसी रीति से कम हो जाते हैं, तो यह रद्द की जा सकती है।
10. यदि किसी कारणवश नियोजक उस नियत तारीख के भीतर जो भारतीय जीवन बीमा निगम नियत करे, प्रीमियम का संदाय करने में असफल रहता है और पालिसी को ब्ययगत हो जाने दिया जाता है तो, छूट रद्द की जा सकती है।
11. नियोजक द्वारा प्रीमियम के संघेय में किये गये किसी व्यतिक्रम की दशा में उन मृत सदस्यों के नाम-निर्देशितियों या विधिक वारिसों को जो यदि यह छूट न दी गई होती तो, उक्त स्कीम के अन्तर्गत होते। बीमा फायदों के संघेय का उत्तरदायित्व निरासक्त पर होता।

12. उक्त स्थापन के सम्बन्ध में नियोजक इस स्कीम के अधीन जाने वाले किसी सदस्य की मृत्यु होने पर उसके हकदार नाम-निर्देशितियों/विधिक वारिसों को बीमाकृत रकम का संदाय तत्परता से और प्रत्येक दशा में भारतीय जीवन बीमा निगम से बीमाकृत रकम प्राप्त होने के एक मास के भीतर सुनिश्चित करेगा।

[सं. एन-35014(23)/87-एन.एन-2]

S.O. 1042.—Whereas Messrs. Digvijay Textile, Dyeing & Printing Mill, 12-A, Heavy Industrial Area, Jodhpur (Rajasthan) (RJ/1551) (hereinafter referred to as the said establishment) have applied for exemption under sub-section (2A) of Section 17 of the Employees' Provident Funds & Miscellaneous Provisions Act, 1952 (19 of 1952) (hereinafter referred to as the said Act);

And whereas, the Central Government is satisfied that the employees of the said establishment are, without making any separate contribution or payment of premium, in enjoyment of benefits under the Group Insurance Scheme of the Life Insurance Corporation of India in the nature of Life Insurance which are more favourable to such employees than the benefits admissible under the Employees' Deposit Linked Insurance Scheme, 1976 (hereinafter referred to as the said Scheme);

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (2A) of section 17 of the said Act and subject to the conditions specified in the Schedule annexed hereto, the Central Government hereby exempts the said establishment from the operation of all the provisions of the said Scheme for a period of three years.

SCHEDULE

1. The employer in relation to the said establishment shall submit such returns to the Regional Provident Fund Commissioner Rajasthan and maintain such accounts and provide such facilities for inspection, as the Central Government may direct from time to time.
2. The employer shall pay such inspection charges as the Central Government may, from time to time, direct under clause (a) of sub-section (3A) of section 17 of the said Act, within 15 days from the close of every month.
3. All expenses involved in the administration of the Group Insurance Scheme, including maintenance of accounts, submission of returns, payment of insurance premia, transfer of accounts, payment of inspection charges etc. shall be borne by the employer.
4. The employer shall display on the Notice Board of the establishment, a copy of the rules of the Group Insurance Scheme as approved by the Central Government and, as and when amended, alongwith a translation of the salient features thereof, in the language of the majority of the employees.
5. Whereas an employee, who is already a member of the Employees' Provident Fund or the Provident Fund of an establishment exempted under the said Act, is employed in his establishment, the employer shall immediately enrol him as a member of the Group Insurance Scheme and pay necessary premium in respect of him to the Life Insurance Corporation of India.
6. The employer shall arrange to enhance the benefits available to the employees under the Group Insurance Scheme appropriately, if the benefits available to the employees under the said Scheme are enhanced, so that the benefits available under the Group Insurance Scheme are more favourable to the employees than the benefits admissible under the said Scheme.
7. Notwithstanding anything contained in the Group Insurance Scheme, if on the death of an employee the amount payable under this Scheme be less than the amount that would be payable had employee been covered under the said Scheme, the employer shall pay the difference to the legal heir/nominee of the employee as compensation.
8. No amendment of the provisions of the Group Insurance Scheme, shall be made without the prior approval of the Regional Provident Fund Commissioner, Rajasthan and where

any amendment is likely to effect adversely the interest of the employees, the Regional Provident Fund Commissioner shall before giving his approval, give a reasonable opportunity to the employees to explain their point of view.

9. Where, for any reason, the employees of the said establishment do not remain covered under the Group Insurance Scheme of the Life Insurance Corporation of India as already adopted by the said establishment, or the benefits to the employees under this Scheme are reduced in any manner, the exemption shall be liable to be cancelled.

10. Where, for any reason, the employer fails to pay the premium etc. within the due date, as fixed by the Life Insurance Corporation of India, and the policy is allowed to lapse, the exemption is liable to be cancelled.

11. In case of default, if any made by the employer in payment of premium the responsibility for payment of assurance benefits to the nominees or the legal heirs of deceased members who would have been covered under the said Scheme but for grant of this exemption, shall be that of the employer.

12. Upon the death of the members covered under the Scheme the Life Insurance Corporation of India shall ensure prompt payment of sum assured to the nominee or the legal heirs of the deceased member entitled for it and in any case within one month from the receipt for claim complete in all respects.

[No. S-35014/26/87-SS.II]

का.प्र. 1043 :—मैसर्स-टोसनीवाल इन्डस्ट्रीज प्राइवेट लि., इन्डस्ट्रियल एस्टेट, मखपुरा, अजमेर (आर.जे./1105) (जिसे इसमें इसके पश्चात् उक्त स्थापन कहा गया है) ने कर्मचारी भविष्य निधि और प्रकीर्ण उपबन्ध अधिनियम, 1952 (1952 का 17) जिसे इसमें इसके पश्चात् उक्त अधिनियम कहा गया है) की धारा 17 की उपधारा (2क) के अधीन छूट दिये जाने के लिए आवेदन किया है।

और केन्द्रीय सरकार का समाधान हो गया है कि उक्त स्थापन के कर्मचारी, किसी पृथक प्रतिदाय या प्रीमियम का संवाय किये बिना ही, भारतीय जीवन बीमा निगम की सामूहिक बीमा स्कीम के अधीन जीवन बीमा के रूप में फायदे उठा रहे हैं और ऐसे कर्मचारियों के लिए ये फायदे, उन फायदों से अधिक अनुकूल हैं जो कर्मचारी निक्षेप सहयुद्ध बीमा स्कीम 1976 (जिसे इसमें इसके पश्चात् उक्त स्कीम कहा गया है) के अधीन उन्हें अनुभूते हैं।

अतः, केन्द्रीय सरकार, उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 17 की उपधारा 2क द्वारा प्रवृत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए और इससे उपबन्ध अनुनुची में विनिर्दिष्ट शर्तों के अधीन रहते हुए, उक्त स्थापन की तीन वर्ष की अवधि के लिए उक्त स्कीम के सभी उपबन्धों के प्रवर्तन से छूट देती है

अनुसूची

1. उक्त स्थापन के सम्बन्ध में नियोजक प्रादेशिक भविष्य निधि प्रायुक्त, राजस्थान को ऐसी विवरणियाँ भेजेगा और ऐसे लेखा रखेगा तथा निरीक्षण के लिए ऐसी सुविधायें प्रदान करेगा जो केन्द्रीय सरकार, समय समय पर निश्चित करे।

2. नियोजक, ऐसे निरीक्षण प्रभारों का प्रत्येक मास की समाप्ति के 15 दिन के भीतर संदाय करेगा जो केन्द्रीय सरकार, उक्त अधिनियम की धारा-17, की उपधारा 3-क के खण्ड क के अधीन समय समय पर निश्चित करे।

3. सामूहिक बीमा स्कीम के प्रशासन में, जिसके अन्तर्गत लेखाओं का रखा जाना, विवरणियों का प्रस्तुत किया जाना, बीमा प्रीमियम का संवाय, लेखाओं का अन्तरण, निरीक्षण प्रभारों संवाय भावि भी है, होने वाले सभी व्ययों का वहन नियोजक द्वारा किया जाएगा।

4. नियोजक, केन्द्रीय सरकार द्वारा अनुमोदित सामूहिक बीमा स्कीम के नियमों की एक प्रति और जब कभी उनमें संशोधन किया जाये, तब उस संशोधन की प्रति तथा कर्मचारियों की बहुसंख्या की भाषा में उसकी मुख्य बातों का अनुवाद स्थापन के सूचना पट्ट पर प्रदर्शित करेगा।

5. यदि कोई ऐसा कर्मचारी जो कर्मचारी भविष्य निधि का या उक्त अधिनियम के अधीन छूट प्राप्त किसी स्थापन की भविष्य निधि का पहले ही सदस्य है, उसके स्थापन में नियोजित किया जाता है तो, नियोजन सामूहिक बीमा स्कीम के सदस्य के रूप में उसका नाम तुरन्त दर्ज करेगा और उसकी वास्तविक प्रीमियम भारतीय जीवन बीमा निगम को संदाय करेगा।

6. यदि उक्त स्कीम के अधीन कर्मचारियों को उपलब्ध फायदे बढ़ाये जाते हैं, तो, नियोजक सामूहिक बीमा स्कीम के अधीन कर्मचारियों को उपलब्ध फायदों में समुचित रूप से वृद्धि किये जाने की व्यवस्था करेगा जिससे कि कर्मचारियों के लिए सामूहिक बीमा स्कीम के अधीन उपलब्ध फायदे उन फायदों से अधिक अनुकूल हो जो उक्त स्कीम के अधीन अनुभूते हैं।

7. सामूहिक बीमा स्कीम में किसी बात के होते हुए भी यदि किसी कर्मचारी की मृत्यु पर इस स्कीम के अधीन संदेय रकम उस रकम से कम है जो कर्मचारी को उस दशा में संदेय होती जब वह उक्त स्कीम के अधीन होता तो, नियोजक कर्मचारी के विधिक वारिस/नाम निर्देशितों को प्रतिकर के रूप में दोनों रकमों के अन्तर के बराबर रकम का संवाय करेगा।

8. सामूहिक बीमा स्कीम के उपबन्धों में कोई भी संशोधन प्रादेशिक भविष्य निधि प्रायुक्त, राजस्थान के पूर्व अनुमोदन के बिना नहीं किया जाएगा और जहाँ किसी संशोधन से कर्मचारियों के हित पर प्रतिकूल प्रभाव पड़ने की संभावना हो, वहाँ प्रादेशिक भविष्य निधि प्रायुक्त अपना अनुमोदन देने से पूर्व कर्मचारियों को अपना दृष्टिकोण स्पष्ट करने का सुविशुद्ध अवसर देगा।

9. यदि किसी कारणवश स्थापन के कर्मचारी भारतीय जीवन बीमा निगम को उस सामूहिक बीमा स्कीम के, जिसे स्थापन पहले अपना चुका है, अधीन नहीं रह जाता है या इस स्कीम के अधीन कर्मचारियों को प्राप्त होने वाले फायदे किसी रीति से कम हो जाते हैं, तो वह रह की जा सकती है।

10. यदि किसी कारणवश नियोजक उस नियत तारीख के भीतर जो भारतीय जीवन बीमा निगम नियत करे, प्रीमियम का संवाय करने में, असफल रहता है और पालिसी को व्ययगत हो जाने दिया जात है तो छूट रह की जा सकती है।

11. नियोजक द्वारा प्रीमियम के संवाय में किये गये किसी व्यतिक्रम की दशा में उन मृत सदस्यों के नाम निर्देशितियों या विधिक वारिसों को जो यदि यह छूट न दी गई होती तो, उक्त स्कीम के अन्तर्गत होते। बीमा फायदों के संदाय का उत्तरदायित्व नियोजक पर होगा।

12. उक्त स्थापन के सम्बन्ध में नियोजक इस स्कीम के अधीन होने वाले किसी सदस्य की मृत्यु होने पर उसके हकदार नाम निर्देशितियों/विधिक वारिसों को बीमाकृत रकम का संदाय तत्परता से और प्रत्येक दशा में भारतीय जीवन बीमा निगम से बीमाकृत रकम प्राप्त होने के एक मास के भीतर सुनिश्चित करेगा।

[संख्या एस-35014(27)/87-एच.एस. 2]

S.O. 1043.—Whereas Messrs. Toshniwal Industrial Private Limited, Industrial Estate, Makhapura, Ajmer (RJ/1105) (hereinafter referred to as the said establishment) have applied for exemption under sub-section (2A) of Section 17 of the Employees' Provident Funds & Miscellaneous Provisions Act, 1952 (19 of 1952) (hereinafter referred to as the said Act);

And whereas, the Central Government is satisfied that the employees of the said establishment are, without making any separate contribution or payment of premium, in enjoyment of benefits under the Group Insurance Scheme of the Life

Insurance Corporation of India in the nature of Life Insurance which are more favourable to such employees than the benefits admissible under the Employees' Deposit Linked Insurance Scheme, 1976 (hereinafter referred to as the said Scheme);

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (2A) of section 17 of the said Act and subject to the conditions specified in the Schedule annexed hereto, the Central Government hereby exempts the said establishment from the operation of all the provisions of the said Scheme for a period of three years.

SCHEDULE

1. The employer in relation to the said establishment shall submit such returns to the Regional Provident Fund Commissioner Rajasthan and maintain such accounts and provide such facilities for inspection, as the Central Government may direct from time to time.

2. The employer shall pay such inspection charges as the Central Government may, from time to time, direct under clause (a) of sub-section (3A) of section 17 of the said Act, within 15 days from the close of every month.

3. All expenses involved in the administration of the Group Insurance Scheme including maintenance of accounts, submission of returns, payment of insurance premia, transfer of accounts payment of inspection charges etc. shall be borne by the employer.

4. The employer shall display on the Notice Board of the establishment, a copy of the rules of the Group Insurance Scheme as approved by the Central Government and, as and when amended, alongwith a translation of the salient features thereof, in the language of the majority of the employees.

5. Whereas, an employee; who is already a member of the Employees' Provident Fund or the Provident Fund of an establishment exempted under the said Act, is employed in his establishment, the employer shall immediately enrol him as a member of the Group Insurance Scheme and pay necessary premium in respect of him to the Life Insurance Corporation of India.

6. The employer shall arrange to enhance the benefits available to the employees under the Group Insurance Scheme appropriately, if the benefits available to the employees under the said Scheme are enhanced, so that the benefits available under the Group Insurance Scheme are more favourable to the employees than the benefits admissible under the said Scheme.

7. Notwithstanding anything contained in the Group Insurance Scheme, if on the death of an employee the amount payable under this Scheme be less than the amount that would be payable had employee been covered under the said Scheme, the employer shall pay the difference to the legal heir/nominee of the employee as compensation.

8. No amendment of the provisions of the Group Insurance Scheme, shall be made without the prior approval of the Regional Provident Fund Commissioner, Rajasthan and where any amendment is likely to effect adversely the interest of the employees, the Regional Provident Fund Commissioner shall before giving his approval, give a reasonable opportunity to the employees to explain their point of view.

9. Where, for any reason, the employees of the said establishment do not remain covered under the Group Insurance Scheme of the Life Insurance Corporation of India as already adopted by the said establishment, or the benefits to the employees under this Scheme are reduced in any manner, the exemption shall be liable to be cancelled.

10. Where, for any reason, the employer fails to pay the premium etc. within the due date, as fixed by the Life Insurance Corporation of India, and the policy is allowed to lapse, the exemption is liable to be cancelled.

11. In case of default, if any made by the employer in payment of premium the responsibility for payment of assurance benefits to the nominees or the legal heirs of deceased members who would have been covered under the said

Scheme but for grant of this exemption, shall be that of the employer.

12. Upon the death of the members covered under the Scheme the Life Insurance Corporation of India shall ensure prompt payment of sum assured to the nominee or the Legal heirs of the deceased member entitled for it and in any case within one month from the receipt for claim complete in all respects.

[No. S-35014/27/87-SS-II]

का.प्र. 1043.—मैसर्स सीन्वेटीक स्टोन्स, ई 24अ, मेरुधर इन्डस्ट्रीयल एरिया, फेरा 2 बतानी, जोधपुर, (प्रार.जे./3745) (जिसे इसमें इसके पश्चात् उक्त स्थापन कहा गया है) ने कर्मचारी भविष्य निधि और प्रकीर्ण उपबन्ध अधिनियम, 1952 (का 17) जिसे इसमें इसके पश्चात् उक्त अधिनियम कहा गया है की धारा 17 की उपधारा (2क) के अधीन छूट दिये जाने के लिए आवेदन किया है।

और केन्द्रीय सरकार का समाधान हो गया है कि उक्त स्थापन के कर्मचारी, किसी पुरक भविष्य या प्रीमियम का संदाय किये बिना ही, भारतीय जीवन बीमा निगम की सामूहिक बीमा स्कीम के अधीन जीवन बीमा के रूप में फायदे उठा रहे हैं और ऐसे कर्मचारियों के लिए ये फायदे उन फायदों से अधिक अनुकूल हैं जो कर्मचारी निवेश गहबद्ध बीमा स्कीम, 1976 (जिसे इसमें इसके पश्चात् उक्त स्कीम कहा गया है) के अधीन उन्हें अनुभूत हैं।

अतः केन्द्रीय सरकार, उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 17 की उपधारा 2क द्वारा प्रस्तुत शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए और इससे उपलब्ध अनुसूची में विनिर्दिष्ट शर्तों के अधीन रहते हुए, उक्त स्थापन की तीन वर्ष की अवधि के लिए उक्त स्कीम के सभी उपबन्धों के प्रवर्तन से छूट देती है।

अनुसूची

1. उक्त स्थापन के सम्बन्ध में नियोजक आवेष्टित भविष्य निधि आयुक्त, राजस्थान को ऐसी विवरणियाँ भेजना और ऐसे लेखा रखना तथा निरीक्षण के लिए ऐसी सुविधाएँ प्रदान करेगा जो केन्द्रीय सरकार, समय-समय पर निर्दिष्ट करे।

2. नियोजक, ऐसे निरीक्षण प्रसारों का प्रत्येक मास की समाप्ति के 15 दिन के भीतर संदाय करेगा जो केन्द्रीय सरकार, उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 17 की उपधारा 3क के खण्डक के अधीन समय-समय पर निर्दिष्ट करे।

3. सामूहिक बीमा स्कीम के प्रशासन में, जिसके अन्तर्गत लेखाओं का रखा जाना, विवरणियों का प्रस्तुत किया जाना, बीमा प्रीमियम का संदाय, लेखाओं का अन्तरण, निरीक्षण प्रसारों संदाय आदि भी है, होने वाले सभी व्ययों का बहन नियोजक द्वारा दिया जाएगा।

4. नियोजन, केन्द्रीय सरकार द्वारा अनुसूचित सामूहिक बीमा स्कीम के नियमों की एक प्रति और जब कभी उनमें संशोधन किया जाये, तब उस संशोधन की प्रति तथा कर्मचारियों को बहुसंख्या की भाषा में उसकी मुख्य बातों का अनुवाद स्थापन के सूचना पट्ट पर प्रदर्शित करेगा।

5. यदि कोई ऐसा कर्मचारी जो कर्मचारी भविष्य निधि का या उक्त अधिनियम के अधीन छूट प्राप्त किसी स्थापन की भविष्य निधि का पहले ही सदस्य है, उसके स्थापन में नियोजित किया जाता है तो, नियोजन सामूहिक बीमा स्कीम के सदस्य के रूप में उसका नाम तुरन्त दर्ज करेगा और उसकी बाबत आवश्यक प्रीमियम भारतीय जीवन बीमा निगम को संदत्त करेगा।

6. यदि उक्त स्कीम के अधीन कर्मचारियों को उपलब्ध फायदे बढ़ाये जाते हैं तो, नियोजक सामूहिक बीमा स्कीम के अधीन कर्मचारियों को उपलब्ध फायदों में समुचित रूप से वृद्धि किये जाने की व्यवस्था करेगा जिससे कि कर्मचारियों के लिए सामूहिक बीमा स्कीम के अधीन उपलब्ध फायदे उन फायदों से अधिक अनुकूल हों जो उक्त स्कीम के अधीन अनुभूत हैं।

7. सामूहिक बीमा स्कीम में किसी बात के होते हुए भी यदि किसी कर्मचारी की मृत्यु पर इस स्कीम के अधीन वंद्य रकम उस रकम से कम है जो कर्मचारी को उस दशा में संदेह होती जब वह उक्त स्कीम के अधीन होता तो, निम्नलिखित कर्मचारी के निधित्त वारिस/नाम निर्दिष्टि को प्रतिकर के रूप में दोनों रकमों के अन्तर के बराबर रकम का संदाय करेगा।

8. सामूहिक बीमा स्कीम के उपबन्धों में कोई भी संशोधन प्रादेशिक भविष्य निधि प्रायुक्त, राजस्थान के पूर्व अनुमोदन के बिना नहीं किया जाएगा और जहां किसी संशोधन से कर्मचारियों के हित पर प्रतिकूल प्रभाव पड़ने की संभावना हो, वहां प्रादेशिक भविष्य निधि प्रायुक्त अथवा अनुमोदन देने से पूर्व कर्मचारियों को अपना दृष्टिकोण स्पष्ट करने का सुक्तिपुक्त अवसर देगा।

9. यदि किसी कारणवश स्थापन के कर्मचारी भारतीय जीवन बीमा निगम को उस सामूहिक बीमा स्कीम के, जिसे स्थापन पहले अपना चुका है प्रधीन नहीं रह जाता है या इस स्कीम के अधीन कर्मचारियों को प्राप्त होने वाले फायदे किसी रीति से कम हो जाते हैं, तो यह रह की जा सकती है।

10. यदि किसी कारणवश नियोजक उस नियम तारीख के भीतर जो भारतीय जीवन बीमा निगम नियत करें, प्रीमियम का संदाय करने में अवकल रहता है और पालिसी को व्ययगत हो जाने दिया जाता है तो, छूट रह की जा सकती है।

11. निरोधक द्वारा प्रीमियम के संदाय में किये गये किसी व्यक्तिकम की दशा में उन मृत सदस्यों के नाम निर्दिष्टियों या विधिक वारिसों को जो यदि यह छूट न दी गई होती तो, उक्त स्कीम के अन्तर्गत होते। बीमा फायदों के संदाय का उत्तरदायित्व नियोजक पर होगा।

12. उक्त स्थापन के सम्बन्ध में नियोजक इस स्कीम के अधीन आने वाले किसी सदस्य की मृत्यु होने पर उसके हकदार नाम निर्दिष्टियों/विधिक वारिसों को बीमाकृत रकम का संदाय तत्परता से और प्रत्येक दशा में भारतीय जीवन बीमा निगम से बीमाकृत रकम प्राप्त होने के एक मास के भीतर सुनिश्चित करेगा।

[संख्या एस-35014(28) 87-एस. एस-2]

S.O. 1044.—Whereas Messrs Synthetic Stones, E-24A, Marudhar Industrial Area, Phase-II Basni, Jodhpur (RJ/3745) (hereinafter referred to as the said establishment) have applied for exemption under sub-section (2A) of Section 17 of the Employees' Provident Funds & Miscellaneous Provisions Act, 1952 (19 of 1952) (hereinafter referred to as the said Act);

And whereas, the Central Government is satisfied that the employees of the said establishment are, without making any separate contribution or payment of premium, in enjoyment of benefits under the Group Insurance Scheme of the Life Insurance Corporation of India in the nature of Life Insurance which are more favourable to such employees than the benefits admissible under the Employees' Deposit Linked Insurance Scheme, 1976 (hereinafter referred to as the said Scheme);

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (2A) of section 17 of the said Act and subject to the conditions specified in the Schedule annexed hereto, the Central Government hereby exempts the said establishment from the operation of all the provisions of the said Scheme for a period of three years.

SCHEDULE

1. The employer in relation to the said establishment shall submit such returns to the Regional Provident Fund Commissioner Rajasthan and maintain such accounts and provide such facilities for inspection, as the Central Government may direct from time to time.

2. The employer shall pay such inspection charges as the Central Government may, from time to time, direct under clause (a) of sub-section (3A) of section 17 of the said Act, within 15 days from the close of every month.

3. All expenses involved in the administration of the Group Insurance Scheme including maintenance of accounts, submission of returns, payment of insurance premium, transfer of accounts payment of inspection charges etc. shall be borne by the employer.

4. The employer shall display on the Notice Board of the establishment, a copy of the rules of the Group Insurance Scheme as approved by the Central Government and, as and when amended, alongwith a translation of the salient features thereof, in the language of the majority of the employees.

5. Whereas, an employee, who is already a member of the Employees' Provident Fund or the Provident Fund of an establishment exempted under the said Act, is employed in his establishment, the employer shall immediately enrol him as a member of the Group Insurance Scheme and pay necessary premium in respect of him to the Life Insurance Corporation of India.

6. The employer shall arrange to enhance the benefits available to the employees under the Group Insurance Scheme appropriately, if the benefits available to the employees under the said Scheme are enhanced, so that the benefits available under the Group Insurance Scheme are more favourable to the employees than the benefits admissible under the said Scheme.

7. Notwithstanding anything contained in the Group Insurance Scheme, if on the death of an employee the amount payable under this Scheme be less than the amount that would be payable had employee been covered under the said Scheme, the employer shall pay the difference to the legal heir/nominee of the employee as compensation.

8. No amendment of the provisions of the Group Insurance Scheme, shall be made without the prior approval of the Regional Provident Fund Commissioner, Rajasthan and where any amendment is likely to effect adversely the interest of the employees, the Regional Provident Fund Commissioner shall before giving his approval, give a reasonable opportunity to the employees to explain their point of view.

9. Where, for any reason, the employees of the said establishment do not remain covered under the Group Insurance Scheme of the Life Insurance Corporation of India as already adopted by the said establishment, or the benefits to the employees under this Scheme are reduced in any manner, the exemption shall be liable to be cancelled.

10. Where, for any reason, the employer fails to pay the premium etc. within the due date, as fixed by the Life Insurance Corporation of India, and the policy is allowed to lapse, the exemption is liable to be cancelled.

11. In case of default, if any made by the employer in payment of premium the responsibility for payment of assurance benefits to the nominees or the legal heirs of deceased members who would have been covered under the said Scheme but for grant of this exemption, shall be that of the employer.

12. Upon the death of the members covered under the Scheme the Life Insurance Corporation of India shall ensure prompt payment of sum assured to the nominee or the Legal heirs of the deceased member entitled for it and in any case within one month from the receipt for claim complete in all respects.

[No. S-35014/28/87-SS-II]

का.प्रा. 1045 :- मैसर्स-साब् उद्योग, ई-24, मरुधर, इन्डस्ट्रियल एरिया, फेज-2 बसनी, जोधपुर, (घार. जे./3632) (जिसे इसमें इसके पश्चात् उक्त स्थापन कहा गया है) ने कर्मचारी भविष्य निधि और प्रकीर्ण उपबन्ध अधिनियम, 1952 (1952 का 17) (जिसे इसमें इसके पश्चात् उक्त अधिनियम कहा गया है) की धारा 17 की उपधारा (2क) के अधीन छूट दिये जाने के लिए आवेदन किया है।

और केन्द्रीय सरकार का समाधान हो गया है कि उक्त स्थापन के कर्मचारी, किसी पृथक् अभिदाय या प्रीमियम का संदाय किये बिना ही, भारतीय जीवन बीमा निगम की सामूहिक बीमा स्कीम के अधीन जीवन बीमा के रूप में फायदे उठा रहे हैं और ऐसे कर्मचारियों के लिए वे फायदे उन फायदों से अधिक अनुकूल हैं जो कर्मचारी विशेष महबूद बीमा स्कीम 1976 (जिसे इनमें इसके पश्चात् उक्त स्कीम कहा गया है) के अधीन उन्हें अनुभूत हैं।

अतः केन्द्रीय सरकार, उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 17 की उपधारा-2क द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए और इससे उपाबद्ध अनुसूची में विनिर्दिष्ट बातों के अधीन रहते हुए, उक्त स्थापन की तीन वर्ष की अवधि के लिए उक्त स्कीम के सभी उपबन्धों के प्रवर्तन से छूट देती है।

अनुसूची

1. उक्त स्थापन के सम्बन्ध में नियोजक प्रादेशिक भविष्य निधि आयुक्त, राजस्थान को ऐसी विवरणियां भेजेगा और ऐसे लेखा रखेगा तथा निरीक्षण के लिए ऐसी सुविधायें प्रदान करेगा जो केन्द्रीय सरकार, समय समय पर निर्दिष्ट करेंगे।

2. नियोजक, ऐसे निरीक्षण प्रचारों का प्रत्येक मास की समाप्ति के 15 दिन के भीतर संदाय करेगा जो केन्द्रीय सरकार, उक्त अधिनियम की धारा-17 की उपधारा 3-क के खंड-क के अधीन समय-समय पर निर्दिष्ट करें।

3. सामूहिक बीमा स्कीम के प्रशासन में, जिसके अन्तर्गत लेखाओं का रखा जाना, विवरणियों का प्रस्तुत किया जाना, बीमा प्रीमियम का संदाय, लेखाओं का अन्तर्गण, निरीक्षण प्रचारों का वाणिज्यिक है, होने वाले सभी व्ययों का वहन नियोजक द्वारा दिया जाएगा।

4. नियोजक, केन्द्रीय सरकार द्वारा अनुमोदित सामूहिक बीमा स्कीम के नियमों की एक प्रति और जब कभी उनमें संशोधन किया जाये, तब उस संशोधन की प्रति तथा कर्मचारियों की बहुसंख्या की भाषा में उसकी मुख्य बातों का अनुवाद स्थापन के सूचना पट्ट पर प्रदर्शित करेगा।

5. यदि कोई ऐसा कर्मचारी जो कर्मचारी भविष्य निधि का या उक्त अधिनियम के अधीन छूट प्राप्त किसी स्थापन की भविष्य निधि का पहले ही सदस्य है, उसके स्थापन में नियोजित किया जाता है तो, नियोजक सामूहिक बीमा स्कीम के सदस्य के रूप में उसका नाम सुरन्त दर्ज करेगा और उसकी बाबत आवश्यक प्रीमियम भारतीय जीवन बीमा निगम को संदाय करेगा।

6. यदि उक्त स्कीम के अधीन कर्मचारियों को उपलब्ध फायदे बढ़ाये जाते हैं तो, नियोजक सामूहिक बीमा स्कीम के अधीन कर्मचारियों को उपलब्ध फायदों में समुचित रूप से वृद्धि किये जाने की व्यवस्था करेगा जिससे कि कर्मचारियों के लिए सामूहिक बीमा स्कीम के अधीन उपलब्ध फायदे उन फायदों से अधिक अनुकूल हों जो उक्त स्कीम के अधीन अनुभूत हैं।

7. सामूहिक बीमा स्कीम में किसी बात के होते हुए भी यदि किसी कर्मचारी की मृत्यु पर इस स्कीम के अधीन संदेय रकम उस रकम से कम है जो कर्मचारी को उस दशा में संदेय होती जब वह उक्त स्कीम के अधीन होता तो, नियोजक कर्मचारी के विधिक वारिस/नाम निर्देशितों को प्रतिकर के रूप में दोनों रकमों के अन्तर के बराबर रकम का संदाय करेगा।

8. सामूहिक बीमा स्कीम के उपबन्धों में कोई भी संशोधन प्रादेशिक भविष्य निधि आयुक्त राजस्थान के पूर्व अनुमोदन के बिना नहीं किया जाएगा और जहाँ किसी संशोधन के कर्मचारियों के हित पर प्रतिकूल प्रभाव पड़ने की संभावना हो, वहाँ प्रादेशिक भविष्य निधि आयुक्त अपना अनुमोदन देने से पूर्व कर्मचारियों को अपना दृष्टिकोण स्पष्ट करने का मुक्तिपुस्तक प्रसार देगा।

9. यदि किसी कारणवश स्थापन के कर्मचारी भारतीय जीवन बीमा निगम को उस सामूहिक बीमा स्कीम के, जिसे स्थापन पहले प्राप्त चुका

है अधीन नहीं रह जाता है या इस स्कीम के अधीन कर्मचारियों को प्राप्त होने वाले फायदे किसी रीति से कम हो जाते हैं, तो यह रद्द की जा सकती है।

10. यदि किसी कारणवश नियोजक उस नियत तारीख के भीतर जो भारतीय जीवन बीमा निगम नियत करे, प्रीमियम का संदाय करने में असफल रहता है और पारिसी को व्ययगत हो जाने दिया जाता है तो, छूट रद्द का हो सकता है।

11. नियोजक द्वारा प्रीमियम के संदाय में किए गये किसी व्ययिक कर्म दशा में उन मृत सदस्यों के नाम निर्देशितियों या विधिक वारिसों को जो यदि यह छूट न दी गई होती तो, उक्त स्कीम के अन्तर्गत होते। बीमा फायदों के संदाय का उत्तरदायित्व नियोजक पर होगा।

12. उक्त स्थापन के सम्बन्ध में नियोजक इस स्कीम के अधीन आने वाले किसी सदस्य की मृत्यु होने पर उसके हकदार नाम निर्देशितियों विधिक वारिसों को बीमाकृत रकम का संदाय तत्परता से और प्रत्येक दशा में भारतीय जीवन बीमा निगम से बीमाकृत रकम प्राप्त होने के एक मास के भीतर सुनिश्चित करेगा।

[संख्या एस-35014(29)/87-एस.एस-2]

S.O. 1045.—Whereas Messrs. Saboo Udyog, E-24A, Marudhar Industrial Area, Phase-II, Basni, Jodhpur, (RJ/3632) (hereinafter referred to as the said establishment) have applied for exemption under sub-section (2A) of Section 17 of the Employees' Provident Funds & Miscellaneous Provisions Act, 1952 (19 of 1952) (hereinafter referred to as the said Act);

And whereas, the Central Government is satisfied that the employees of the said establishment are, without making any separate contribution or payment of premium, in enjoyment of benefits under the Group Insurance Scheme of the Life Insurance Corporation of India in the nature of Life Insurance which are more favourable to such employees than the benefits admissible under the Employees' Deposit Linked Insurance Scheme, 1976 (hereinafter referred to as the said Scheme);

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (2A) of section 17 of the said Act and subject to the conditions specified in the Schedule annexed hereto, the Central Government hereby exempts the said establishment from the operation of all the provisions of the said Scheme for a period of three years.

SCHEDULE

1. The employer in relation to the said establishment shall submit such returns to the Regional Provident Fund Commissioner Rajasthan and maintain such accounts and provide such facilities for inspection, as the Central Government may direct from time to time.

2. The employer shall pay such inspection charges as the Central Government may, from time to time, direct under clause (a) of sub-section (3A) of section 17 of the said Act, within 15 days from the close of every month.

3. All expenses involved in the administration of the Group Insurance Scheme including maintenance of accounts, submission of returns, payment of insurance premia, transfer of accounts payment of inspection charges etc. shall be borne by the employer.

4. The employer shall display on the Notice Board of the establishment, a copy of the rules of the Group Insurance Scheme as approved by the Central Government and, as and when amended, alongwith a translation of the salient features thereof, in the language of the majority of the employees.

5. Whereas, an employee, who is already a member of the Employees' Provident Fund or the Provident Fund of an establishment exempted under the said Act, is employed in his establishment, the employer shall immediately enrol him as a member of the Group Insurance Scheme and pay neces-

sary premium in respect of him to the Life Insurance Corporation of India.

6. The employer shall arrange to enhance the benefits available to the employees under the Group Insurance Scheme appropriately, if the benefits available to the employees under the said Scheme are enhanced, so that the benefits available under the Group Insurance Scheme are more favourable to the employees than the benefits admissible under the said Scheme.

7. Notwithstanding anything contained in the Group Insurance Scheme, if on the death of an employee the amount payable under this Scheme be less than the amount that would be payable had employee been covered under the said Scheme, the employer shall pay the difference to the legal heir/nominee of the employee as compensation.

8. No amendment of the provisions of the Group Insurance Scheme, shall be made without the prior approval of the Regional Provident Fund Commissioner, Rajasthan and where any amendment is likely to effect adversely the interest of the employees, the Regional Provident Fund Commissioner shall before giving his approval, give a reasonable opportunity to the employees, to explain their point of view.

9. Where, for any reason the employees of the said establishment do not remain covered under the Group Insurance Scheme of the Life Insurance Corporation of India as already adopted by the said establishment, or the benefits to the employees under this Scheme are reduced in any manner, the exemption shall be liable to be cancelled.

10. Where, for any reason, the employer fails to pay the premium etc. within the due date, as fixed by the Life Insurance Corporation of India, and the policy is allowed to lapse, the exemption is liable to be cancelled.

11. In case of default, if any made by the employer in payment of premium the responsibility for payment of assurance benefits to the nominees or the legal heirs of deceased members who would have been covered under the said Scheme but for grant of this exemption, shall be that of the employer.

12. Upon the death of the members covered under the Scheme the Life Insurance Corporation of India shall ensure prompt payment of sum assured to the nominee or the legal heirs of the deceased member entitled for it and in any case within one month from the receipt for claim complete in all respects.

[No. S-35014/29/87-SS-II]

नई दिल्ली, 2 अप्रैल 1987

का.प्र. 1046:—मैसर्स श्री लक्ष्मी इंजीनियरिंग वर्क्स, 12 पल रोड, जोधपुर (आर. जे./3743) (जिसे हममें इसके पश्चात् उक्त स्थापन कहा गया है) ने कर्मचारी भविष्य निधि और प्रकीर्ण उपबन्ध अधिनियम, (1952 का 17) (जिसे हममें इसके पश्चात् उक्त अधिनियम कहा गया है) की धारा 17 की उपधारा (2क) के अधीन छूट दिये जाने के लिए आवेदन किया है;

और केन्द्रीय सरकार सा समाधान हो गया है कि उक्त स्थापन के कर्मचारी, किर्मी, पृथक अधिधाय या प्रीमियम का संघाय किये बिना ही, भारतीय जीवन बीमा निगम का सामूहिक बीमा स्कीम के अधीन जीवन बीमा के रूप में फायदे उठा रहे हैं और ऐसे कर्मचारियों के लिए ये फायदे उन फायदों से अधिक अनुकूल हैं जो कर्मचारी निक्षेप सहबद्ध बीमा स्कीम, 1976 (जिसे हममें इसके पश्चात् उक्त स्कीम कहा गया है) के अधीन उन्हें अनुभोग्य हैं;

अतः केन्द्रीय सरकार, उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 17 की उपधारा 2क द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए और इससे उपबाध अनुभूति में विनिर्दिष्ट बातों के अधीन रहते हुए, उक्त स्थापन की तीन वर्ष की अवधि के लिए उक्त स्कीम के सभी उपबन्धों के प्रवर्तन से छूट देती है।

अनुसूची

1. उक्त स्थापन के सम्बन्ध में नियोजक प्रादेशिक भविष्य निधि आयुक्त, राजस्थान को ऐसी विवरणियाँ भेजना और ऐसे लेखा रखना तथा

निरीक्षण के लिए ऐसी सुविधाएँ प्रदान करेगा जो केन्द्रीय सरकार, समय-समय पर निर्दिष्ट करे।

2. नियोजक, ऐसे निरीक्षण प्रसारों का प्रत्येक मास की समाप्ति के 15 दिन के भीतर संदाय करेगा जो केन्द्रीय सरकार, उक्त अधिनियम की धारा-17 की उपधारा 3-क के खंड-क के अधीन समय-समय पर निर्दिष्ट करे।

3. सामूहिक बीमा स्कीम के प्रशासन में, जिसके अन्तर्गत लेखाओं का रखा जाना, विवरणियों का प्रस्तुत किया जाना, बीमा प्रीमियम का संदाय, लेखाओं का अन्तरण, निरीक्षण प्रसारों संवाय भावि भी है, होने वाले सभी व्ययों का वहन नियोजक द्वारा किया जाएगा।

4. नियोजन, केन्द्रीय सरकार द्वारा अनुमोदित सामूहिक बीमा स्कीम के नियमों की एक प्रति और जब कभी उनमें संशोधन किया जाये, तब उस संशोधन की प्रति तथा कर्मचारियों की बहुसंख्या की भाषा, में उसकी मुख्य बातों का अनुवाद स्थापन के सूचना पट्ट पर प्रदर्शित करेगा।

5. यदि कोई ऐसा कर्मचारी जो कर्मचारी भविष्य निधि का या उक्त अधिनियम के अधीन छूट प्राप्त किसी स्थापन की भविष्य निधि का पहले ही सदस्य है, उसके स्थापन में नियोजित किया जाता है तो, नियोजन सामूहिक बीमा स्कीम के सदस्य के रूप में उसका नाम तुरन्त दर्ज करेगा और उसकी वास्तव आवश्यक प्रािमियम भारतीय जीवन बीमा निगम को संवत् करेगा।

6. यदि उक्त स्कीम के अधीन कर्मचारियों को उपलब्ध फायदे बढ़ाये जाते हैं तो, नियोजक सामूहिक बीमा स्कीम के अधीन कर्मचारियों को उपलब्ध फायदों में सम्मिलित रूप से वृद्धि किये जाने की व्यवस्था करेगा जिससे कि कर्मचारियों के लिए सामूहिक बीमा स्कीम के अधीन उपलब्ध फायदे उन फायदों से अधिक अनुकूल हो जो उक्त स्कीम के अधीन अनुभोग्य हैं।

7. सामूहिक बीमा स्कीम में किसी बात के होते हुए भी यदि किसी कर्मचारी की मृत्यु पर इस स्कीम के अधीन संदेय रकम उस रकम से कम है जो कर्मचारी की उस दशा में संदेय होती जब वह उक्त स्कीम के अधीन होता तो, नियोजक कर्मचारी के विधिक वारिस/नामनिर्वाचित को प्रतिकर के रूप दोनों रकमों के अन्तर के बराबर रकम का संदाय करेगा।

सामूहिक बीमा स्कीम के उपबन्धों में कोई भी संशोधन प्रादेशिक भविष्य निधि आयुक्त, राजस्थान के पूर्व अनुमोदन के बिना नहीं किया जाएगा और जहाँ किसी संशोधन से कर्मचारियों के हित पर प्रतिकूल प्रभाव पड़ने की संभावना हो, वहाँ प्रादेशिक भविष्य निधि आयुक्त अपना अनुमोदन देने से पूर्व कर्मचारियों को अपना दृष्टिकोण स्पष्ट करने का श्रुतियुक्त अवसर देगा।

9. यदि किसी कारणवश स्थापन के कर्मचारी भारतीय जीवन बीमा निगम को उस सामूहिक बीमा स्कीम के, जिसे स्थापन पहले अपना चुका है अधीन नहीं रह जाना है या इस स्कीम के अधीन कर्मचारियों को प्राप्त होने वाले फायदे किसी रीति से कम हो जाते हैं तो यह रद्द की जा सकती है।

10. यदि किसी कारणवश नियोजक उस नियम तारीख के भीतर जो भारतीय जीवन बीमा निगम नियत करे, प्रीमियम का संदाय करने में असफल रहता है और पालिसी को व्यपगत हो जाने दिया जाता है तो, छूट रद्द की जा सकती है।

11. नियोजक द्वारा प्रीमियम के संदाय में किये गये किसी व्यतिक्रम की दशा में उन मृत सदस्यों के नाम निर्देशितियों या विधिक वारिसों को यदि यह छूट न दी गई होती तो, उक्त स्कीम के अन्तर्गत होते। बीमा फायदों के संदाय का उत्तरदायित्व नियोजक पर होगा।

12. उक्त स्थापन के संबंध में नियोजक इस स्कीम के अधीन आने वाले किसी सदस्य की मृत्यु होने पर उसके हक्कार नाम निर्देशितियों/विधिक वारिसों को बीमाकृत रकम का संवाय तत्परता से और प्रत्येक

दया में भारतीय जीवन बीमा निगम से बीमाकृत रकम प्राप्त होने के एक मास के भीतर मुनिषित करनेगा।

[संख्या एस-35014(30) 87-एस-1]

New Delhi, the 2nd April, 1987

S.O. 1046.—Whereas Messrs Shri Laxmi Engineering Works, 12th Pat Road, Jodhpur (RI/3743) (hereinafter referred to as the said establishment) have applied for exemption under sub-section (2A) of section 17 of the Employees' Provident Funds and Miscellaneous Provisions Act, 1952 (19 of 1952) (hereinafter referred to as the said Act);

And whereas, the Central Government is satisfied that the employees of the said establishment are, without making any separate contribution or payment of premium, in enjoyment of benefits under the Group Insurance Scheme of the Life Insurance Corporation of India in the nature of Life Insurance which are more favourable to such employees than the benefits admissible under the Employees Deposit Linked Insurance Scheme, 1976 (hereinafter referred to as the said Scheme);

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (2A) of section 17 of the said Act and subject to the conditions specified in the Schedule annexed hereto, the Central Government hereby exempts the said establishment from the operation of all the provisions of the said Scheme for a period of three years.

SCHEDULE

1. The employer in relation to the said establishment shall submit such returns to the Regional Provident Fund Commissioner Rajasthan and maintain such accounts and provide such facilities for inspection, as the Central Government may direct from time to time.

2. The employer shall pay such inspection charges as the Central Government may, from time to time, direct under clause (a) of sub-section (3A) of section 17 of the said Act, within 15 days from the close of every month.

3. All expenses involved in the administration of the Group Insurance Scheme, including maintenance of accounts, submission of returns, payment of insurance premia, transfer of accounts, payment of inspection charges etc. shall be borne by the employer.

4. The employer shall display on the Notice Board of the establishment, a copy of the rules of the Group Insurance Scheme as approved by the Central Government and, as and when amended alongwith a translation of the salient features thereof, in the language of the majority of the employees.

5. Whereas an employee, who is already a member of the Employees' Provident Fund or the Provident Fund of an establishment exempted under the said Act, is employed in his establishment, the employer shall immediately enrol him as a member of the Group Insurance Scheme and pay necessary premium in respect of him to the Life Insurance Corporation of India.

6. The employer shall arrange to enhance the benefits available to the employees under the Group Insurance Scheme appropriately, if the benefits available to the employees under the said Scheme are enhanced so that the benefits available under the Group Insurance Scheme are more favourable to the employees than the benefits admissible under the said Scheme.

7. Notwithstanding anything contained in the Group Insurance Scheme, if on the death of an employee the amount payable under this Scheme be less than the amount that would be payable had employee been covered under the said Scheme, the employer shall pay the difference to the legal heir/nominee of the employee as compensation.

8. No amendment of the provisions of the Group Insurance Scheme, shall be made without the prior approval of the Regional Provident Fund Commissioner, Rajasthan and where any amendment is likely to effect adversely the interest

of the employees, the Regional Provident Fund Commissioner shall before giving his approval, give a reasonable opportunity to the employees to explain their point of view.

9. Where, for any reason, the employees of the said establishment do not remain covered under the Group Insurance Scheme of the Life Insurance Corporation of India as already adopted by the said establishment, or, the benefits to the employees under this Scheme are reduced in any manner, the exemption shall be liable to be cancelled.

10. Where, for any reason, the employer fails to pay the premium etc. within the due date, as fixed by the Life Insurance Corporation of India, and the policy is allowed to lapse, the exemption is liable to be cancelled.

11. In case of default, if any made by the employer in payment of premium the responsibility for payment of assurance benefits to the nominees or the legal heirs of deceased members who would have been covered under the said Scheme but for grant of this exemption, shall be that of the employer.

12. Upon the death of the members covered under the Scheme the Life Insurance Corporation of India shall ensure prompt payment of sum assured to the nominee or the legal heirs of the deceased member entitled for it and in any case within one month from the receipt of claim complete in all respects.

[No. S-35014(30), 37 JS-11]

का. आ. 1047—मोहटा इलेक्ट्रो स्टील लि., प्लाट नं. 526 इन्डस्ट्रियल एरिया, जवाहरी पी एन 5019 (जिसे इसके पश्चात् उक्त स्थापन कहा गया है) ने कर्मचारी भविष्य निधि और प्रकीर्ण उपबंध अधिनियम, 1952 का 18 (जिसे इसमें इसके पश्चात् उक्त अधिनियम कहा गया है) की धारा 17 उपधारा 2क के अधीन छूट दिले जाने के लिए आवेदन किया है।

और केन्द्रीय सरकार का समाधान हो गया है कि उक्त स्थापन कर्मचारी, किसी वृत्त अधिदाय या प्रीमियम का संदाय किये बिना ही भारतीय जीवन बीमा निगम की सामूहिक बीमा स्कीम के अधीन जीवन बीमा के रूप में फायदे उठा रहे हैं और ऐसे कर्मचारियों के लिए ये फायदे उक्त फायदों में अधिक अनुकूल हैं जो कर्मचारी निवेश मंडल बीमा स्कीम 1976 (जिसे इसमें इसके पश्चात् उक्त स्कीम कहा गया है) के अधीन उन्हें प्राप्त हैं;

अतः केन्द्रीय सरकार, उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 17 की उपधारा-2क द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए और इसमें उपबन्ध अनुसूची में विनिर्दिष्ट शर्तों के अधीन रहते हुए, उक्त स्थापन की तीन वर्ष की अवधि के लिए उक्त स्कीम के सभी उपबंधों के प्रवर्तन से छूट देती है।

अनुसूची

1. उक्त स्थापना के संबंध में नियोजक प्रादेशिक भविष्य निधि आयुक्त, हरियाणा को ऐसी विवरणियाँ भेजेंगे और ऐसे लेखा रखेगा तथा निरीक्षण के लिए ऐसी सुविधाएँ प्रदान करेगा जो केन्द्रीय सरकार, समय-समय पर निर्दिष्ट करे।

2. नियोजक, ऐसे निरीक्षण प्रसारों का प्रत्येक मास की समाप्ति के 15 दिन के भीतर संदाय करेगा जो केन्द्रीय सरकार, उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 17 की उपधारा 3-क के खंडक के अधीन समय-समय पर निर्दिष्ट करे।

3. सामूहिक बीमा स्कीम के प्रशासन में, जिसके अंतर्गत लेखाओं का रखा जाता, विवरणियों का प्रस्तुत किया जाता, बीमा प्रीमियम का संदाय लेखाओं का अन्तरण, निरीक्षण प्रसारों, संदाय आदि भी है, होने वाले सभी व्ययों का वहन नियोजक द्वारा दिया जाएगा।

4. नियोजन, केन्द्रीय सरकार द्वारा अनुमोदित सामूहिक बीमा स्कीम के नियमों की एक प्रति और जब कभी उनमें संशोधन किया जाये, तब

उस संशोधन की प्रति तथा कर्मचारियों की बहुसंख्या की भाषा में उसकी मुख्य बातों का अनुवाद स्थापन के सूचना पट्ट पर प्रदर्शित करेगा।

5. यदि कोई ऐसा कर्मचारी जो कर्मचारी भविष्य निधि का या उक्त अधिनियम के अधीन छूट प्राप्त किसी स्थापन की भविष्य निधि का पहले ही सदस्य है, उसके स्थापन में नियोजित किया जाता है तो, नियोजन सामूहिक बीमा स्कीम के सदस्य के रूप में उसका नाम तुरन्त दर्ज करेगा और उसकी बाबत आवश्यक प्रीमियम भारतीय जीवन बीमा निगम को संदत्त करेगा।

6. यदि उक्त स्कीम के अधीन कर्मचारियों की उपलब्ध फायदे बढ़ाये जाते हैं तो, नियोजक सामूहिक बीमा स्कीम के अधीन कर्मचारियों को उपलब्ध फायदों में समुचित रूप से वृद्धि किये जाने की व्यवस्था करेगा जिससे कि कर्मचारियों के लिए सामूहिक बीमा स्कीम के अधीन उपलब्ध फायदे उन फायदों से अधिक अनुकूल हो जो उक्त स्कीम के अधीन अनुप्राप्त हैं।

7. सामूहिक बीमा स्कीम में किसी बान के होने हुए भी यदि किसी कर्मचारी की मृत्यु पर इस स्कीम के अधीन संदेय रकम उस रकम से कम है जो कर्मचारी को उस दशा में संदेय होती जब वह उक्त स्कीम के अधीन होता तो, नियोजक कर्मचारी के विधिक वारिस/नाम निर्देशितों को प्रतिकर के रूप में दोनों रकमों के अंतर के बराबर रकम का संदाय करेगा।

8. सामूहिक बीमा स्कीम के उपबंधों में कोई भी संशोधन प्रादेशिक भविष्य निधि आयुक्त, हरियाणा के पूर्व अनुमोदन के बिना नहीं किया जाएगा और जहां किसी संशोधन से कर्मचारियों के हित पर प्रतिकूल प्रभाव पड़ने की संभावना हो, वहां प्रादेशिक भविष्य निधि आयुक्त अपना अनुमोदन देने से पूर्व कर्मचारियों की अपना दृष्टिकोण स्पष्ट करने का युक्ति-युक्त अवसर देगा।

9. यदि किसी कारणवश स्थापन के कर्मचारी भारतीय जीवन बीमा निगम को उस सामूहिक बीमा स्कीम के, जिसे स्थापन पहले अपना चुका है अधीन नहीं रह जाता है या इस स्कीम के अधीन कर्मचारियों को प्राप्त होने वाले फायदे किसी रीति से कम हो जाते हैं, तो यह रह की जा सकती है।

10. यदि किसी कारणवश नियोजक उस नियम तारीख के भीतर जो भारतीय जीवन बीमा निगम नियम करे, प्रीमियम का संदाय करने में असफल रहता है और पालिसी को व्यवगल हो जाने दिया जाता है तो, छूट रह की जा सकती है।

11. नियोजक द्वारा प्रीमियम के संदाय में किये गये किसी व्यक्तिगत की दशा में उन मृत सदस्यों के नाम निर्देशितियों या विधिक वारिसों को जो यदि यह छूट न दी गई होती तो, उक्त स्कीम के अन्तर्गत होते। बीमा फायदों के संदाय का उत्तरदायित्व नियोजक पर होगा।

12. उक्त स्थापन के संबंध में नियोजक इस स्कीम के अधीन आने वाले किसी सदस्य की मृत्यु होने पर उसके हक्दार नाम निर्देशितियों/विधिक वारिसों को बीमाकृत रकम का संदाय तत्परता से और प्रत्येक दशा में भारतीय जीवन बीमा निगम से बीमाकृत रकम प्राप्त होने के एक मास के भीतर सुनिश्चित करेगा।

[मं. एस-35014(31) 87-एम. एस-2]

S.O. 1047.—Whereas Messrs Moha Electro Steel Limited, Plot No. 526, Industrial Area, Bhiwani (PN/5019) (hereinafter referred to as the said establishment) have applied for exemption under sub-section (2A) of section 17 of the Employees' Provident Funds and Miscellaneous Provisions Act, 1952 (19 of 1952) (hereinafter referred to as the said Act);

And whereas, the Central Government is satisfied that the employees of the said establishment are, without making any separate contribution or payment of premium, in employment of benefits under the Group Insurance Scheme of the Life Insurance Corporation of India in the nature of Life Insurance which are more favourable to such employees than the benefits admissible under the Employees Deposit Linked Insurance Scheme, 1976 (hereinafter referred to as the said Scheme);

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (2A) of section 17 of the said Act and subject to the conditions specified in the Schedule annexed hereto, the Central Government hereby exempts the said establishment from the operation of all the provisions of the said Scheme for a period of three years.

SCHEDULE

1. The employer in relation to the said establishment shall submit such returns to the Regional Provident Fund Commissioner Haryana and maintain such accounts and provide such facilities for inspection, as the Central Government may direct from time to time.

2. The employer shall pay such inspection charges as the Central Government may, from time to time, direct under clause (a) of sub-section (3A) of section 17 of the said Act, within 15 days from the close of every month.

3. All expenses involved in the administration of the Group Insurance Scheme, including maintenance of accounts, submission of returns, payment of insurance premia, transfer of accounts, payment of inspection charges etc. shall be borne by the employer.

4. The employer shall display on the Notice Board of the establishment, a copy of the rules of the Group Insurance Scheme as approved by the Central Government and, as and when amended alongwith a translation of the salient features thereof, in the language of the majority of the employees.

5. Whereas an employee, who is already a member of the Employees' Provident Fund or the Provident Fund of an establishment exempted under the said Act, is employed in his establishment, the employer shall immediately enrol him as a member of the Group Insurance Scheme and pay necessary premium in respect of him to the Life Insurance Corporation of India.

6. The employer shall arrange to enhance the benefits available to the employees under the Group Insurance Scheme appropriately, if the benefits available to the employees under the said Scheme are enhanced so that the benefits available under the Group Insurance Scheme are more favourable to the employees than the benefits admissible under the said Scheme.

7. Notwithstanding anything contained in the Group Insurance Scheme, if on the death of an employee the amount payable under this Scheme be less than the amount that would be payable had employee been covered under the said Scheme, the employer shall pay the difference to the legal heir/nominee of the employee as compensation.

8. No amendment of the provisions of the Group Insurance Scheme, shall be made without the prior approval of the Regional Provident Fund Commissioner, Haryana and where any amendment is likely to effect adversely the interest of the employees, the Regional Provident Fund Commissioner shall before giving his approval, give a reasonable opportunity to the employees to explain their point of view.

9. Where, for any reason, the employees of the said establishment do not remain covered under the Group Insurance Scheme of the Life Insurance Corporation of India as already adopted by the said establishment, or the benefits to the employees under this Scheme are reduced in any manner, the exemption shall be liable to be cancelled.

10. Where, for any reason, the employer fails to pay the premium etc. within the due date, as fixed by the Life Insurance Corporation of India, and the policy is allowed to lapse, the exemption is liable to be cancelled.

11. In case of default, if any made by the employer in payment of premium the responsibility for payment of assurance benefits to the nominees or the legal heirs of deceased members who would have been covered under the said Scheme but for grant of this exemption, shall be that of the employer.

12. Upon the death of the members covered under the Scheme the Life Insurance Corporation of India shall ensure prompt payment of sum assured to the nominee or the legal heirs of the deceased member entitled for it and in any case

within one month from the receipt of claim complete in all respects.

[No. S-35014/31/87-SS-II]

का. अ. 1048—मैसर्स आदर्श उद्योग, चोपासनी रोड, जोधपुर (राजस्थान) (आर. जे./2414) (जिसे इसमें इसके पश्चात् उक्त स्थापन कहा गया है) ने कर्मचारी भविष्य निधि और प्रकीर्ण उपबंध अधिनियम, 1952 (1952 का 17) (जिसे इसमें इसके पश्चात् उक्त अधिनियम कहा गया है) की धारा 17 की उपधारा (2क) के अधीन छूट दिये जाने के लिए आवेदन किया है।

और केन्द्रीय सरकार का समाधान हो गया है कि उक्त स्थापन के कर्मचारी, किसी पुष्क अमिदाय या प्रीमियम का संदाय किये बिना ही, भारतीय जीवन बीमा निगम की सामूहिक बीमा स्कीम के अधीन जीवन बीमा के रूप में फायदे उठा रहे हैं और ऐसे कर्मचारियों के लिए ये फायदे उन फायदों से अधिक अनुकूल हैं जो कर्मचारी निधे सहस्रक बीमा स्कीम, 1976 (जिसे इसमें इसके पश्चात् उक्त स्कीम कहा गया है) के अधीन उन्हें अनुभूत हैं।

अतः केन्द्रीय सरकार, उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 17 की उपधारा-2क द्वारा प्रस्तुत शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए और इसमें उपाबद्ध अनुसूची में विनिर्दिष्ट शर्तों के अधीन रहते हुए, उक्त स्थापन की तीन वर्ष की अवधि के लिए उक्त स्कीम के सभी उपबंधों के प्रवर्तन से छूट देती है।

अनुसूची

1. उक्त स्थापन के संबंध में नियोजक प्रादेशिक भविष्य निधि आयुक्त, राजस्थान को ऐसी विवरणियां भेजेगा और ऐसे लेखा रखेगा तथा निरीक्षण के लिए ऐसी सुविधाएँ प्रदान करेगा जो केन्द्रीय सरकार, समय-समय पर निदिष्ट करे।

2. नियोजक, ऐसे निरीक्षण प्रभारों का प्रत्येक माम की समाप्ति के 15 दिन के भीतर संदाय करेगा जो केन्द्रीय सरकार, उक्त अधिनियम की धारा-17 की उपधारा 3-क के खंड-क के अधीन समय-समय पर निदिष्ट करे।

3. सामूहिक बीमा स्कीम के प्रशासन में, जिसके अंतर्गत लेखाओं का रखा जाना, विवरणियों का प्रस्तुत किया जाना, बीमा प्रीमियम का संदाय, लेखाओं का अंतरण, निरीक्षण प्रभारों संदाय आदि भी है, होने वाले सभी व्ययों का बहन नियोजक द्वारा दिया जाएगा।

4. नियोजक, केन्द्रीय सरकार द्वारा अनुमोदित सामूहिक बीमा स्कीम के नियमों की एक प्रति और जब कभी उनमें संशोधन किया जाये, तब उस संशोधन की प्रति तथा कर्मचारियों की बहुसंख्या की भाषा में उसकी मुख्य बातों का अनुबाध स्थापन के सूचना पट्ट पर प्रदर्शित करेगा।

5. यदि कोई ऐसा कर्मचारी जो कर्मचारी भविष्य निधि का या उक्त अधिनियम के अधीन छूट प्राप्त किसी स्थापन की भविष्य निधि का पहले ही सदस्य है, उसके स्थापन में नियोजित किया जाता है तो, नियोजन सामूहिक बीमा स्कीम के सदस्य के रूप में उसका नाम धुरन्त दर्ज करेगा और उसकी याबत आवश्यक प्रीमियम भारतीय जीवन बीमा निगम को संवत् करेगा।

6. यदि उक्त स्कीम के अधीन कर्मचारियों का उपलब्ध फायदे बढ़ाये जाते हैं तो नियोजक सामूहिक बीमा स्कीम के अधीन कर्मचारियों को उपलब्ध फायदों में समुचित रूप से वृद्धि किये जाने की व्यवस्था करेगा जिससे कि कर्मचारियों के लिये सामूहिक बीमा स्कीम के अधीन उपलब्ध फायदे उन फायदों से अधिक अनुकूल हों जो उक्त स्कीम के अधीन अनुभूत हैं।

7. सामूहिक बीमा स्कीम में किसी धन के होते हुए भी यदि किसी कर्मचारी की मृत्यु पर इस स्कीम के अधीन संवेद्य रकम उस रकम से कम है जो कर्मचारी की उस दशा में संवेद्य होती जब वह उक्त स्कीम के अधीन होता तो, नियोजक कर्मचारी के विधिक वारिसों नाम निर्देशितों को प्रतीकर के रूप में दोनों रकमों के अंतर के बराबर रकम का संदाय करेगा।

8. सामूहिक बीमा स्कीम के उपबंधों में कोई भी संशोधन प्रादेशिक भविष्य निधि आयुक्त, राजस्थान के पूर्व अनुमोदन के बिना नहीं किया जाएगा और जहाँ किसी संशोधन से कर्मचारियों के हित पर प्रतिकूल प्रभाव पड़ने की संभावना हो, वहाँ प्रादेशिक भविष्य निधि आयुक्त अपना अनुमोदन देने में पूर्व कर्मचारियों को अपना दृष्टिकोण स्पष्ट करने का युक्तियुक्त अवसर देगा।

9. यदि किसी कारणवश स्थापन के कर्मचारी भारतीय जीवन बीमा निगम को उस सामूहिक बीमा स्कीम के, जिसे स्थापन पहले अपना चुका है अधीन नहीं रह जाता है या इस स्कीम के अधीन कर्मचारियों को प्राप्त होने वाले फायदे किसी रीति से कम हो आने है, तो यह रद्द की जा सकती है।

10. यदि किसी कारणवश नियोजक उस नियत तारीख के भीतर जो भारतीय जीवन बीमा निगम नियत करे, प्रीमियम का संदाय करने में असफल रहता है और पालिसी का व्यपगत हो जाने दिया जाता है तो, छूट रद्द की जा सकती है।

11. नियोजक द्वारा प्रीमियम के संदाय में किये गये किसी व्यतिक्रम की दशा में उन मृत सदस्यों के नाम निर्देशितियों या विधिक वारसों को जो यदि यह छूट न दी गई होती तो, उक्त स्कीम के अंतर्गत होते। बीमा फायदों के संदाय का उत्तरदायित्व नियोजक पर होगा।

12. उक्त स्थापन के संबंध में नियोजक इस स्कीम के अधीन आने वाले किसी सदस्य की मृत्यु होने पर उसके हकदार नाम निर्देशितियों/विधिक वारसों को बीमाकृत रकम का संदाय तत्परता से और प्रत्येक दशा में भारतीय जीवन बीमा निगम से बीमाकृत रकम प्राप्त होने के एक मास के भीतर सुनिश्चित करेगा।

[संख्या एस-35014(32)87-एस.एस.-2]

S.O. 1048.—Whereas Messrs Adarsh Udyog, Chopasni Road, Jodhpur, (Rajasthan) (RJ/2414) (hereinafter referred to as the said establishment) have applied for exemption under sub-section (2A) of section 17 of the Employees' Provident Funds and Miscellaneous Provisions Act, 1952 (19 of 1952) (hereinafter referred to as the said Act) ;

And whereas, the Central Government is satisfied that the employees of the said establishment are, without making any separate contribution or payment of premium, in enjoyment of benefits under the Group Insurance Scheme of the Life Insurance Corporation of India in the nature of Life Insurance which are more favourable to such employees than the benefits admissible under the Employees Deposit Linked Insurance Scheme, 1976 (hereinafter referred to as the said Scheme) ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (2A) of section 17 of the said Act and subject to the conditions specified in the Schedule annexed hereto, the Central Government hereby exempts the said establishment from the operation of all the provisions of the said Scheme for a period of three years.

SCHEDULE

1. The employer in relation to the said establishment shall submit such returns to the Regional Provident Fund Commissioner Rajasthan and maintain such accounts and provide such facilities for inspection, as the Central Government may direct from time to time.

2. The employer shall pay such inspection charges as the Central Government may, from time to time, direct under clause (a) of sub-section (3A) of section 17 of the said Act, within 15 days from the close of every month.

3. All expenses involved in the administration of the Group Insurance Scheme, including maintenance of accounts, submission of returns, payment of insurance premia, transfer of accounts, payment of inspection charges etc. shall be borne by the employer.

4. The employer shall display on the Notice Board of the establishment, a copy of the rules of the Group Insurance

Scheme as approved by the Central Government and, as and when amended alongwith a translation of the salient features thereon, in the language of the majority of the employees.

5. Whereas an employee, who is already a member of the Employees' Provident Fund or the Provident Fund of an establishment exempted under the said Act, is employed in his establishment, the employer shall immediately enrol him as a member of the Group Insurance Scheme and pay necessary premium in respect of him to the Life Insurance Corporation of India.

6. The employer shall arrange to enhance the benefits available to the employees under the Group Insurance Scheme appropriately, if the benefits available to the employees under the said Scheme are enhanced so that the benefits available under the Group Insurance Scheme are more favourable to the employees than the benefits admissible under the said Scheme.

7. Notwithstanding anything contained in the Group Insurance Scheme, if on the death of an employee the amount payable under this Scheme be less than the amount that would be payable had employee been covered under the said Scheme, the employer shall pay the difference to the legal heir/nominee of the employee as compensation.

8. No amendment of the provisions of the Group Insurance Scheme, shall be made without the prior approval of the Regional Provident Fund Commissioner, Rajasthan and where any amendment is likely to effect adversely the interest of the employees, the Regional Provident Fund Commissioner shall before giving his approval, give a reasonable opportunity to the employees to explain their point of view.

9. Where, for any reason, the employees of the said establishment do not remain covered under the Group Insurance Scheme of the Life Insurance Corporation of India as already adopted by the said establishment, or the benefits to the employees under this Scheme are reduced in any manner, the exemption shall be liable to be cancelled.

10. Where, for any reason, the employer fails to pay the premium etc. within the due date, as fixed by the Life Insurance Corporation of India, and the policy is allowed to lapse, the exemption is liable to be cancelled.

11. In case of default, if any made by the employer in payment of premium the responsibility for payment of assurance benefits to the nominees or the legal heirs of deceased members who would have been covered under the said Scheme but for grant of this exemption, shall be that of the employer.

12. Upon the death of the members covered under the Scheme the Life Insurance Corporation of India shall ensure prompt payment of sum assured to the nominee or the legal heirs of the deceased member entitled for it and in any case within one month from the receipt of claim complete in all respects.

[No. S-35014/32/87-SS-II]

का. धा. 1049.—मैमर्स राजस्थान ग्रेवायज और स्टील प्राईवेट लि., बी-9, बवानो मित्र मार्ग, "सी" स्कीम, जयपुर (आर. जे./2530) (जिसे इसमें इसके पश्चात् उक्त स्थापन कहा गया है) ने कर्मचारी भविष्य निधि और प्रकीर्ण उपबंध अधिनियम, 1952 (1952 का 17) (जिसे इसमें इसके पश्चात् उक्त अधिनियम कहा गया है) की धारा 17 की उपधारा (2क) के अधीन छूट दिये जाने के लिए आवेदन किया है।

और केन्द्रीय सरकार का समाधान हो गया है कि उक्त स्थापन के कर्मचारी, किसी पृथक् अभिदाय या प्रीमियम का संदाय किये बिना ही, भारतीय जीवन बीमा निगम की सामूहिक बीमा स्कीम के अधीन जीवन बीमा के रूप में फायदे उठा रहे हैं और ऐसे कर्मचारियों के लिये फायदे उन फायदों से अधिक अनुकूल हैं जो कर्मचारी निर्भर महबूब बीमा स्कीम, 1976 (जिसे इसमें इसके पश्चात् उक्त स्कीम कहा गया है) के अधीन उन्हें अनुभूत हैं।

अतः केन्द्रीय सरकार, उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 17 की उपधारा-2क द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए और इससे उपलब्ध अनुसूची में विनिर्दिष्ट शर्तों के अधीन रहते हुए, उक्त स्थापन की तीन वर्ष की अवधि के लिए उक्त स्कीम के सभी उपबंधों के प्रवर्तन से छूट देता है।

अधिसूची

1. उक्त स्थापन के संबंध में उ नियोजक प्रादेशिक भविष्य निधि आयुक्त, राजस्थान को ऐसी विवरणियां भेजेगा और ऐसे लेखा रखेगा तथा निरीक्षण के लिए ऐसी गुविधायें प्रदान करेगा जो केन्द्रीय सरकार, समय-समय पर निदिष्ट करे।

2. नियोजक, ऐसे निरीक्षण प्रभावों का प्रत्येक मास की समाप्ति के 15 दिन के भीतर संचाय करेगा जो केन्द्रीय सरकार, उक्त अधिनियम की धारा-17 की उपधारा 3-क के खंड क के अधीन समय-समय पर निदिष्ट करे।

3. सामूहिक बीमा स्कीम के प्रशासन में, जिसके अन्तर्गत लेखाओं का रखा जाना, धियारणों का प्रस्तुत किया जाना, बीमा प्रीमियम का संदाय, लेखाओं का अन्तरण, निरीक्षण प्रभावों संदाय आदि भी है, होने वाले सभी व्ययों का यत्न नियोजक द्वारा दिया जाएगा।

4. नियोजक, केन्द्रीय सरकार द्वारा अनुमोदित सामूहिक बीमा स्कीम के नियमों की एक प्रति और जब कभी उनमें संशोधन किया जाये, तब उस संशोधन की प्रति तथा कर्मचारियों की बहुसंख्या की भाषा में उसकी मुख्य बातों का अनुवाद स्थापन के सूचना पट्ट पर प्रदर्शित करेगा।

5. यदि कोई ऐसा कर्मचारी जो कर्मचारी भविष्य निधि का या उक्त अधिनियम के अधीन छूट प्राप्त किसी स्थापन की भविष्य निधि का पहले ही सदस्य है, उसके स्थापन में नियोजित किया जाता है तो, नियोजक सामूहिक बीमा स्कीम के सदस्य के रूप में उनका नाम तुरन्त दर्ज करेगा और उसकी आवश्यक प्रीमियम भारतीय जीवन बीमा निगम की संवत् करेगा।

6. यदि उक्त स्कीम के अधीन कर्मचारियों को उपलब्ध फायदे बढ़ाये जाते हैं तो, नियोजक सामूहिक बीमा स्कीम के अधीन कर्मचारियों को उपलब्ध फायदों में समुचित रूप से वृद्धि किये जाने की व्यवस्था करेगा जिससे कि कर्मचारियों के लिए सामूहिक बीमा स्कीम के अधीन उपलब्ध फायदे उन फायदों से अधिक अनुकूल हों जो उक्त स्कीम के अधीन अनुभूत हैं।

7. सामूहिक बीमा स्कीम में किसी बात के होते हुए भी यदि किसी कर्मचारी की मृत्यु पर इस स्कीम के अधीन संवेद्य रकम उस रकम से कम है जो कर्मचारी का उस वषा में संवेद्य होती जब वह उक्त स्कीम के अधीन होता तो, नियोजक कर्मचारी के विधिक दायिम/नाम निर्देशितों की प्रतिकर के रूप में दोनों रकमों के अंतर के बराबर रकम का संदाय करेगा।

8. सामूहिक बीमा स्कीम के उपबंधों में कोई भी संशोधन प्रादेशिक भविष्य निधि आयुक्त, राजस्थान के पूर्व अनुमोदन के बिना नहीं किया जाएगा और जहाँ किसी संशोधन में कर्मचारियों के हित पर प्रतिकूल प्रभाव पड़ने की संभावना हो, वहाँ प्रादेशिक भविष्य निधि आयुक्त अपना अनुमोदन देने से पूर्व कर्मचारियों को अपना दृष्टिकोण स्पष्ट करने का मुक्ति-युक्त अवसर देगा।

9. यदि किसी कारणवश स्थापन के कर्मचारी भारतीय जीवन बीमा निगम को उस सामूहिक बीमा स्कीम के, जिसे स्थापन पहले अपना चुका है अधीन नहीं रह जायता है या इस स्कीम के अधीन कर्मचारियों को प्राप्त होने वाले फायदे किसी रीति से कम हो जाते हैं, तो यह रद्द की जा सकती है।

10. यदि किसी कारणवश नियोजक उस नियम तारीख के भीतर जो भारतीय जीवन बीमा निगम नियत करे, प्रीमियम का संदाय करने में,

असफल रहता है और पालीसी को अवगत हो जाने दिया जाता है तो छूट रहे की जा सकती है।

11. नियोजक द्वारा प्रीमियम के संदाय में किये गये किसी व्यक्तिक्रम की दशा में उन मृत सदस्यों के नाम निर्देशितियों या विधिक वारिसों की जो यदि यह छूट न हो जाती तो, उक्त स्कीम के अंतर्गत हानि। बीमा फायदों के संदाय का उत्तरदायित्व नियोजक पर होगा।

12. उक्त स्थापन के संवध में नियोजक इस स्कीम के अधीन आने वाले किसी सदस्य की मृत्यु होने पर उसके हकदार नाम निर्देशितियों/विधिक वारिसों का बीमाकृत रकम का संदाय तत्पश्चात् से और प्रत्येक दशा में भारतीय जीवन बीमा निगम से बीमाकृत रकम प्राप्त होने के एक मास के भीतर सुनिश्चित करेगा।

[नं. एस-35014(33)87-ए. एस-2]

S.O. 1049.—Whereas Messrs Rajasthan Alloys and Steel Private Limited, B-9, Bhawani Singh Marg, 'C' Scheme, Jaipur (RJ/2530) (hereinafter referred to as the said establishment) have applied for exemption under sub-section (2A) of section 17 of the Employees' Provident Funds and Miscellaneous Provisions Act, 1952 (19 of 1952) (hereinafter referred to as the said Act) ;

And whereas, the Central Government is satisfied that the employees of the said establishment are, without making any separate contribution or payment of premium, in enjoyment of benefits under the Group Insurance Scheme of the Life Insurance Corporation of India in the nature of Life Insurance which are more favourable to such employees than the benefits admissible under the Employees Deposit Linked Insurance Scheme, 1976 (hereinafter referred to as the said Scheme) ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (2A) of section 17 of the said Act and subject to the conditions specified in the Schedule annexed hereto, the Central Government hereby exempts the said establishment from the operation of all the provisions of the said Scheme for a period of three years.

SCHEDULE

1. The employer in relation to the said establishment shall submit such returns to the Regional Provident Fund Commissioner Rajasthan and maintain such accounts and provide such facilities for inspection, as the Central Government may direct from time to time.

2. The employer shall pay such inspection charges as the Central Government may, from time to time, direct under clause (a) of sub-section (3A) of section 17 of the said Act, within 15 days from the close of every month.

3. All expenses involved in the administration of the Group Insurance Scheme, including maintenance of accounts, submission of returns, payment of insurance premia, transfer of accounts, payment of inspection charges etc. shall be borne by the employer.

4. The employer shall display on the Notice Board of the establishment, a copy of the rules of the Group Insurance Scheme as approved by the Central Government and, as and when amended alongwith a translation of the salient features thereof, in the language of the majority of the employees.

5. Whereas an employee, who is already a member of the Employees' Provident Fund or the Provident Fund of an establishment exempted under the said Act, is employed in his establishment, the employer shall immediately enrol him as a member of the Group Insurance Scheme and pay necessary premium in respect of him to the Life Insurance Corporation of India.

6. The employer shall arrange to enhance the benefits available to the employees under the Group Insurance Scheme appropriately, if the benefits available to the employees under the said Scheme are enhanced so that the benefits available under the Group Insurance Scheme are more favourable to the employees than the benefits admissible under the said Scheme.

7. Notwithstanding anything contained in the Group Insurance Scheme, if on the death of an employee the amount payable under this Scheme be less than the amount that would be payable had employee been covered under the said Scheme, the employer shall pay the difference to the legal heir/nominee of the employee as compensation.

8. No amendment of the provisions of the Group Insurance Scheme, shall be made without the prior approval of the Regional Provident Fund Commissioner, Rajasthan and where any amendment is likely to effect adversely the interest of the employees, the Regional Provident Fund Commissioner shall before giving his approval, give a reasonable opportunity to the employees to explain their point of view.

9. Where, for any reason, the employees of the said establishment do not remain covered under the Group Insurance Scheme of the Life Insurance Corporation of India as already adopted by the said establishment, or the benefits to the employees under this Scheme are reduced in any manner, the exemption shall be liable to be cancelled.

10. Where, for any reason, the employer fails to pay the premium etc. within the due date, as fixed by the Life Insurance Corporation of India, and the policy is allowed to lapse, the exemption is liable to be cancelled.

11. In case of default, if any made by the employer in payment of premium the responsibility for payment of assurance benefits to the nominees or the legal heirs of deceased members who would have been covered under the said Schemes but for grant of this exemption, shall be that of the employer.

12. Upon the death of the members covered under the Scheme the Life Insurance Corporation of India shall ensure prompt payment of sum assured to the nominee or the Legal heirs of the deceased member entitled for it and in any case within one month from the receipt of claim complete in all respects.

[No. S-35014/33/87-SS-II]

का. प्रा. 1050—मंसस—राजस्थान स्टेट मिनेरल डिवेलपमेंट कार्पोरेशन लि., उद्योग भवन, निलक मार्ग, जयपुर (प्रार. जे./2591) (जिसे हमें इसके पश्चात् उक्त स्थापन कहा गया है) ने कर्मचारी भविष्य निधि और प्रकीर्ण उपबन्ध अधिनियम, 1952 (1952 का 17) जिसे हमें इसके पश्चात् उक्त अधिनियम कहा गया है की धारा 17 की उपधारा (2क) के अधीन छूट दिये जाने के लिए आवेदन किया है।

और केन्द्रीय सरकार का समाधान हो गया है कि उक्त स्थापन के कर्मचारी, किसी पृथक अधिदाय या प्रीमियम का संदाय किये बिना ही भारतीय जीवन बीमा निगम की सामूहिक बीमा स्कीम के अधीन जीवन, बीमा के रूप में फायदे उठा रहे हैं और ऐसे कर्मचारियों के लिए ये फायदे उन फायदों से अधिक अनुकूल हैं जो कर्मचारी निषेध सहबद्ध बीमा स्कीम, 1976 (जिसे हमें इसके पश्चात् उक्त स्कीम कहा गया है) के अधीन उन्हें अनुजय है।

अतः केन्द्रीय सरकार, उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 17 की उपधारा-2क द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए और हमें उपाबद्ध अनुसूची में निर्दिष्ट शर्तों के अधीन रहते हुए, उक्त स्थापन को तीन वर्ष की अवधि के लिए उक्त स्कीम के सभी उपबन्धों के प्रवर्तन से छूट देती है।

प्रतुर्वी

1. उक्त स्थापन के सम्बन्ध में नियोजक प्रादेशिक भविष्य निधि प्रावृक्त, राजस्थान की ऐसी विवरणिया भेजेगा और ऐसे लेखा रखेगा तथा निरीक्षण के लिए ऐसी सुविधाये प्रदान करेगा जो केन्द्रीय सरकार, समय-समय पर निर्दिष्ट करे।

2. नियोजक, ऐसे निरीक्षण प्रभारों का प्रत्येक मास की समाप्ति के 15 दिन के भीतर संदाय करेगा जो केन्द्रीय सरकार, उक्त अधिनियम की

धारा-17 की उपधारा 3-क के खण्डक के अधीन समय-समय पर निर्रिष्ट करे।

3. सामूहिक बीमा स्कीम के प्रशासन में, जिसके अन्तर्गत लेखाओं का रखा जाना, विवरणियों का प्रस्तुत किया जाना, बीमा प्रीमियम का संदाय, लेखाओं का अन्तरण, निरीक्षण प्रभावों संदाय आदि भी है, होने वाले सभी व्ययों का वहन नियोजक द्वारा किया जाएगा।

4. नियोजन, केन्द्रीय सरकार द्वारा अनुमोदित सामूहिक बीमा स्कीम के नियमों की एक प्रति और जब कभी उन्हें संशोधित किया जाये, सब उस संशोधन की प्रति तथा कर्मचारियों की बहुसंख्या की भाषा में उनकी मुख्य बातों का अनुवाद स्थापन के सूचना पट्ट पर प्रदर्शित होगा।

5. यदि कोई ऐसा कर्मचारी जो कर्मचारी भविष्य निधि का या उन अधिनियम के अधीन छूट प्राप्त किसी स्थापन की भविष्य निधि का पहले ही सदस्य है, उसके स्थापन में नियोजित किया जाता है तो, नियोजक सामूहिक बीमा स्कीम के सदस्य के रूप में उसका नाम पुरजत दर्ज करेगा और उसकी बाबत आवश्यक प्रीमियम भारतीय जीवन बीमा निगम को संदत्त करेगा।

6. यदि उक्त स्कीम के अधीन कर्मचारियों को उपलब्ध फायदे बढ़ाये जाते हैं तो, नियोजक सामूहिक बीमा स्कीम के अधीन कर्मचारियों को उपलब्ध फायदों में समुचित रूप में वृद्धि किये जाने की व्यवस्था करेगा जिससे कि कर्मचारियों के लिए सामूहिक बीमा स्कीम के अधीन उपलब्ध फायदे उन फायदों से अधिक अनुकूल हों जो उक्त स्कीम के अधीन अनुपलब्ध हैं।

7. सामूहिक बीमा स्कीम में किसी बात के होते हुए भी यदि किसी कर्मचारी की मृत्यु पर इस स्कीम के अधीन संदेय रकम उस रकम से कम है जो कर्मचारी को उस दशा में संदेय होती जब वह उक्त स्कीम के अधीन होता तो, नियोजक कर्मचारी के विधिक वारिस/नाम निर्देशितों को प्रतिकर के रूप में दोनों रकमों के अन्तर के बराबर रकम का संदाय करेगा।

8. सामूहिक बीमा स्कीम के उपबन्धों में कोई भी संशोधन प्रादेशिक भविष्य निधि आयुक्त, राजस्थान के पूर्व अनुमोदन के बिना नहीं किया जाएगा और जहाँ किसी संशोधन से कर्मचारियों के हित पर प्रतिकूल प्रभाव पड़ने की संभावना हो, वहाँ प्रादेशिक भविष्य निधि आयुक्त अपना अनुमोदन देने से पूर्व कर्मचारियों को अपना दृष्टिकोण स्पष्ट करने का व्यक्तिगत अवसर देगा।

9. यदि किसी कारणवश स्थापन के कर्मचारी भारतीय जीवन बीमा निगम को उस सामूहिक बीमा स्कीम के, जिसे स्थापन पत्रे अपना चुका है अधीन नहीं रह जाता है या इस स्कीम के अधीन कर्मचारियों को प्राप्त होने वाले फायदे किसी राशि से कम हो जाते हैं, तो यह रद्द की जा सकती है।

10. यदि किसी कारणवश नियोजक उस निगम तारीख के भीतर जो भारतीय जीवन बीमा निगम नियत करे, प्रीमियम का संदाय करने में असफल रहता है और पालिसी को व्यपगत हो जाने दिया जाता है तो, छूट रद्द की जा सकती है।

11. नियोजक द्वारा प्रीमियम के संदाय में किये गये किता औरिक की दशा में उन मृत सदस्यों के नाम निर्देशितियों या विधिक वारिसों का जो यदि यह छूट न की गई होती तो, उक्त स्कीम के अन्तर्गत होते। बीमा फायदों के संदाय का उत्तरदायित्व नियोजक पर होगा।

12. उक्त स्थापन के सम्बन्ध में नियोजक द्वारा स्कीम के अधीन आने वाले किसी सदस्य की मृत्यु होने पर उसके एकवार नाम निर्देशितियों/विधिक वारिसों की बीमाकृत रकम का संदाय उपलब्ध होगा।

में भारतीय जीवन बीमा निगम से बीमाकृत रकम प्राप्त होने के एक नाम के भीतर सुनिश्चित करेगा।

[संख्या ए. 35014(34) 87-एस. एस.-2]

S.O. 1050.—Whereas Messrs Rajasthan State Mineral Development Corporation Limited, Udyog Bhawan, Tilak Marg, Jaipur (RJ/2591) (hereinafter referred to as the said establishment) have applied for exemption under sub-section (2A) of Section 17 of the Employees' Provident Funds and Miscellaneous Provisions Act, 1952 (19 of 1952) (hereinafter referred to as the said Act) ;

And whereas, the Central Government is satisfied that the employees of the said establishment are, without making any separate contribution or payment of premium, in enjoyment of benefits under the Group Insurance Scheme of the Life Insurance Corporation of India in the nature of Life Insurance which are more favourable to such employees than the benefits admissible under the Employees Deposit Linked Insurance Scheme 1976 (herematter referred to as the said Scheme) ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (2A) of section 17 of the said Act and subject to the conditions specified in the Schedule annexed hereto, the Central Government hereby exempts the said establishment from the operation of all the provisions of the said Scheme for a period of three years.

SCHEDULE

1. The employer in relation to the said establishment shall submit such returns to the Regional Provident Fund Commissioner Rajasthan and maintain such accounts and provide such facilities for inspection, as the Central Government may direct from time to time.

2. The employer shall pay such inspection charges as the Central Government may, from time to time, direct under clause (a) of sub-section (3A) of section 17 of the said Act, within 15 days from the close of every month.

3. All expenses involved in the administration of the Group Insurance Scheme, including maintenance of accounts, submission of returns, payment of insurance premia, transfer of accounts, payment of inspection charges etc. shall be borne by the employer.

4. The employer shall display on the Notice Board of the establishment, a copy of the rules of the Group Insurance Scheme as approved by the Central Government and, as and when amended alongwith a translation of the salient features thereof, in the language of the majority of the employees.

5. Whereas an employee, who is already a member of the Employees' Provident Fund or the Provident Fund of an establishment exempted under the said Act, is employed in his establishment, the employer shall immediately enrol him as a member of the Group Insurance Scheme and pay necessary premium in respect of him to the Life Insurance Corporation of India.

6. The employer shall arrange to enhance the benefits available to the employees under the Group Insurance Scheme appropriately, if the benefits available to the employees under the said Scheme are enhanced so that the benefits available under the Group Insurance Scheme are more favourable to the employees than the benefits admissible under the said Scheme.

7. Notwithstanding anything contained in the Group Insurance Scheme, if on the death of an employee the amount payable under this Scheme be less than the amount that would be payable had employee been covered under the said Scheme, the employer shall pay the difference to the legal heir/nominee of the employee as compensation.

8. No amendment of the provisions of the Group Insurance Scheme, shall be made without the prior approval of the Regional Provident Fund Commissioner, Rajasthan and where any amendment is likely to affect adversely the interest of the employees, the Regional Provident Fund Commissioner

shall before giving his approval, give a reasonable opportunity to the employees to explain their point of view.

9. Where, for any reason, the employees of the said establishment do not remain covered under the Group Insurance Scheme of the Life Insurance Corporation of India as already adopted by the said establishment, or the benefits to the employees under this Scheme are reduced in any manner, the exemption shall be liable to be cancelled.

10. Where, for any reason, the employer fails to pay the premium etc. within the due date, as fixed by the Life Insurance Corporation of India, and the policy is allowed to lapse, the exemption is liable to be cancelled.

11. In case of default, if any made by the employer in payment of premium the responsibility for payment of assurance benefits to the nominees or the legal heirs of deceased members who would have been covered under the said Scheme but for grant of this exemption, shall be that of the employer.

12. Upon the death of the members covered under the Scheme the Life Insurance Corporation of India shall ensure prompt payment of sum assured to the nominee or the Legal heirs of the deceased member entitled for it and in any case within one month from the receipt of claim complete in all respects

[No. S-35014/34/87-SS-II]

का.घा. 1051.—केन्द्रीय सरकार, कर्मचारी भविष्य निधि और प्रकीर्ण उपबन्ध अधिनियम 1952 (1952 का 19) की धारा 17 की उपधारा (3) के खंड (क) के अनुसरण में भारत के राजपत्र, भाग 2, खंड 3, उपखंड (ii), तारीख 3 सितम्बर, 1983 में प्रकाशित भारत सरकार के श्रम मंत्रालय (श्रम विभाग) की अधिसूचना सं. 3469, तारीख 22 अगस्त, 1983 में निम्नलिखित संशोधन करती है, अर्थात्—

उक्त अधिसूचना में,—

1. मद्र 7 के खंड (ख) में,—

(क) उपखंड (1) में, “केन्द्रीय सरकार की प्रतिभूतियाँ” शब्दों के स्थान पर “राज्य/केन्द्रीय सरकार की प्रतिभूतियाँ” शब्द रखे जाएंगे।

(ख) उपखंड (ii) में, “राज्य सरकार की/राज्य सरकार द्वारा गारन्टी शुद्ध प्रतिभूतियाँ” शब्दों के स्थान पर “राज्य सरकार/सरकारी गारन्टीशुद्ध प्रतिभूतियाँ” शब्द रखे जाएंगे।

(ग) उपखंड (6) के स्थान पर निम्नलिखित रखा जाएगा, अर्थात्—

“(8) अन्य :

(प्रतिभूतियों और निक्षेपों की प्रकृति विनिश्चित की जाए)
ह

2. मद्र 10 के स्थान पर निम्नलिखित रखा जाएगा, अर्थात्—

“10. विनिधान

(क) विनिधान के लिए

उपबन्ध शुद्ध रकम

(8—9 (ड)

(ख) विनिधान के विहित पैटर्न के अनुसार विनिधान के लिए प्राबंदिन (क) के सामने बंशित रकम:

(1) राज्य सरकार की प्रतिभूतियाँ

(2) राज्य सरकार/केन्द्रीय सरकार की गारन्टीशुद्ध प्रतिभूतियाँ

(3) विशेष निक्षेप स्कीम

(4) अरर

3. मद्र 11 में—

(क) शीर्ष में “निक्षेप” शब्द के स्थान पर “विनिधान” शब्द रखा जाएगा।

(ख) खंड (1) में, “केन्द्रीय सरकार की प्रतिभूतियाँ” शब्दों के स्थान पर “राज्य सरकार/केन्द्रीय सरकार की प्रतिभूतियाँ” शब्द रखे जाएंगे;

(ग) खंड (2) में, “राज्य सरकार/सरकारी गारन्टीशुद्ध प्रतिभूतियाँ” शब्दों के स्थान पर “राज्य सरकार/सरकारी गारन्टीशुद्ध प्रतिभूतियाँ” शब्द रखे जाएंगे;

(घ) खंड (3) का लोप किया जाएगा और खंड (6) को खंड (3) के रूप में पुनःसंख्यांकित किया जाएगा।

4. मद्र 14 के खंड (क) में, “यदि कुछ प्रतिभूतियाँ भविष्य निधि के अंगवताओं के अनुरिक्त अल्प के नाम पर हैं” शब्दों के स्थान पर “यदि कुछ प्रतिभूतियाँ भविष्य निधि के व्यास/धारकों से भिन्न किसी के नाम में हैं” शब्द रखे जाएंगे।

[सं. एम-35013/2/83-पी एक II (एम एम- II)]

ए. के. भट्टाचार्य, अवर सचिव

S.O. 1051.—In pursuance of clause (a) of sub-section (3) of section 17 of the Employees' Provident Funds and Miscellaneous Provisions Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), the Central Government hereby makes the following amendment in the notifications of the Government of India in the Ministry of Labour (Department of Labour) No. S.O. 3469, dated the 22nd August, 1983, published in the Gazette of India, Part II, Section 3, sub-section (ii), dated the 3rd September, 1983, namely:—

In the said notification:—

1. In item 7-in clause (b),—

(a) in sub-clause (i), for the words “Central Government securities”, the words “State/Central Government securities” shall be substituted.

(b) in sub-clause (ii), for the words “State Government/Government guaranteed securities”, the words “State Government/Central Government guaranteed securities” shall be substituted.

(c) after sub-clause (v), and before the bracket and words “(Nature of securities and deposits to be specified)” the following shall be inserted, namely:—

“(vi) others Rs. ”.

2. For item 10; the following shall be substituted, namely:—

“10. Investments.

(a) Net amount available for Investment.
(8-9)(c). Rs.

(b) Amount shown against (a) allocated for investment according to the prescribed pattern of investment.

(i) State Government|securities Rs.

(ii) State Government|Central Government guaranteed securities Rs.

(iii) Special Deposit Scheme Rs.

(iv) Others Rs.

3. In item 11—

(a) in clause (i), for the words “Central Government securities”, the words “State Government/Central Government securities” shall be substituted.

(b) in clause (ii), for the words "State Government/Government guaranteed securities", the words "State Government/Central Government guaranteed securities" shall be substituted;

(c) clause (iii) shall be omitted and clause (iv) shall be renumbered as clause (iii).

4. In item 14, in clause (a), after the words "name of other than the", the word "Trust" shall be inserted.

[No. S-35013/2/83-PF.II(SS.II)]

A. K. BHATTARAI. Under Secy.

नई दिल्ली, 30 मार्च, 1987

का.आ. 1052.—उत्प्रवास अधिनियम, 1983 (1983 का 31) की धारा 5 द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार उत्प्रवास संरक्षी कार्यालय, त्रिवेन्द्रम में सहायक श्री एम. श्रीनिवासन को प्रगती भूतना तक उत्प्रवास संरक्षी, त्रिवेन्द्रम के सभी कार्य करने के लिए प्राधिकृत करती है।

[संख्या ए-22012/1/86-उत्प्रवास-II]

New Delhi, the 30th March, 1987

S.O. 1052.—In exercise of the powers conferred by Section 5 of the Emigration Act, 1983 (31 of 1983), the Central Government hereby authorises Shri R. Srinivasan, Assistant in the office of the Protector of Emigrants, Trivandrum to perform all the functions of Protector of Emigrants, Trivandrum till further orders.

[No. A-22012/1/86-Emig.II]

नई दिल्ली, 2 अप्रैल, 1987

का.आ. 1053.—उत्प्रवास अधिनियम, 1983 (1983 का 31) की धारा 5 द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार उत्प्रवास संरक्षी कार्यालय, कोचीन में सहायक श्री के. के. थामस को 6 अप्रैल, 1987 से 16 अप्रैल, 1987 तक उत्प्रवास संरक्षी, कोचीन के सभी कार्य करने के लिए प्राधिकृत करती है।

[संख्या ए-22012/1/86-उत्प्रवास-II]

New Delhi, the 2nd April, 1987

S.O. 1053.—In exercise of the powers conferred by Section 5 of the Emigration Act, 1983 (31 of 1983), the Central Government hereby authorises Shri K. K. Thomas, Assistant in the office of the Protector of Emigrants, Cochin to perform all the functions of POE, Cochin during the absence of Shri V. K. Venugopalan, POE from the 6th April, 1987 to the 16th April, 1987.

[No. A-22012/1/86-Emig.II]

नई दिल्ली, 3 अप्रैल, 1987

का.आ. 1054.—उत्प्रवास अधिनियम 1983 (1983 का 31) की धारा 5 द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार श्री के. उपगुथन उत्प्रवास संरक्षी त्रिवेन्द्रम को 30 मार्च, 1987 से अगले आदेश जारी होने तक उत्प्रवास संरक्षी त्रिवेन्द्रम के समस्त कार्य करने के लिए प्राधिकृत करती है।

[संख्या ए-22012/1/86-उत्प्रवास-II]

New Delhi, the 3rd April, 1987

S.O. 1054.—In exercise of the powers conferred by Section 5 of the Emigration Act, 1983 (31 of 1983), The Central Government hereby authorises Shri K. Upaguthan, Protector of Emigrants, Trivandrum to perform all the functions of Protector of Emigrants, Trivandrum with effect from 30-3-1987, till further orders.

[No. A-22012/1/86-Emig. II]

नई दिल्ली, 31 मार्च, 1987

का. आ. 1055.—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुमरण में केन्द्रीय सरकार आन्ध्रा बैंक के प्रबंधन से संबंधित विवादों और उनके कर्मचारों के बीच अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में औद्योगिक अधिकरण भुवनेश्वर के पचाट का प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 24 मार्च, 1987 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

New Delhi, the 31st March, 1987

S.O. 1055.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award of the Industrial Tribunal, Bhubaneswar as shown in the Annexure in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Andhra Bank and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on the 24th March, 1987.

INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL, ORISSA, BHUBANESWAR

PRESENT :

Shri R. N. Panda, M.A. LL.B,
Presiding Officer, Industrial
Tribunal Orissa.

Industrial Dispute Case No. 14/86 (Central)

Dated Bhubaneswar, the 12th March, 1987

BETWEEN

The Management of Andhra Bank,

Regional Office, Bhubaneswar.

.....First Party.

AND

Shri Y. R. M. Patra,
C/o Y. V. R. Patra,
Door No. 206, 'H' Type, Unit-4,
Bhubaneswar.

.....Second Party.

APPEARANCES :

None—For both the parties.

AWARD

1. The matter arises out of a reference made by the Central Government in exercise of powers conferred under Sub-section (5) of Section 12 read with clause (d) of Sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 in their Order No. L-12012/71/85-D.IV(A) dated 29th October, 1986 for adjudication of the dispute and answering the reference. The terms of the reference may be quoted as follows :—

"Whether the action of the management of Andhra Bank, (R. O. Bhubaneswar) in terminating the services of the workman Shri Y. R. M. Patra, Clerk with effect from 11-7-1976 is justified ? If not, to what relief is the workman concerned entitled?"

2. The Second Party-workman though directed by the Central Government has not filed its statement of claims till to-day. On 10-12-86 a registered notice was issued to the second party-workman which was returned with the postal remark 'absent'. Finally a notice was issued through the Labour Commissioner (c) for taking necessary steps. But the Second Party-workman did not file its written statement

or take any steps. In view of the non-appearance of the workman for filing Written Statement in court it can safely be inferred that no dispute subsists between the parties. Hence a no dispute Award is passed, so far as this reference is concerned.

Transcribed to my dictation
and corrected by me.

Sd./- R. N. RANDA.

Presiding Officer,
Industrial Tribunal.
12-3-87.

R. N. PANDA, Presiding Officer.

[No. L-12012/71/85-D.IV(A)]

K. J. DYVA PRASAD, Desk Officer

नई दिल्ली, 31 मार्च, 1987

का. आ. 1056 :—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947-
(1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार
देना बैंक के प्रबंधन से संबंध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मचारों के बीच
अनुबंध में निहित औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक
अधिकरण नं. 2, धनबाद, के पंचाट को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रिय
सरकार को 24-3-87 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

New Delhi, the 31st March, 1987

S.O. 1056.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial
Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government
hereby publishes the award of the Central Government In-
dustrial Tribunal No. 2, Dhanbad, as shown in the Annexure,
in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation
to the Dena Bank and their workman, which was received
by the Central Government on the 24th March, 1987.

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL (NO. 2) AT DHANBAD

Reference No. 178 of 1985

In the matter of industrial dispute under Section
10(1)(d) of the I.D. Act, 1947.

PARTIES :

Employers in relation to the management of Dena Bank,
Calcutta and their workmen.

APPEARANCES :

On behalf of the employers—Shri S. S. Mukherjee,
Advocate.

On behalf of the workmen—Shri T. K. Prasad, Advo-
cate.

STATE : Bihar.

INDUSTRY : Banking.

Dated, Dhanbad, the 13th March, 1987

AWARD

The Govt. of India, Ministry of Labour in exercise of the
powers conferred on them under Section 10(1)(d) of the I.D.
Act, 1947 has referred the following dispute to this Tribunal
for adjudication vide their Order No. L-12012/49/85-D.II.A
dated the 20th December, 1985.

SCHEDULE

“Whether the action of the management of Dena Bank
in relation to their Bhagalpur Branch in dismissing
Shri Radha Prasad Singh, Daftary from service vide
their Memorandum dated 22-3-83 was justified ? If
not, to what relief is the workman concerned en-
titled ?”

The case of the management is that the Dena Bank has its
Regional Office at Calcutta having several branch offices in-
26 GI/87—11

cluding one branch office at Bhagalpur. The concerned work-
man Shri Radha Pd. Singh was working as Daftary in Bhagal-
pur Branch of Dena Bank. On 16-8-79 the concerned workman
was served with an office order dated 16-8-79 asking him to
discharge his duties as Daftari properly. The concerned work-
man refused to accept the office order and also failed to per-
form the duties allotted to him and therefore the office order
was displayed on the notice board of the Branch office on the
same day. The concerned workman removed the notice from
the notice board and at about 3.30 P.M. entered into the room
of the Branch Manager and shouted at him in abusive language
and threatening the Branch Manager with dire consequences.
A chargesheet dated 24-6-80 was issued for the said allega-
tion of misconduct under the signature of the Regional
Manager, Calcutta Region and was sent to the Branch
Manager, Bhagalpur Branch office. On 16-5-80 the concerned
workman was verbally instructed by the Branch Manager,
Bhagalpur Branch to perform certain duties and since the
concerned workman refused to comply with the instructions
the same was given to him in writing. After accepting the
written order the concerned workman entered the Branch
Manager's room at about 4.20 P.M. and abused him. On
protest by the Branch Manager, the concerned workman
physically assaulted him and fled away when the other
staff members came to rescue the Branch Manager. A
chargesheet dated 14-7-80 for the above misconduct under
the signature of the Regional Manager, Calcutta Region was
sent to the Bhagalpur Branch for service to the concerned
workman. The concerned workman had been suspended vide
suspension order dated 22-5-80 for the misconduct dated
16-5-80. The Regional Office at Calcutta had drawn up the
chargesheet on the report of the Branch Manager of Bha-
galpur and sent the same to Bhagalpur Branch for service on
the concerned workman. The chargesheets dated 24-6-80 and
14-7-80 along with their Hindi version were sent to the
concerned workman at his permanent address given by him
under Registered Post with A/D under certificate of posting
and ordinary post under the instructions of the Regional
Office, Calcutta as the concerned workman was not avail-
able at Bhagalpur. The chargesheet sent by Regd. Post with
A/D to the concerned workman was returned back with a
note of refusal by the Postal department. However, the
concerned workman came to the Bank on 4-5-81 when both
the chargesheets dated 24-6-80 and 14-7-80 along with its
Hindi version were handed over to him to which the con-
cerned workman submitted his reply dated 2-6-81 in relation
to both the chargesheets. Shri N. M. Banerjee, Asstt. Re-
gional Manager, Administration, Calcutta was appointed as
Enquiry Officer. The concerned workman attended the de-
partmental enquiry fixed on 23-12-81 and prayed for adjourn-
ment as his defence representative was not present and as
such 28-1-82 was fixed for the departmental enquiry. On
28-1-82 the concerned workman alongwith his defence repre-
sentative attended the departmental enquiry. The defence re-
presentative raised various frivolous objections regarding the
irregular service of the chargesheet and notice with an idea
to delay the enquiry. The defence representative also asked
that the enquiry officer should be changed as he was a mem-
ber of the Rival Union. The hearing of the objections was
dragged for a considerable time which was all recorded in
the proceeding. The enquiry officer did not find any sub-
stance in the objections raised by the defence representa-
tive. The concerned workman and his defence representative
were requested by the Enquiry Officer to continue evidence
in the enquiry proceeding. The concerned workman alongwith
his defence representative refused to attend the departmental
enquiry proceeding and thereafter the enquiry was conducted
exparte. After completing the enquiry, the enquiry officer
considered the material on record and submitted his report
alongwith all the connected papers to the disciplinary authori-
ties. The enquiry officer held that the chargesheets dated
24-6-1980 and 14-7-1980 were fully established. The disci-
plinary authority after considering of the departmental en-
quiry and the report of the enquiry officer and other connect-
ed papers proposed a punishment of dismissal and gave the
concerned workman a chance of personnel hearing which the
concerned workman availed of and was present along with
its defence representative before the disciplinary authority.
The disciplinary authority gave the concerned workman a
full chance of hearing and after considering the entire matter
passed an order of dismissal dated 22-3-1983. After receiv-
ing the order of dismissal the concerned workman filed an
appeal to the Appellate authorities against the orders of

dismissal. The appellate authority granted a personal hearing to the concerned workman and his defence representative. After considering the entire matter the appellate authority vide his order dated 3-9-1982 dismissed the appeal holding that there was no material ground to interfere with the punishment of dismissal passed against the concerned workman by the disciplinary authority. The departmental enquiry and the other procedures connected with the same were carried on according to the provisions of the Bipartite settlement and after observing the principles of natural justice. It is submitted on behalf of the management that the dismissal of the concerned workman is justified and he is not entitled to any relief.

The case of the workman is that the concerned workman joined service at Bhagalpur Branch on 8-5-1972. He was the senior most experienced person and hence he was given the job of Daftari. The concerned workman discharged the job of Daftari to the full satisfaction of the authorities concerned. In the year 1976, the workman being dissatisfied with the workings of his union namely the Dena Bank Employees Union Bihar State affiliated to the All India Bank Employees Association (AIBE) resigned from its primary membership and joined Dena Bank Employees Congress Bihar State along with two others at Bhagalpur. This caused serious resentment to AIBE members regarding the concerned workman. A new Manager Shri Viswamoy Chakravorty was posted in Bhagalpur in 1978. The Branch Manager, Accountant and nine members of the staff belong to AIBE union whereas the concerned workman along with three members belong to Dena Bank employees Congress. On number of occasions the staff belonging to AIBE union persuaded the concerned workman to return to their fold of AIBE union to which the concerned workman refused. The Branch Manager, Shri Viswamoy Chakravorty was victimising the concerned workman from the date he assumed office as a Branch Manager in 1978 at Bhagalpur but the concerned workman patiently suffered the harassment and replied to the allegations to the full satisfaction of the higher authorities which the Branch Manager felt against his prestige. Thereafter the Branch Manager in collusion with the staff members of AIBE made fake report to the higher authority for which a chargesheet was issued against him on 14-9-79. The concerned workman replied and after discussion with the union further proceeding was dropped. The concerned workman was on medical leave from 16-5-80 to 22-5-80. The Branch Manager sent a letter on 16-5-80 to the concerned workman through one subordinate Shri B. N. Jha. The letter contained instructions to the concerned workman "Discharge your duties properly". There was no mention of any work pending which was required to be done by the concerned workman. The Branch Manager himself mark the concerned workman present on 16-5-80 against the normal practice of the workman signing the attendance. The Branch Manager falsely alleged that on 16-5-80 the concerned workman had assaulted him. On his report the disciplinary authority suspended concerned workman by his letter dated 22-5-80 with an instruction not to leave the station where the concerned workman was working. The concerned workman was confined to the place of his working at Bhagalpur but the chargesheet was suppressed and deliberately sent to his permanent home address. The chargesheet was never served on him. After a lapse of about a year from the date of suspension the Branch Manager of Bhagalpur served a letter dated 13-4-81 intimating about the domestic enquiry along with Xerox copies of two chargesheets on the workman. The concerned workman on receipt of the copies of the chargesheets replied on the factual state of affairs. The enquiry officer conducted the enquiry 20 months after the workman was put under suspension. At the initial stage of the enquiry, the disciplinary authority was requested to change the enquiry officer for his delaying attitude in conducting the enquiry. During the course of enquiry it was submitted that the workman had no faith in the enquiry officer. The concerned workman was therefore compelled to participate in the enquiry under protest. On 11-9-82 the enquiry officer recorded a provocative and insulting remark against the defence representative. The enquiry officer stopped the defence representative to continue to make submission and declared the enquiry to continue *ex parte*. Thereafter the enquiry officer questioned the witnesses. No cross-examination was made in the enquiry by the concerned workman. The concerned workman was denied the opportunity

to defend his case. The finding of the enquiry officer was perverse and biased against law. There is no material for holding that the workman committed the misconduct charged against him. The workman appealed before the appellate authority but the appellate authority dismissed the appeal. It is submitted that the concerned workman did not commit any act of misconduct. The charges on which the concerned workman has been dismissed are concocted and hence the order of dismissal is fit to be quashed. It is prayed that the concerned workman be reinstated with full back wages and other benefits.

By the order dated 13-6-86 it was held by this Tribunal that the domestic enquiry held into the charges against the concerned workman was fair, proper and in accordance with the principles of natural justice. The said issue was decided as a preliminary issue in view of the fact that the concerned workman had been dismissed after holding a domestic enquiry into the charges framed against him and the said domestic enquiry was being challenged on behalf of the workman on the ground that the same was not fair, proper and in accordance with the principles of natural justice.

Now the point remains for consideration is whether there was enough of material in the domestic enquiry which established the charges against the concerned workman. The other question is whether, the punishment of dismissal inflicted against the concerned workman was harsh.

Much fuss has been made on the issue whether the chargesheets were served on the concerned workman and objections were heard by the enquiry officer on several dates regarding the matter whether the chargesheet had been served on the concerned workman. So far I am concerned the point is set at rest as admittedly the chargesheets were served on the concerned workman on 13-4-81 as is admitted in para 14 of the W.S. filed on behalf of the concerned workman. It is also submitted that the concerned workman replied on the factual state of affairs on receipt of the copies of Chargesheets. Ext. M-2 is the chargesheet dated 24-6-80 in respect of the allegation dated 16-8-79. Ext. M-3 dated 14-7-80 in the chargesheet relating to the alleged misconduct dated 16-5-80. The reply of the concerned workman was exhibited as Ext. D-1 by the Enquiry Officer which also shows that the concerned workman had received a letter along with the chargesheets on 4-5-81. It will appear from the said Ext. D-1 that the concerned workman has denied the allegations made in 2 chargesheets. The defence of the concerned workman in respect of the charge Ext. M-3 dated 16-5-80 is that he was ill from 16-5-80 to 22-5-80 and as such he had not attended the Bank on 16-5-80 and the entire allegations in respect of 16-5-80 are concocted. He has stated that he had filed application for leave on 23-5-80 in respect of his illness from 16-5-81 to 22-5-81. He has also stated that he had received the order of the Branch Manager dated 16-5-80 at his residence through Pcon at 4 P.M.

I will take up the first chargesheet Ext. M-2 dated 24-6-80 first. There is no need to repeat the allegation in the charge sheet Ext. M-2 as the full facts may be perused in Ext. M-2. The management examined 4 witnesses on 11-9-82 and the connecting documents in respect of the said charge are Ext. P-7, P-7A, P-8 and P-9. Ext. P-7 is the letter addressed to the concerned workman by the Branch Manager dated 16-8-79 which shows that the Branch Manager asked the concerned workman to discharge his allotted duties without keeping anything pending. Ext. P-7A is the note written on Ext. P-7 that the concerned workman "Refused except placed in the notice board." Ext. P-8 is the letter addressed to the Regional Manager, Calcutta by the Branch Manager, Bhagalpur Branch dated 16-8-79. It will appear from this letter that office instruction was served on the concerned workman for his non-performance of his duties which he refused to accept and thereafter the letter was taken from the notice board by the concerned workman and thereafter the concerned workman entered into the chamber of the branch manager abusing him with filthy language and threatening him showing complete disrespect to the Branch Manager and to the institution as a whole in the presence of the staff members. The Branch Manager had requested for taking immediate action against the concerned

workman, Ext. P-9 dated 31-8-79 is a letter written by the Branch Manager of the Dena Bank to the Regional Manager, Dena Bank, Calcutta giving some further details on the query memo made by the Regional Manager.

The witnesses who have supported the chargesheet Ext. M-2 are MW-1 Shri Vishwamoy Chakravorty, MW-2 Shri Brati Bhushan Majumdar, Accountant of Bhagalpur Branch, MW-3 Shri Raj Kumar Sharma, I.B.C. Peon, Dena Bank, Bhagalpur Branch, MW-4 Shri Ganesh Sharma, Typist-cum-Clerk, MW-1 Shri Vishwamoy Chakravorty was the Branch Manager, Bhagalpur Branch at the relevant time. He has stated that the concerned workman was working as a Daftry on 16-8-79 and he had advised him orally to discharge his duties as a Daftry but the concerned workman did not obey his instruction. He has stated that Shri Brati Bhushan Majumdar, Accountant of the Branch was a witness to the same. He has stated that when the concerned workman refused he issued an office instruction in writing at that very time under his signature and that is the document which was marked Ext. P-7 by the Enquiry Officer. He has stated that he had tendered the said letter Ext. P-7 to the concerned workman which the concerned workman refused to accept it. He has further stated that he authenticated the refusal by making a note Ext. P7-A on Ext. P-7. He has further stated that after the refusal he pasted the said letter on the Notice Board of the Bank through Peon Shri R. K. Sharma. He has further stated that the concerned workman did not perform his duties as per his instructions in spite of pasting office order on the notice board. He has stated that at about 3.30 P.M. on that very day the concerned workman entered his chamber with the said office order in his hand which had been pasted on the Notice Board and started abusing loudly and he has stated the specific abuses and objectionable words which were stated by the concerned workman. He has also named Ganesh Chakravorty, Typist Clerk and Shri Brati Bhushan Majumdar who had witnessed the incident. He has stated that he reported the matter to the Regional Manager vide his letter dated 16-8-79 which was marked Ext. P-8 by the Enquiry Officer. He reported on enquiry by the Regional Manager again vide his letter dated 3-8-79 which has been marked as Ext. P-9 by the Enquiry Officer. To the specific question put to him he stated that he had instructed the concerned workman to do the job of Daftry which he had refused as the concerned workman or his representative did not participate, the enquiry officer himself put some question to the witness. MW-1 is fully supported by the evidence of MW-2 and MW-4. They have stated that the Branch Manager had advised the concerned workman to discharge his allotted duties first verbally and thereafter on refusal issued an office order in writing but the concerned workman refused to accept it and thereafter the Branch Manager got the Office Instructions pasted on the Notice Board through R. K. Sharma, Peon. They also have stated that the concerned workman did not perform his duties as per instructions of the Branch Manager. They have stated that they heard and had seen shouting abusive language and the threatening with direct consequences at the top of his voice in the Branch Manager's chamber at 3.30 P.M. MW-2 has stated specifically about the abusive words spoken by the concerned workman. MW-3 is Raj Kumar Sharma, Peon of Bhagalpur Branch of Dena Bank. He has stated that the concerned workman was present on 16-8-79 in the Bank and that the Branch Manager had asked this witness to put a letter in the office notice board. Thus this witness has proved that the Branch Manager had asked him to put the office instructions on the Notice Board and he had put it on the notice board. The evidence of MW-1, 2 and 4 clearly shows that the concerned workman who was working as Daftry on 16-8-79 did not obey the instruction of the Branch Manager to perform his duties and that he refused to take the written instruction given to him by the Branch Manager and thereafter the Branch Manager got the office instruction pasted on the Notice Board which was taken out by the concerned workman and the concerned workman entered the chamber of the Branch Manager at 3.30 P.M. along with office instruction which was pasted on the Notice Board and loudly abused and threatened the Branch Manager. The abusive words used by the concerned workman to the Branch Manager were highly objectionable and they constituted threat to the Branch Manager. The

said act of the concerned workman was indecent and disorderly behaviour in the premises of the Bank and was wilful insubordinate and disobedience of lawful order of the Branch Manager. The said behaviour of the concerned workman was an act prejudicial to the interest of the administration of the Bank. In my opinion the charges under para 19.5(c), 19.5(e) and 19.5 (j) of the Bipartite Settlement have been established against the concerned workman on the materials which were placed before the enquiry in respect of chargesheet Ext. M-2.

The other chargesheet against the concerned workman is Ext. M-3 dated 14-7-80. The allegation in the chargesheet Ext. M-3 against the concerned workman is that while he was working as Daftry at Bhagalpur Branch of Dena Bank, he was orally instructed on 16-5-80 to perform certain duties by the Branch Manager Shri Vishwamoy Chakravorty and since he refused to comply with the instructions the Branch Manager gave him instructions in writing. It is further alleged that after accepting the written order the concerned workman at about 4.20 P.M. entered the Branch Manager's Cabin and abused him and when the Branch Manager protested the concerned workman physically assaulted him and fled away when the other staff members came to his rescue. The concerned workman was therefore charged as per bipartite settlement para 19.5(c) and (e). The concerned workman had given his reply to the said charge vide Ext. D-1 dated 2-6-81. As I have already stated above Ext. D-1 was a reply of the concerned workman given jointly in respect of Ext. M-2 and M-3. The concerned workman has completely denied the allegation and has taken specific defence that he was ill on 16-5-80 and that he had not attend the Bank and that all the allegations made against him were false and concocted. The management examined 10 witnesses in respect of the charge Ext. M-3 before the Enquiry Officer. The documents in connection with the said charge are Ext. P-10 dated 17-5-80, P-11 dated 16-5-80, P-11A (acknowledgement portion of the Kotwali P.S. Bhagalpur and Ext. P-11A), Ext. P-12 (letter dated 16-5-80 addressed to the concerned workman by the Branch Manager) P-12A (acknowledgement portion of the concerned workman on Ext. P-12), P-13 (settlement dated 16-5-80 addressed to the Regional Manager, Calcutta by the Branch Manager Bhagalpur) P-14 (letter dated 17-5-80 addressed to the Regional Manager, Calcutta by staff members of Bhagalpur branch and Ext. D-1.

Ext. P-10 is the letter written by the Branch Manager, Vishwamoy Chakravorty to the Regional Manager, Dena Bank, Calcutta Region enclosing letter addressed to the concerned workman, copy of the statement at the Police station, copy of the telegram sent to the Regional Manager and letter from Staff member to the Regional Manager, Dena Bank, Calcutta Region. The entire facts which are contained in the Chargesheet relating to the misconduct committed by the concerned workman is stated in this letter. It will appear that the concerned workman was present on 16-5-80 in the Bank but the concerned workman did not care to attend to his duties although the Branch Manager had requested him orally in the morning to attend to his duties and the concerned workman declined to discharge his duties. It is stated that thereafter when the Branch Manager felt that the refusal to attend to his duties was a challenge to the administration, the Branch Manager advised him to discharge his duties. On Receipt of the letter the concerned workman entered the room of the Branch Manager at about 4.20 P.M. and in presence of all the staff and employees of the Bank abused him in the filthy language and on protest the concerned workman assaulted the Branch Manager by fist and dashed him to the side rack causing him hurt. He also stated that the incident attracted the notice of the staff who rushed to save him whereupon the concerned workman fled away. Ext. P-11 was the information lodged about the Branch Manager, Bhagalpur on 16-5-80 before the Officer Incharge Kotwali Police Bhagalpur in which also it is stated that on account of continuous negligence in duties the Branch Manager had served a letter on the concerned workman asking him to attend to his work properly and on receipt of the said letter the concerned workman entered his office room at about 4.20 P.M. and in presence of all staff and employees of the Bank started abusing him in filthy language and on his protest assaulted him by fist and dashed him to the side rack causing

hurt to his body. In this information also the Branch Manager has stated that the incident attracted the notice of the staff who rushed to save him whereupon the concerned workman fled away cluding arrest by the staff. There is note on this office copy Ext. P-11 to show that the said letter was received at Kotwali P.S. Bhagalpur by ASI on 16-5-80. Ext. P-13 is the telegram which was sent immediately after the occurrence by the Branch Manager to the Regional Manager, Dena Bank Calcutta. Here also the Branch Manager of Dena Bank Bhagalpur had informed that the concerned workman Radha Pd. Singh physically assaulted the Branch Manager in respect of which the Police station was informed. Ext. P-14 dated 17-5-80 is a joint petition filed by 11 staff members of Bhagalpur Branch of Dena Bank to the Regional Manager, Dena Bank, Calcutta. It is stated in Ext. P-14 that on 16-5-80 at about 4.20 P.M. Shri Radha Pd. Singh entered in the chamber of the Branch Manager and started abusing him in very filthy language and on hearing his loud voice the members of the staff present in the office rushed to the chamber of the Branch Manager and found that Shri Radha Pd. Singh assaulted the Branch Manager and dashed him to the side rack and when they wanted to arrest him he could be able to escape by fleeing away. It is further stated that the Branch Manager has filed FIR on the date of the occurrence with Kotwali Police station. They said that if such things are being allowed to crop up it will lead the whole industry to an interrogative point and they urged upon the Regional Manager to take suitable action against the concerned workman with a view to curb down such activities as they also feel themselves in danger. I have given in extent the facts stated in the exhibits to show that it was consistently being stated that the concerned workman had abused and assaulted the Branch Manager on 16-5-80 when the Branch Manager had given the concerned workman in writing to discharge his duties properly. The documents Ext. P-14 supports what is stated by the Branch Manager about the occurrence. I will deal with the oral evidence of the witnesses who have deposed about the occurrence presently but before that I would like to deal with the letter which was given by the Branch Manager to the concerned workman on 16-5-80. The said letter is marked Ext. P-12 and it bears an endorsement of the concerned workman to show that he had received a copy of the said letter on 16-5-80 at 4 P.M. The said endorsement is Ext. P-12A. It will appear from Ext. P-12 that the Branch Manager of Bhagalpur Branch Dena Bank addressed to the concerned workman advising him again to discharge his duties properly. The word again written in Ext. P-12 shows that the Branch Manager had earlier also asked the concerned workman to do his duties properly. Even in Ext. D-1 it is admitted by the concerned workman that he had received the copy of the letter dated 16-5-80 and had endorsed his receipt. Thus there is no doubt that the concerned workman had received the copy of the letter Ext. P-12 in which he had been advised to discharge his duties properly.

From page 102 to 121 of the Enquiry Proceeding Ext. M-12 the evidence of the 10 witnesses have been recorded by the Enquiry Officer in connection with the chargesheet Ext. M-3. All the witnesses were examined in the absence of the concerned workman and as such the management witnesses were not cross-examined on behalf of the concerned workman. MW-1 is Shri Viswamoy Chakravorty, the Branch Manager of Bhagalpur Branch who was abused and assaulted by the concerned workman. It will appear from his evidence that the concerned workman was on duty on 16-5-80 as Daftari and that he asked the concerned workman verbally to discharge his duties as Daftari. He has stated that the concerned workman denied to discharge his duties in presence of Shri Brati Bhusan Majumdar, Accountant. He has further stated that after the refusal of the concerned workman to his duties, MW-1 was watching the activities of the concerned workman whether he was discharging his duties or not and atleast when he saw that the concerned workman was not discharging his duties he issued an office instruction in writing. The office instruction in writing is Ext. P-12 in respect of which I have already stated while discussing the exhibits which were filed before the Enquiry Officer in connection with the chargesheet Ext. M-3. MW-1 has specifically stated that the concerned workman was not discharging his duties and was sitting in his steel reading newspaper. He has stated that he called the concerned workman in his chamber and asked him to accept the office ins-

truction which the concerned workman accepted by giving his signature in acknowledgement of having received the copy at 4 P.M. on 16-6-80. The concerned workman left the chamber of the Branch Manager after accepting the written instruction and at about 4.20 P.M. the concerned workman again entered into his chamber and started abusing him in filthy language at the top of his voice and assaulted him by fist and dashed him to the side rack. He has stated that when the staff members tried to catch hold of him the concerned workman escaped and fled away. He has stated that he first reported about the incident to the Regional Manager by telegram and then by letter dated 17-5-80. He has also stated that he had lodged the information before the Kotwali P.S. Bhagalpur copy of which is Ext. P-11. The written information was received at the Kotwali P.S. and it was acknowledged at the Police station vide Ext. P-11(A). He has stated that many staff members had narrated about the incident in a letter sent by them to the Regional Manager, Calcutta and Ext. P-14 is the said letter.

MW-2 Brati Bhusan Majumdar, Accountant has stated that the concerned workman was on duty in the Bank at 16-5-80. He has stated that the Branch Manager, Shri Viswamoy Chakravorty had advised verbally the concerned workman to discharge his duties in his presence to which the concerned workman denied to do. He has stated that the Branch Manager issued an office instruction and served it on the concerned workman at about 4 P.M. advising him the concerned workman to discharge his duties properly. He has further stated that the concerned workman had acknowledged receipt of the instruction vide Ext. P-12A. He has further stated that at about 4.20 P.M. on 16-5-80 he heard the shouting voice of the concerned workman from the Branch Managers' Cabin. He has stated that the Branch Manager's cabin door was opened and he along with the staff members of the Branch who heard the shouting rushed towards the cabin and saw that the concerned workman assaulted the Branch Manager and dashed him to the side rack. He has stated that when they tried to catch hold of the concerned workman he escaped. He has further stated that in this regard the staff members of the Branch had already sent a complaint narrating the incident to the Regional Manager on 17-5-80.

MW-3 Shri N. D. Bairagi an Officer working in Dena Bank Bhagalpur, MW-4 P. K. Singhania, Cashier-cum-Clerk MW-5 S. K. Sharma, Typist-cum-Clerk, MW-6 R. K. Thakur, Cashier-cum-Clerk and MW-10 Ram Husah Jha Boy-cum-Peon Sharma, I.B.C. Peon, MW-9 Bepin Bihari Singh, Cashier, cum-Clerk and MW-10 Ram Husah Jha Water Boy-cum-Peon who were all working in Bhagalpur Branch of Dena Bank on 16-5-80 and had signed on the petition Ext. P-14 have supported the evidence of the Branch Manager MW-1. On perusal of their evidence it will appear that they have stated about the hearing of the shouts by the concerned workman in the chamber of the Branch Manager at about 4.20 P.M. but they could not specifically state if the words spoken were loudly by the concerned workman were abuses. MW-8 however in his cross-examination has stated about the abuses by the concerned workman but he had not stated the same in his examination-in-chief. It is quite possible that when the concerned workman was shouting loudly in the chamber of the Branch Manager it was not possible for the staff members who were sitting apart from the Branch Manager to decipher as to what was being loudly shouted by the concerned workman and as such they could not specifically say if the concerned workman was uttering abuses to the Branch Manager. However the evidence is unanimous that the concerned workman had assaulted the Branch Manager and had hurted him. There does not appear to be any contradiction in their evidence regarding the fact that the concerned workman had assaulted the Branch Manager MW-1 in his chamber. Their evidence before the Enquiry Officer corroborates that they had stated in Ext. P-14 dated 17-5-80 which was in fact on the next date of the occurrence. The only criticism regarding this office staff witnesses made on behalf of the concerned workman is that they were members of AIDREA which was a rival union of the workman's union namely Dena Bank Employees Congress. There is absolutely no evidence on the fact that the witnesses examined on behalf of the management were the members of AIDREA or that the said union was a rival union of Dena Bank Employees Congress to which the concerned workman belonged. It cannot therefore be said that the management's witnesses were deposing falsely because of the union rivalry. I am of the opinion that the management's witnesses were truthful.

their evidence clearly establishes that the concerned workman had assaulted the Branch Manager, Shri Vishwamoy Chakravorty on 16-5-80 at about 4.20 P.M. It will appear from the evidence of MW-1 and MW-2 that the concerned workman had been first orally advised to do his work properly but he did not do the work and was disobeying the order of the Branch Manager and thereafter the Branch Manager gave a written instruction to the concerned workman at about 4 P.M. advising him to perform his duties properly which infuriated the concerned workman leading to his entry in the chamber of the Branch Manager at 4.20 P.M. on that very day i.e. on 16-5-80 and assaulting the Branch Manager.

It is submitted on behalf of the concerned workman that he was ill on 16-5-80 and that he had not attended the duties of the Bank on that day and that the written instruction was received by him at his residence at 4 P.M. on 16-5-80 through a Peon and that as he was unable to go out of his house, the entire allegation made against him that he had abused and assaulted the Branch Manager at 4.20 P.M. on 16-5-80 is false and concocted. It is the unanimous evidence of all the 10 witnesses that the concerned workman had attended the Bank on 16-5-80. It is stated on behalf of the concerned workman that he had not marked his presence on 16-5-80 which will show that he was not present and that the Branch Manager had marked his presence on that day. The attendance Register has not been produced in this Court and it is quite probable that the concerned workman had not marked his attendance on 16-5-80 when he had gone to the Bank and is now taking advantage of his own negligence to show that he was not present on that day. The evidence of the management's witnesses coupled with the endorsement of the concerned workman Ext. P-12A establishes that the concerned workman was present in the Branch Office of the Bank on 16-5-80 and that his alibi of absence is not at all established.

In view of the above I hold that the management has been able to establish the charge Ext. M-3 against the concerned workman. I hold that the concerned workman by assaulting the Branch Manager and disobeying his order regarding the work to be performed by the concerned workman was an act of wilful insubordination and disobedience of lawful and reasonable order of the Branch Manager and that the concerned workman by assaulting the head of the Branch Bank committed indecent behaviour in the premises of the Bank. In my opinion the concerned workman has rightly been held guilty of the charges under Bipartite Settlement para 19.5 (c) and 19.5(e).

I dealt with the matter as to how the enquiry officer was compelled to proceed with the enquiry into the charges against the concerned workman exparte in my order dated 13-6-86 when I was deciding the preliminary issue whether the enquiry was fair proper and in accordance with the principles of natural justice. I would only add to that the proceedings at page 86 to 87 of the Enquiry Proceeding Ext. M-12 which itself would show how frivolous objections were being raised before the Enquiry Officer and the procedure was being delayed and obstructed. It will appear from the note of the Enquiry Officer at page 86-87 of Ext. M-18 as to how the defence representative was noncooperating with the enquiry officer in the enquiry proceeding. The concerned workman and his defence representative were refusing to sign the proceeding for the dates they had participated. It will further appear that when the enquiry officer requested the defence representative to participate by replying the question asked but they did not agree and told the enquiry officer that unless what the defence representative says is recorded they will sit like this and create unbearable atmosphere. The enquiry officer requested the defence representative to refrain from creating such situation to which he did not agree and left the court room along with the delinquent at about 4.30 P.M. (11-9-82). Thereafter the concerned workman and his defence representative did not appear in the enquiry proceeding and as such the enquiry was taken up exparte against the concerned workman. The concerned workman and his representative had themselves left the enquiry proceeding and now they cannot say that they were not given chance to cross-examine the management's witnesses and to adduce their own witnesses in defence. In the above situation I think the enquiry officer was quite justified in proceed-

ing with the enquiry in the absence of the concerned workman and his defence representative.

It has been submitted on behalf of the concerned workman that the concerned workman was victimised but there is no evidence on the record to this effect and accordingly I hold that the concerned workman was not victimised but the punishment was passed against him for the misconduct committed by him.

It has been submitted that the punishment of dismissal is too severe. In my opinion it is not. The assault of any Branch Manager who is the head of the Branch Bank by a subordinate staff is an act of very serious nature in as much as if such practice is allowed to continue nobody would be safe to work in a Bank. The act done by the concerned workman was an act of great misconduct, in subordination disobedience, disorderly and indecent behaviour and as such the punishment of dismissal inflicted upon the concerned workman is quite justified and I do not feel inclined to pass any lenient sentence against the concerned workman.

In the result, I hold that the action of the management of Dena Bank in relation to their Bhagalpur Branch in dismissing the concerned workman Shri Dadha P.J. Singh, Daftari from service vide their Memorandum dated 22-3-83 is justified and that the concerned workman is not entitled to any relief.

This is my Award.

I N. SINGHA, Presiding Officer.
[No. L-12012/49/85-D.II (A)]
N. K. VERMA, Desk Officer

नई दिल्ली, 6 अप्रैल, 1987

का. शा. 1957.-औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुमर्ण में केन्द्रीय सरकार, सिन्डिकेट बैंक के प्रबंधक से सम्बन्धित नियंत्रकों और उनके कर्मचारियों के बीच, अनुबंध में निहित औद्योगिक विवाद में औद्योगिक अधिकरण ईदराबाद के पंचाट को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 25-3-87 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

New Delhi, the 6th April, 1987

S.O. 1057.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award of the Industrial Tribunal, Hyderabad as shown in the Annexure, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the Syndicate Bank and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on the 25th March, 1987.

BEFORE THE INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL (CENTRAL)
AT HYDERABAD

Industrial Dispute No. 23 of 1986

BETWEEN

The workman of Syndicate Bank, Hyderabad,

AND

The Management of Syndicate Bank, Hyderabad.

APPEARANCES:

Sri K. Srinivasa Murthy and Kamari G. Sudha, Advocates for the Management.

None for the workman.

AWARD

The Government of India, Ministry of Labour by its Order No. L-12012/195/85-D. II(A) dated 16-6-1986 referred the following dispute under Sections 10(1)(d) and (2A) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 between the employer in relation to the management of Syndicate Bank and their workman to this Tribunal for adjudication:

"Whether the action of the management of Syndicate Bank, Hyderabad in terminating the services of Sri

R. Shyam Prasad, Attender with effect from 2-6-73 and in denying employment opportunity to him on the ground of average in the year 1982 is justified? If not, to what relief is the workman entitled?"

This reference was registered as Industrial Dispute No. 23 of 1986 and notices were issued to the parties.

2. This is a claims statement filed by workman only Sri R. Shyam Prasad stating that he was appointed in the establishment of Syndicate Bank as Attender at Proddatur Branch on 19-5-1971 and he worked at various intervals from 19-5-1971 to 1-6-1973 and he was given 17 orders of appointments including one for 31 days at the Simhadripuram Branch of the Bank. According to him the appointment orders were issued for the different durations with small breaks in between including the continuous spell of 116 days from 6-2-1973 to 1-6-1973. It is his case that he had put in a total service of 307 days in the Bank. He also mentioned that in the year 1982 he was called for interview for appointment as Attender of the bank 4-5-1982 and the bank informed him that he was not selected for appointment for he was over-aged. According to him this termination was brought about in this case to circumvent the provisions of Clause 20.8 of the Bi-partite Settlement dated 19-10-1966 which reads as follows: "A temporary workman may be appointed to fill a permanent vacancy provided that such temporary employment shall not exceed a period of three months during which the Bank may make arrangements for filling up the vacancy permanently. If such a temporary workman is eventually selected for filling up the vacancy, the period of such temporary employment will be taken into account as part of his probationary period". It was not right on the part of the Bank to deny him permanent employment on the ground that he was over aged as on 4-5-1982. It is mentioned that in Para 522(4) of Sasry Award which reads as follows: "The services of any employee other than a permanent employee or probationer may be terminated and he may leave service, after 14 days' notice. If such an employee leaves service without giving such notice, he shall be liable for a week's pay (including all allowances)". So it is said that the Bank violated the provisions of Sections 25-F, 25-G and 25-H of the I.D. Act and therefore he wanted an award be ordered reinstating him into Bank service with all accrued benefits.

3. The Bank in its counter admitted that it was a fact that the Bank had several occasions engaged the petitioner as Attender purely on temporary basis against the leave vacancies. It is mentioned that the said appointments had been terminated on the expiry of the respective periods mentioned in the corresponding appointment letters. To qualify for this selection, the candidates concerned should have passed VIIIth Standard but should not have passed SSLC and should not have attained 25 years of age, for regular appointments for Attenders are made by the Bank by selecting the eligible candidates from the list of candidates sponsored by the Employment Exchange. It is a fact that in the year 1982, the Bank appointed Attenders on regular basis from the list of candidates sponsored by the Employment Exchange on their fulfilling the requirements stipulated by the Bank. As the petitioner is over-aged, he was not considered for the appointment as Attender on regular basis. This however does not seem to have any bearing on the issue before the Tribunal. He was appointed as Attender on temporary basis against the leave vacancies and not against the permanent vacancies. So the question of invoking Para 20.8 of the Bipartite Settlement does not arise. In the instant case the Deponent had worked only 170 days during the period of 12 calendar months preceding the date of termination from the date of termination from i.e. backwards from 1-6-1973 to 31-7-1972 since he had not worked for 240 days as a mandatory under Section 25B of the Act, the act of the Bank in terminating his service at the end of stipulated period of his temporary services does not amount to retrenchment as contended by the petitioner. Therefore the question of invoking Section 25F or other provisions of the Act does not arise.

4. The matter was referred to this Tribunal on 18-6-1986 and the notice was served upon the Management also but the concerned workman was called absent and there was

no claims statement filed by him. Finally on 21-8-1986 Sri K. Srinivasa Murthy and Miss G. Sudha, Advocates filed vakalat for the Management on 4-8-1986 in the office. Claims statement dated 19-7-1986 alongwith the relevant documents were received by post on 22-7-1986. There afterwards though the matter was adjourned to 23-9-1986, 25-10-1986, 19-11-1986, 22-11-1986 and 27-1-1986 there was no representation from both sides. Hence posted for award on the available material.

5. The very documents filed by the workman would show that he was appointed for a period specified thereunder temporarily for two months, one month, four days, four days, six days, four days, four days, 22 days, 12 days 12 days one month 15 days, eight days and 31 days, 30 days, 31 days and one day. In other words as admitted by the Management it was a fact that in a calendar year from 31-1-1972 to 1-6-1973 he was appointed for a period of 197 days and all other periods cannot be counted as there were for shorter periods if calendar period of 12 months is taken. So the Bipartite Settlement referred under Clause 20.8 dated 19th October, 1966 had no application since he is not appointed against the permanent vacancy but he is appointed only if temporary basis against the leave vacancies. Similarly to attach the benefit out of Section 25-F of the I.D. Act he has to prove before this Tribunal that he had put in 240 days continuous service prior to his termination within the meaning of Section 25B of the I.D. Act and for reemployment under Section 35H of the Act. Since he did not work for 240 days continuously as required under Section 25B of the I.D. Act on the available record filed by him itself and when all the orders would show that he was appointed in the leave vacancies temporarily and there is no permanent vacancies existing, he did not qualify to show that he had completed 240 days of actual working days prior to his termination within the meaning of Section 25B of the Act and therefore Section 25F had no application. Further when he was called for interview he was over-aged and he cannot be fit in as a man appointed in a regular vacancies for saving period he worked to get over the prescribed age of 25 years as he did not worked for 240 days continuously in a calendar year.

6. Therefore I hold that the action of the Management of Syndicate Bank, Hyderabad in terminating the services of Shri R. Shyam Prasad, Attender with effect from 2-6-1973 and in denying employment opportunity to him on the ground of average in the year 1982 is justified and he is not entitled to any relief.

Award is passed accordingly.

Dictated to the Stenographer, transcribed by him corrected by me and given under my hand and the seal of this Tribunal, this the 25th day of February, 1987.

Sd/-
Industrial Tribunal

Appendix of Evidence

NIL

Dated : 28-2-87.

J. VENUGOPALA RAO, Industrial Tribunal
[No. L-12012/195/85-D.II(A)]

का. आ 1058:—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार, भारतीय स्टेट बैंक के प्रबंधन में सम्बद्ध नियोजकों और उनके वर्गकारों के बीच, अवस्था में निहित औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकार, नई दिल्ली के पश्चात को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 25-3-82 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

S.O. 1058.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby published the award of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal, New Delhi as shown in the Annexure in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the State Bank of India and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on the 25-3-87.

BEFORE SHRI G. S. KALRA, PRESIDING OFFICER,
CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL,
NEW DELHI

I.D. No. 97/80

In the matter of dispute between :

Shri G. K. Chaudhry, clerk, Represented by the General Secretary, State Bank of India Staff Association, 58/2124/2, Hari Singh Nalwa Street, Karol Bagh, New Delhi-110005.

Versus

State Bank of India through the Chief Manager, 11, Parliament Street, New Delhi

APPEARANCES :

Shri B. K. Chaudhry for the Management.

None for the workman.

AWARD

The Central Government in the Ministry of Labour vide its Notification No. L-12012/81/80-D.II(A) dated 8th September, 1980 has referred the following industrial dispute to this Tribunal for adjudication :

"Whether the action of the management of State Bank of India, Parliament Street, New Delhi in imposing the punishment of warning to Shri G. K. Chaudhry, Clerk at their Main Branch Delhi and denying him full wages for the period from 7-9-1974 to 23-3-77 is justified? If not, to what relief is the workman concerned entitled?"

2. Some of the undisputed facts are that Shri G. K. Chaudhry (hereinafter referred to as the workman) is working as clerk in the Parliament Street Branch of the State Bank of India (hereinafter referred to as the Bank). On 14-8-74 the workman was placed under suspension and on 7-9-74 a charge sheet was served upon him to the following effect :

"On the morning of the 14th August, 1974; you entered the cabin of Shri Kashmiri Lal, Officer-in-Charge, Establishment Section, provoked a argument with him, quarrelled with him, abused him and slapped him on the face."

A domestic enquiry was conducted by Shri R. N. Bhatt who submitted his enquiry report dated 14-4-76 with the following conclusion:

"In nutshell my findings are as under :

- (a) there have been arguments/quarrel between Shri G. K. Chaudhry and Kashmiri Lal.
- (b) Shri G. K. Chaudhry has neither abused nor slapped Shri Kashmiri Lal against his face .

The disciplinary authority first of all quashed the enquiry proceedings on the ground that certain serious lacuna had occurred in the conduct of the enquiry and ordered a de-novo enquiry. However, later on by order dated 24-9-76 the Disciplinary Authority rescinded his earlier decision of holding de novo enquiry and proceeded to take action on the domestic enquiry already held and the findings submitted by the Enquiry Officer, and proposed to censure the workman on the ground that "it was most unbecoming and against all canons of discipline for Shri G. K. Chaudhary to have quarrelled with Shri Kashmiri Lal and have had a struggle over marking the attendance." The workman submitted a detailed explanation dated 23-10-76 against the proposed punishment which was rejected by the Disciplinary Authority vide its order dated 8-11-76 and vide communication dated 17-11-76 the workman was further informed that he will not be paid any further salary and such allowance thereto than what he had been paid during his suspension period. The workman then preferred an appeal and the Appellate Authority vide its order dated 14-1-77 rejected the appeal and confirmed the order of punishment.

3. The workman has challenged the order of punishment as illegal, invalid, improper and mala fide on grounds inter alia that the enquiry officer showed bias towards the Management by allowing unnecessary adjournments and

after having twice rejected the Managements request for cross-examination of the witness Shri Kashmiri Lal, by allowing cross-examination of Shri Kashmiri Lal later on without declaring the witness as hostile; that the enquiry officer exonerated him from all the charges levelled against him and inspite of that the Disciplinary Authority proceeded on a wrong assumption that the Enquiry Officer had held the workman guilty of the charge to the extent that he had arguments and quarrelled with Shri Kashmiri Lal. This order of the Disciplinary Authority was made without applying his mind and without taking into consideration the previous record of the workman and without there being any legal evidence on record against the workman. Hence it was contended that the decision of the Disciplinary Authority inflicting punishment of censure is perverse and liable to be set aside.

4. The Management maintained that the charges against the workman were proved on circumstantial evidence and there is nothing illegal or invalid in the action taken by the Disciplinary Authority.

5. On a consideration of the entire facts and circumstances of this case, I am of the opinion that the order of punishment passed by the Disciplinary Authority was perverse being not based on any legal evidence and is, therefore, liable to be set aside. In the enquiry only two witnesses were examined on behalf of the Management. The first of them is Shri Kashmiri Lal Officer-in-Charge of the Establishment Section under whom the workman was working and who is alleged to have been provoked into an argument and with whom the workman is alleged to have quarrelled, abused and slapped him. His witness was first of all examined on 4-9-1975. When he stated that the workman used to have quarrels with number of persons in the Personnel Banking Division and he requested Shri P. B. Arora, the Personnel Officer, that it was difficult for him to pull on with the workman and Shri Arora asked him to send the workman to him and he would mark his attendance in the section of Mr. Arora and not in the Establishment Section. Then he told the workman to see Mr. Arora and mark his attendance there. On this basis the workman quarrelled with him who tried to snatch the attendance register from him and when he did not give the register the workman pushed him and snatched the register and in the process of snatching the register the hand of the workman struck him. After this date the enquiry was adjourned to 5-9-75 for recording the statement of the second witness Shri K. N. Kedar. It is only on 5-9-75 that the presenting officer of the Bank put up a request for the cross-examination of Shri Kashmiri Lal and this request was rejected by the Enquiry Officer on the ground that the Presenting Officer never wanted to cross-examine the witness and that the request made by him was only an after thought. As the second witness Shri K. N. Kedar was not present the enquiry was further adjourned to 8-9-75 when again the presenting officer made a request for cross-examination of Shri Kashmiri Lal and again this request was turned down on the ground that this request had not been made at the appropriate time and it was not advisable to make such a request at that stage. However, on the adjourned date of 29-9-75, the enquiry Officer made a volteface and allowed the cross-examination of Shri Kashmiri Lal without giving any cogent reasons. This action on the part of the Enquiry Officer was quite improper in view of the fact that on earlier two occasions he had rejected the request of the presenting officer of the Management by giving reasons. However, this action of the Enquiry Officer had virtually no impact because, in the enquiry report the Enquiry Officer himself discarded the statement given by Shri Kashmiri Lal on 29-9-75 as an after-thought. Here I quote the Enquiry Officer in his own words, at para 6 of his Enquiry Report "The deposition made by Shri Kashmiri Lal on 4th September, 1975 is at variance from what he said during the course of his examination by the prosecution on 29th September, 75. I have a feeling that what Shri Kashmiri Lal said on 29th September, 1975 on examination by the prosecution is after thought and has been cooked up by him in his attempt to corroborate what was mentioned in the charge sheet No. PER/PBA/74/Conf/62 dated 7th September, 74 served on the respondent. Moreover, the prosecution has failed to put forth any evidence to corroborate the deposition made by Shri Kashmiri Lal. Accordingly no reliance can be

placed on what Shri Kashmiri Lal has deposed. " Thus the evidence of Shri Kashmiri Lal was found to be not worthy of reliance. The only other witness examined by the Management was Shri K. N. Kedar who refused to say anything and thus did not support the prosecution case. Thus there was clearly no evidence in support of the prosecution case. On the other hand the workman besides his own statement examined Shri P. P. Trikha and Shri Krishna Nand and out of them Shri Krishna Nand was a clerk in the Establishment Section at that time, where the alleged occurrence took place. With regard to this witness the Enquiry Officer observed, "I do have a full reliance on the deposition made by Shri Krishna Nand. First he was working in the Establishment Section itself where the incident took place. Secondly the statement has been very natural one and came forth without any facial impression which would arouse suspicion about the truth therein". The Enquiry Officer by relying upon the deposition made by Shri Kashmiri Lal on 4th September, 1975 and that of Shri Krishna Nand, arrived at his conclusions which have been reproduced above. The workman has been fully exonerated by the Enquiry Officer in respect of the second part of the charge of abusing and slapping Shri Kashmiri Lal and this finding has also not been disturbed by the Disciplinary Authority and hence nothing further need be said about it. It is only with regard to the first part of the charge relating to argument/quarrel that the disciplinary authority has proceeded to impose the punishment on the workman. Here too, again we may go back to the observation of the Enquiry Officer in para 6 of his report that "Even if there is any iota of truth that can be based on his first statement which he made on the 4th September, 1975. Relying on the deposition made by Shri Kashmiri Lal on the 4th September, 75 I infer that there have been arguments and quarrel between him and the respondent Shri C. K. Chaudhary, Clerk (under suspension)". After having found the witness to be a liar who could take up a version as an afterthought in order to corroborate what had been mentioned in the chargesheet, the Enquiry Officer could not have placed implicit faith in the earlier statement made by the witness on 4th September, 1975. In fact the Enquiry Officer has by his observation quoted above demonstrated that he himself had a doubt regarding the truthfulness of the statement of the witness made on 4th September, 1975 when he used the expression "Even if there is any iota of truth that can be based on the first statement". Therefore, the finding of the Enquiry Officer that there was argument/quarrel is not based on any legal evidence more particularly when Shri Krishna Nand on whom the Enquiry Officer has placed reliance has not stated about any quarrel. The relevant deposition of Shri Krishna Nand reads as under :

"There was no manhandling in my presence. I heard Shri Chaudhary telling that I would mark the attendance and Shri Kashmiri Lal was not allowing that Shri Chaudhary was insisting over marking attendance. In case of not being allowed to mark attendance, he wanted the instructions in writing by Shri Kashmiri Lal that's all."

The Presenting Officer of the Management tried to extract from the witness that it was a quarrel but the witness did not commit himself that it was a quarrel and used the expression as "incident", under the circumstances, the finding of the Enquiry Officer that there had been argument/quarrel between Shri G. K. Chaudhary and Shri Kashmiri Lal was not based on any legal evidence. It appears that the disciplinary authority was well aware of this lacunae in the enquiry when it decided to quash the enquiry proceedings and ordered the holding of a *denovo* enquiry. However in spite of its own opinion that there was a lacunae in the enquiry the Disciplinary Authority after signing its earlier order of holding *denovo* enquiry, proceeded to place reliance on this finding of the Enquiry Officer and indulged in warranted interpretation of the finding of the enquiry officer by holding that it is workman who had arguments and quarrel with Shri Kashmiri Lal. The Enquiry Officer has nowhere held that it is the workman who had arguments/quarrel with Shri Kashmiri Lal. Its finding is simply to the effect "there have been arguments/quarrel between Shri G. K. Chaudhary and Shri Kashmiri Lal". This finding is non-committal and it could as well be that the arguments and quarrel were on the part of Shri Kashmiri Lal

or it could have been by both the parties. To single out the workman as having been responsible for the argument/quarrel was, therefore, unwarranted and not supported by any legal evidence. Even the finding of the Enquiry Officer is based on surmises and conjectures when he observed "both the depositions made by Shri Chaudhary clerk (under suspension) and Shri Krishna Nand clerk at Establishment Section reveal that Shri Chaudhary was insisting over making his attendance in Establishment Section and Shri Kashmiri Lal was not allowing him to do so. In a situation like this argument/quarrel can take place". It is also pertinent to observe here that the Disciplinary Authority had to give its reasons for its disagreement with the finding of the Enquiry Officer if it was of the opinion that the finding of the Enquiry Officer was not correct but the Disciplinary Authority has not given any reasons. It may also be mentioned here that the record, rather suggests that it was Kashmiri Lal who was responsible for the argument/quarrel. The facts, in short, are that on the morning of day of the incident the workman went to the Establishment Section to mark his presence and he found written on the attendance register transferred to Personnel Officer Section. In this regard Shri Kashmiri Lal in his deposition on 4-9-75 affirmed that on 14-8-74 the workman came to him for marking his attendance and he advised him to go to Mr. P. B. Arora. He further admitted that he did not give any memo to Shri Chaudhary for his transfer to the Personnel Officer Section. When asked whether it was not obligatory on his part as the Officer incharge of the Section to give instructions in writing, he replied that he had not transferred him because he was not the transferring authority. When asked whether there was no administrative order passed, in regard to his transfer from his section to Shri Arora Section, Shri Kashmiri Lal replied that the only sent him to Mr. Arora and it was the duty of the personnel Officer to transfer him and that he acted on the verbal advice/instructions of Shri Arora. It is, therefore, manifest that Shri Kashmiri Lal made the endorsement in the attendance register transferred to the Personnel Officers Section unauthorisedly and his subsequent refusal to allow the workman to mark his attendance in the register was also without any justification. Hence if there was any incident, the fault can squarely be pin pointed on Shri Kashmiri Lal and not on the workman. It appears that the Disciplinary Authority as well as the Appellate Authority did not apply their minds to the facts of the case and the evidence on record. The order of punishment passed against the workman is clearly perverse, as it is not based on any legal evidence and is hereby quashed. The order of punishment having been quashed the workman would be entitled to full wages for the period of suspension which itself appears to have been quite unjustified. The reference is answered in favour of the workman accordingly.

Further it is ordered that the requisite number of copies of this Award may be forwarded to the Central Government for necessary action at their end.

26th February, 1987.

G. S. KALRA, Presiding Officer.
N. K. VERMA, Desk Officer
[No. L-12012/81/80-D II(A)]

नई दिल्ली, 3 अप्रैल, 1987

का.आ. 1059:—केन्द्रीय सरकार का यह समाधान हो जाने पर कि लोकहित में ऐसा करना अपेक्षित था, औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 2 के खंड (द) के उपखंड (vi) के उपबंधों के अनुसरण में भारत सरकार के श्रम मंत्रालय की अखिरवृत्त संस्था का, का 3570 तारीख 29 मिनस्तर, 1986 द्वारा नियमित उद्योग को उक्त अधिनियम के प्रयोजनों के लिए 20 अक्टूबर, 1986 से छठ मास की कालावधि के लिए लोकउपयोगी सेवा घोषित किया था ;

और केन्द्रीय सरकार की राय है कि लोकहित में उक्त कालावधि को छठ मास की और कालावधि के लिए बढ़ाया जाना अपेक्षित है।

अतः, अब, औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 2 के खंड (द) के उपखंड (vi) के परन्तुक द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार उक्त उद्योग को

उक्त अधिनियमों के प्रयोजनों के लिए 20 अप्रैल, 1987 से छह मास की ओर कालावधि के लिए लोक उपयोगी सेवा घोषित करती है।

[संख्या एस-11017/10/85-डी-1(ए)]

नन्द लाल, अवर सचिव

New Delhi, the 3rd April, 1987

S.O. 1059.—Whereas the Central Government having been satisfied that the public interest so required had, in pursuance of the provision of sub-clause (vi) of clause (n) of section 2 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), declared by the notification of the Government of India, in the Ministry of Labour S.O. No. 3570 dated the 29th September, 1986 the Uranium Industry to be a public utility service for the purposes of the said Act, for a period of six months, from the 20th October, 1986 ;

And whereas, the Central Government is of opinion that public interest requires the extension of the said period by a further period of six months ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by the proviso to sub-clause (vi) of clause (n) of section 2 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby declares the said industry to be a public utility service for the purpose of the said Act, for a further period of six months from the 20th April, 1987.

[No. S-11017/10/85-D.I(Δ)]
NAND LAL, Under Secy.

नई दिल्ली, 6 अप्रैल, 1987

का. आ. 1060—औद्योगिक विवाद, अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार, गन कैरिज फैक्ट्री, जबलपुर के प्रबंधन से संबंधित नियोजकों और उनके कर्म-कारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निहित औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण, जबलपुर के पंचाट को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 18-3-87 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

New Delhi, the 6th April, 1987

S.O. 1060.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal, Jabalpur as shown in the Annexure in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Gun Carriage Factory, Jabalpur and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on the 18th March, 1987.

BEFORE SHRI V.S. YADAV, PRESIDING OFFICER,
CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL—
CUM-LABOUR COURT, JABALPUR (M.P.)

Case No. CGIT/LC/R(102)/1985

PARTIES :

Employers in relation to the management of Gun Carriage Factory, Jabalpur (M.P.) and their workman Shri Anand Ram Tiwari, H. S. Gr. II, represented through the G.C.F. Employees Union, Mah Shear, LIC Colony, Prem Nagar, Madan Mahal, Jabalpur (M.P.).

APPEARANCES :

For Union—Shri P. S. Nair, Advocate.

For Management—Shri A. K. Chaube, Advocate.

INDUSTRY : Ordnance DISTRICT : Jabalpur (M.P.)

AWARD

Dated, March 11th, 1987

This is a reference made by the Central Government in the Ministry of Labour vide Notification No. L-13012(1)/85 D.II(B) dated 19th November, 1985 under Sec. 10(1)(d) 26 GI/87—12

(2A) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, for adjudication of the following dispute :—

“Whether the action of the management of Gun Carriage Factory, Jabalpur (M.P.) in imposing penalties (i) reduction of pay to the minimum of present scale with cumulative effect and (ii) reduction in Grade from Turner ‘A’ to Turner ‘B’ on Sri Anand Ram Tiwari H. S. Gr. II and also his transfer from the Factory is justified? If not, to what relief the workman concerned is entitled?”

2. Parties have filed their respective pleadings and documents. The position that emerges out from the admitted and proved documents appears to be that the G.C.F. Employees Union (hereinafter referred to as the Union) was established and registered in the year 1970. Shri A. R. Tiwari, the workman concerned, was the Vice President of the Union.

3. Shri B. K. Ghai joined as General Manager of the Gun Carriage Factory, Jabalpur (hereinafter referred to as the Factory) on or about 9th July, 1980 and somehow there developed a tussle between the active members of the Union and Shri B. K. Ghai. Union not only submitted Memorandum to the Defence Secretary but made some complaints also against Shri B. K. Ghai and resorted to hunger strike and notices of strike etc. Hand Bills, News items against him. On the other hand, Shri B. K. Ghai in his capacity as General Manager of the Factory issued several charge-sheets against active members of the union including Shri A. R. Tiwari. The charges levelled and punishment imposed against Shri Tiwari on the question referred to this Tribunal, for adjudication are as under (See record of punishments annexed with the statement of claim of the management) :—

Date of order	Offence	Penalty imposed
1. 12-6-84	Misbehaved with his senior staff	Reduction of pay to the minimum of the present scale with cumulative effect.
2. 2-7-1984	Unauthorisedly arranged an illegal assembly of workers. Turned ‘B’.	Penalty of Reversion from Turner ‘A’ to Turner ‘B’.
	shouted slogans against G.M./G.C.F. used derogatory language against him.	

Shri B. K. Ghai, General Manager of the Factory transferred Shri A. R. Tiwari vide Order Pt. II No. 1969 dated 2-7-84 to Ordnance Factory Trichunapalli with immediate effect.

4. The case of the workman further is that a strike ballot was taken out on 11-8-81 on behalf of the Union and 98 per cent of the workers of the Factory voted in favour of the strike. The management, therefore, came to the conclusion that the action was not proper and negotiated settlement on 18-8-81 settling the charter of demands of the union and regarding the pending charge-sheets General Manager stated that domestic enquiry will be prolonged and neither party will gain thereby and therefore suggested and gave personal assurance that if the workman give letter of regret to the allegations he will not impose any punishment but will merely give an oral warning. Believing the assurance and with a view to maintain good atmosphere and good industrial relation the workman gave regret letter as suggested by the General Manager.

5. Thus the punishment imposed and enquiry held against the workman is bad in law on the following grounds amongst others :—

- The General Manager, Shri B. K. Ghai was not entitled to issue a charge-sheet because he himself was an aggrieved party. He was completely a biased man.
- The workman was not given a reasonable opportunity to defend himself.

- (c) The Inquiry Officer was under pressure of the General Manager to give the findings of guilt.
- (d) The General Manager was not entitled to impose the punishment with the allegation of shouting of slogans against him (General Manager) and stopping of his vehicle.
- (e) The findings of the Enquiry Officer is totally perverse.
- (f) The charge-sheet and punishment was imposed wholly on the trade union activities and was a colourable exercise of power.
- (g) The punishment imposed is acts of victimization, mala fides and against the principle of natural justice as well as against fundamental rights of trade union activities.
- (h) Management indulged totally in misuse of power in transferring the workman from Jabalpur to Trichinapalli which was not only arbitrary, unjust and unfair but contrary to the assurance given.

6. The management of the Factory in its reply did not refute the allegations parawise to the written statement submitted by the workman but only in its written statement stated that the action taken against Shri Anant Ram Tiwari was fully justified and he was found guilty for gross misconducts. His transfer was on administrative grounds only. Management has further contended that the transfer of Sri Tiwari was pursuant to the directive of the Chairman, Ordnance Factory Board vide Memo dated 29-6-1984.

7. Shri Anant Ram Tiwari staged a demonstration in front of the residence of Shri B.K. Ghai, General Manager, when the latter was down with a heart attack and was on medical leave. This by no stretch of imagination can be termed as legitimate trade union activity. The workman staged another demonstration during the visit of a visiting dignitary Mr. M.C. Sarin, Secretary (Defence Production). In view of the above the applicant is not entitled to any relief.

8. On the above pleadings of the parties the following issues were framed:—

1. Whether the enquiry is proper and legal?
2. If not, whether the punishments imposed on the workman are justified on facts of the case?
3. Whether the punishment awarded is because of the trade union activities of the workman or not?
4. Relief and costs?

Findings with reasons:—

9. Issues No. 1 to 4:—The enquiry papers have been relied on by the parties besides the applicant gave his own statement on affidavit and relied on certain documents filed in this case as well as in Ref. No. Case No. 111/85 (Marked Ex. W/1 to Ex. W/39).

10. The main challenge to the show cause notice and the departmental punishment is that Shri B.K. Ghai was himself in the position of complainant and a witness. Therefore he could have neither issued the charge-sheet nor could have imposed the punishment awarded by him. I have gone through the record and I find not only the defence documents Ex. W/1 to Ex. W/39 but documents of enquiry papers relied on by the management clearly go to show that this movement of the union members was directed against the General Manager, Shri B.K. Ghai, and he was at least one of the aggrieved party and personally prejudiced against the active members of the union.

11. On behalf of the management, it has been contended that active members of the Union went beyond the legitimate activities of the union and they had absolutely no right to act in the manner as they did. Therefore they made themselves liable to the punishment awarded to them for their misconduct subversive of discipline as charge-sheeted. This may be true, but the law of natural justice requires that such activities of the union members or officer bearers as are subversive of discipline amounting to misconduct has to be proved by legal evidence before a person could be punished. In the instant case, except the allegations in the charge-sheets

there is nothing on record at least before this Tribunal to substantiate the allegations of the management. On the other hand, applicant workman has filed his own affidavit and he has been cross-examined by the management on it. But nothing material is brought out in his cross-examination to discredit his plea in relation to the charges levelled against him. If the management wanted to rebut the allegations of his affidavit it should have either filed the counter affidavit of Shri B.K. Ghai or at least of some responsible officer to refute or rebut the allegations but nothing has been done. I, therefore, see no reason to disbelieve the affidavit of the applicant workman (Pratap Singh Vs. State of Punjab-AIR 1964 SC 72 para 14 relied on).

12. The salient features of the affidavit of Shri Anant Ram Tiwari are that he was a Vice President of the G.C.F. Employees Union. The union made repeated representations to the management and submitted charter of demands. Since no action was taken the management was informed that the Union will go on hunger strike to press their demand. One Shri B.R.S. Trivedi, chargeman, assaulted one workman Shri Giorclal on 12-7-81. This resulted in agitation of the workers. The General Manager Shri B.K. Ghai got annoyed with the Union Office bearers and deducted the wages of the workers on 22-7-81. He also suspended Shri Rajendra Jha, General Secretary, Shri R.K. Jain, Organising Secretary and Shri R.S. Tripathi, Office Secretary. Since no action was taken on the charter of demand a strike ballot was taken on 11-8-81 and 98 per cent of the workers voted in favour of strike. Thereafter a meeting of the Union took place with the General Manager who assured to solve all the problems of the workers. In the meanwhile Shri A.R. Tiwari was also charge-sheeted. But Shri B. K. Ghai, General Manager, specifically assured the Union that he will not take any action on the disciplinary cases and suggested that formal letters expressing regret with reference to the charge-sheet be given so that he can close the cases. Believing this specific assurance given by Shri Ghai the charge-sheeted employees gave letter of regret. But contrary to the assurance the General Manager punished the employees. In the charge-sheet dated 4th Feb. 1983 it was alleged that the workman committed gross misconduct by illegally organising an assembly of workers outside the house of the General Manager. The charge-sheet was not accompanied by the statement of complainants. Non issue of these vital documents had deprived the concerned workman from giving effective reply to the above charge-sheet.

13. The workman further stated in his affidavit that proceedings in the enquiry were noted in English inspite of his objection. On various other grounds the workman stated that the enquiry was improper, illegal and unfair. He did not commit any misconduct whatsoever but only carried out the legitimate trade union activities permitted under law. He has been victimised for his trade union activities with punishment including the transfer from a Hindi State to O.F.T. Tiruchinapalli. Shri B.K. Ghai General Manager was personally annoyed with the petitioner and he misused his powers. The punishment imposed on the petitioner reducing the wages, reversion from Turner Gr. A to 'B' and transfer are without any necessity and justification whatsoever.

14. In the case of Gujarat Steel Tubes Ltd. Vs. Its Mazdoor Sabha (AIR 1980 CS 1896) facts were on all fours with the facts of the present case. Relevant extracts of the above case are being reproduced below:—

The Court will find out from other proceedings or documents in which it is couched is not conclusive. The court will lift the veil to see the true nature of the order.....

The Court will find out from other proceedings or documents connected with the formal order of termination what the true ground for the termination is.....

A disciplinary inquiry resulting in punishment of particular delinquents cannot but be illegal if the evidence is of mass misconduct by unspecified strikers led by leaders who are perhaps not even workmen.

The workmen were on strike. The strike was illegal. The management was hurt because production was paralysed. The strikers allegedly indulged in

objectionable activities. The exasperated management hit back by ordering their discharge for reasons set out in several pages in the appropriate contemporaneous proceeding. Misconduct after misconduct was being on the workers to justify the drastic action.

Held:—.....The orders of discharge were bad on this Score alone."

15. In view of the above, it is crystal clear that the action of the General Manager, Shri B.K. Ghai, against the active members of the union amounts to victimisation and unfair labour practice in all the charge-sheets given to the workman.

16. Now I will briefly take up the statement given by the workman on affidavit regarding the charge sheets issued to the workman and punishment awarded and under what circumstances the General Manager of the Factory did so. The workman has deposed in his affidavit that the Union resorted to hunger strike in support of the charter of demand. Since no action was taken a strike ballot was taken on 11-8-81 and 98 per cent of the workers voted in favour of strike. Thereafter negotiations started on 12-8-81 and settlement took place on 18-8-81 when a meeting of the union took place with the General Manager. The General Manager assured to solve all the problems of the workers and further assured that he will not take any action on the disciplinary cases and suggested that formal letters expressing regret with reference to charge-sheet be given so that he can close the cases. Believing this specific assurance given by Shri B. K. Ghai, General Manager, the Charge-sheeted employees gave a letter of regret. But contrary to this assurance the General Manager punished the employees. As already pointed out there is no counter-affidavit to deny this allegation. Therefore this goes to show that the plea of the workman was obtained on misrepresentation and inducement. Since the letter of regret was obtained on the assurance of the General Manager that he will not take any action on the disciplinary cases it cannot be said that it was an admission of guilt. Therefore it could not have been taken as such as has been held in the case of Jagdish Prasad Saxena Vs. State of M.P. [AIR 1961 (MP) p. 1070].

17. Coming to the charges levelled* and enquiry held against the workman, I have already pointed out the charges levelled against the workman and punishment awarded to him. He was transferred from Gun Carriage Factory, Jabalpur to Ordnance Factory Tiruchinapalli.

18. I have gone through the proceedings of domestic enquiry and I find that besides the round that Shri B. K. Ghai acted not only as a prosecutor but also as a Judge and sat over the judgment in his own cause. I find that the proceedings of domestic enquiry go to show that the Enquiry Officer himself was prejudiced and was acting under the guidance of his superior i.e. the General Manager, Shri B. K. Ghai. In this regard proceeding dated 2-5-1984 (at page 76) and proceeding dated 16-1-84 (at page 113) go to show that the Enquiry Officer had asked the Disciplinary Authority i.e. the General Manager whether the documents demanded by the workman are to be furnished to him or not and in fact these documents were never given to him to prepare his case for the cross-examination of the prosecution witnesses or to lead his defence. The workman had also asked for the hand written statements of the prosecution witnesses Shri G. L. Jharia and R. S. Chauhan number of times during the course of enquiry (See page 100 as an illustration). These documents were denied to the workman though they were very material documents because these witnesses had stated that they had given their statements in writing while their statements furnished on record were typed ones. This does not amount only to denial of reasonable opportunity to the workman to defend himself but also renders the case against him of suspicious nature. In fact, none of the documents or statements of witnesses relied on were furnished to the workman before commencing the evidence against him. This also caused great prejudice to the workman in his defence. The reason given for disallowing documents and statements of witnesses are not sound one and the reasons given do not only go to show that the Enquiry Officer was not impartial but also unfair to the workman. I have gone through the list of the documents and list of defence witnesses required by the workman and I find that at least some of the documents and witnesses were very material and they should not have been disallowed. It is not for the Enquiry Officer to decide arbitrary that the documents and witnesses

are unnecessary. He should have furnished at least those documents and allowed those witnesses which are not being called for vexatiously or in order to delay the proceedings (See page 72 and 102 of the enquiry proceedings). No wonder representative of the workman told the Enquiry Officer that he is neither fair nor impartial (See p. 112).

19. During the examination of witnesses I. C. Kamal and G. L. Jharia the Presenting Officer was allowed leading questions inspite of objection suggesting the name of the workman Shri Tiwari (Please see page 129 and 146 of enquiry proceedings). After the cross-examination of Shri Jharia he was allowed to be re-examined by the Presenting Officer inspite of the objection of the representative of the workman. That too allowing him to suggest the name of the workman while he had not named him earlier (Please see page 80 and 140).

20. As to the merit of the witnesses' statements, I find that from the statement of Shri R. S. Chauhan it appears that he was tutored and was allowed to read his statement before his examination as it is crystal clear from the following statement at page 134:—

"2-12-82 KO MAINE APNA STATEMENT NAHINE PARHA ISS KARAN TIME MAIN BHOOL HO SAKTI HAI PAHLI BAR JAB MAIN ENQUIRY KE LIYE AAYA THA TO MUJHE TYPED KIYA HUA STATEMENT PARHNE KO DIYA GAYA THA."

He further stated that it was given by the Presenting Officer.

21. Ultimately I find that the workman was not given proper and reasonable opportunity to defend himself. He was also not given proper opportunity to make the services of his representative available to him during the enquiry. The workman at time and again requested that his representative be informed so that he can come to attend the proceeding but instead of doing this he was, it appears, transferred to certain place and ultimately the workman could not give his evidence in his defence and thus he was denied the reasonable opportunity to defend himself.

22. From all this conduct of the Enquiry Officer and the Disciplinary authority it appears that they were highly prejudiced and went out of the way to victimise the workman.

23. The workman has pleaded that Shri B.K. Ghai, General Manager being the complainant should not have acted as the disciplinary authority. For such a contingency the Government framed instructions in C.C.S. (C.C.A.) Rules, 1965 p. 60 item no. 3(ii) which reads as under:—

- "(3) When Presidents' power for nominating an adhoc disciplinary authority to be invoked (i).....
(ii) When the competent authority is unable to function as the disciplinary authority—In a case where the prescribed appointing or disciplinary authority is unable to function as the disciplinary authority in respect of an official, on account of his being personally concerned with the charges or being a material witness in support of the charges, the proper course for that authority is to refer such a case to Government in the normal manner for nomination of an adhoc disciplinary authority by a Presidential Order under the provisions of Rule 12(2) of C.C.S. (C.C.A.) Rules, 1965."

Under this Rule Shri B.K. Ghai should have referred the matter to the Government for appointment of an adhoc disciplinary authority to conduct just, legal and impartial domestic enquiry against the workman but instead of doing so he himself became the prosecutor and judge at the same time. It is now well settled that no person could be a judge in his own cause and no witness could testify that his own testimony is true as has been held in the case of 1980-II-LJ p. 270 P.J. Warkari Vs. K.V. Karamjkar; 1986 SLR(i) 358 S. Tiwari Vs. State of M.P. This is what has been done by Shri B. K. Ghai. Therefore the entire proceedings are vitiated being contrary to law.

24. As for the punishment of transfer to Tiruchinapalli, in a similar case of this very Gun Carriage Factory, Jabalpur, one M. Hussain was transferred by the management from Gun Carriage Factory, Jabalpur to metal and Steel Factory, Ishopara. In that case of M. Hussain Vs. Union of India and others in Misc. Petition No. 879/84 his Lordship Hon'ble

Justice Shri Gulab Gupta while quashing the transfer order held as under:—

"It is common ground that the matter in so far as disciplinary action is concerned, is covered by the provisions of Central Civil Services (Classification, Control and Appeal) Rules. Rule 11 of these rules provides for imposition of penalty on a government servant for good and sufficient reasons. These penalties do not include transfer as a punishment. Under the circumstances, imposing penalty of transfer would not be in accordance with these rules and would be beyond the jurisdiction of the Disciplinary Authority. Since the impugned transfer order is the direct outcome of the Disciplinary proceedings and is founded upon the same, the same must be held illegal and outside the scope of the respondents."

In para 6 of the same it has been further held—

"The Courts have been reluctant to sit in appeal over the exigence of business and find out whether they demanded the transfer or not. It is generally conceded that the management is in the best position to decide these questions. In spite of it, the law courts have been interfering with transfer if the same was arbitrary or mala fide. In *Prakash Chandra Vs. State of M.P.* (1980-MPLJ 251) this court had the occasion to consider a mala fide transfer." (Cases cited-1981 MPLJ 9; 1983 MPLJ 527 and 1966-I-LJ 440).

25. Applying the above law to the facts and circumstances of the present case I am of the opinion that the order of transfer of the workman was also not only illegal but was arbitrary, an act of victimisation and unfair labour practice. Therefore it was not justified and is quashed.

26. In the instant case management in their written statement has not sought opportunity to prove misconduct before this Tribunal. Therefore they are not entitled to the same.

27. Consequently I hold that the action of the management of Gun Carriage Factory, Jabalpur (M.P.) in imposing penalties (i) reduction of pay to the minimum of present scale with cumulative effect and (ii) reduction in Grade from Turner 'A' to Turner 'B' on Sri Anand Ram Tiwari, H.S. Gr. II and also his transfer from the Factory is not justified and the above orders passed by the management against the workman are quashed. The workman be restored to his original post and pay at Jabalpur. Management will pay all back wages, continuity of service and all other benefits including promotions etc., if due, within three months from the date of this award. No order as to costs.

V. S. YADAV, Presiding Officer.

[No. L-13012/1/85-D. II(B)]

HARI SINGH, Desk Officer

नई दिल्ली, 8 अप्रैल, 1987

का. अ. 1061—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार, भटतदिह कोलिरीय मोहदा क्षेत्र नं. 2, मैसर्स भारत कोकिंग कोल लिमिटेड के प्रबंधक के सम्बन्ध में निम्नलिखित और उनके कर्मचारियों के बीच, अनुबंध में निहित औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण, संख्या-1 धनबाद के पंचाट को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 25 मार्च, 1987 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

New Delhi, the 8th April, 1987

S.O. 1061—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal No. 1, Dhanbad as shown in the Annexure, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the Management of Bhatdih Colliery of Mohuda Area No. II of M/s. Bharat Coking Coal Ltd. and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on the 25th March, 1987.

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL NO. 1, DHANBAD

In the matter of a reference under section 10(1)(d) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

Reference No. 26 of 1982

PARTIES :

Employers in relation to the management of Bhatdih Colliery of Mohuda Area No. II of M/s. Bharat Coking Coal Limited, Bilbera House, P.O. Sonardih, Dist. Dhanbad.

VS.

Their Workmen

PRESENT :

Shri S. K. Mitra, Presiding Officer.

APPEARANCES :

For the Employers : Shri B. Joshi, Advocate.

For the Workmen : Shri Manhodh Mahato, Zonal Secretary, B.C.K.U. Baghmara Zone.

STATE : Bihar

INDUSTRY : Coal

Dhanbad, dated the 19th March, 1987

AWARD

The present reference arises out of Order No. L-20012 (281)/81-D.III(A) dated the 18th March, 1982 passed by the Central Government in respect of an industrial dispute between the parties mentioned above. The subject matter of the dispute has been specified in the schedule to the said order and the said schedule runs as follows :

"Whether the demand of the workmen of Bhatdih Colliery of Mohuda Area No. II of Messrs Bharat Coking Coal Limited, Bilbera House, Post Office Sonardih, District Dhanbad for employment of Sarvashri Ch. Ismail Mian, Chutan Mahto, Manik Chatterjee, Jand Mahto, Rahini Mahato, Nagendra Mahto, Ram Swaroop Mondal, Chakradhari Mahto, Kaiyum Mian, Bhola Teli and Mohan Sain as regular workers of the Colliery is justified, If so, to what relief are the workmen concerned entitled and from what date ?"

2. The dispute has been settled out of Court. A memorandum of settlement has been filed in Court. I have gone through the terms of settlement and find them quite fair and reasonable. There is no reason why an award should not be made on the terms and conditions laid down in the memorandum of settlement. I accept it and make an award accordingly. The memorandum of settlement shall form part of the award.

3. Let a copy of this award be sent to the Ministry as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

S. K. MITRA, Presiding Officer.

[No. L-20012/281/81-D.III(A)]

MEMORANDUM OF SETTLEMENT IN FORM 'H' UNDER RULE 58(4) OF THE INDUSTRIAL DISPUTES (CENTRAL) RULES, 1957 ARRIVED AT BETWEEN THE MANAGEMENT OF MOHUDA AREA, BCCL PO - MOHUDA, DHANBAD AND THEIR WORKMEN REPRESENTED BY BIHAR COLLIERY KAMGAR UNION

Management Representative	Union Representative
1. Mr. Mukherjee, A.M.(Tech.), BCCL, Mohuda Area,	1. Sri Manhodh Mahato, Zonal Secretary, BCKA Baghmara Zone.

2. D. B. Singh,
Personnel Manager,
BCCL, Mohuda Area.

3. Sri S. Ram,
Dy. Personnel Manager (Estab)
BCCL, Mohuda Area.

Union Representative
1. Sri Manbodh Mahato,
Zonal Secretary, BCKU,
Baghmara Zone.

Short recital of the case

The tribunal Case No. 26/82 in respect of Ch. Ismail Mian and 10 others of Bhatdee Colliery represented their case through Shri Manbodh Mahato, Zonal Secretary, BCKU, Baghmara Zone on behalf of BCKU for their employment. The case was discussed at a length between the parties and as per approval of the H.QTRS the union is agreeable to drop the case after settlement. Both the parties have agreed to settle the case mutually on the following terms and conditions :

Terms of the settlement

1. That S/Shri Ch. Ismail Mian, Chutari Mahato, Manik Chatterjee, Janu Mahato, Rohini Mahato, Nagendra Mahato, Ram Swaroop Mondal, Chakradhari Mahato, Kayum Main, Bhola Teli and Mohan Sain, will be taken in employment as miner/loader. Their employment will be done subject to verification of the identification Physical fitness etc.

2. That their identification should also be certified by the contractors and the Union Representative who have sponsored the case.

3. That all the above persons concerned will produce their photo duly attested by S.D.O. and their address, names etc. will also be certified by the representing unions. After verification of their genuineness they will appear in loading test and if they will be found fit they will have to appear for the physical fitness by Area Medical Board. In case, if any one will be found medically unfit they shall not be taken in employment.

4. That during the period of the employment or at any time hereinafter, it is found that they have given wrong information with regard to their age, father's name, address or to have impersonation they will be dismissed from the service without any notice and shall also be liable for legal action as may be advised.

5. That the workman concerned shall report for duty within a week from the date they are found medically fit.

6. That the workmen concerned will be posted at any of the collieries of Mohuda Area or any other colliery of other areas under BCCL, where there exists vacancy of Miner/Loader.

7. That this settlement resolves all the disputes between parties and the workman concerned and they will not have any claim what-so-ever.

8. That a copy of the settlement duly signed by both the parties will be submitted before the Hon'ble Tribunal.

9. During the probation, their services will be terminated without any notice or probation period may be extended.

Representing Management

(Mr. Mukherjee)
AM(Tech.), BCCL,
Mohuda Area,
(D. S. Singh)
Personnel Manager,
BCCL, Mohuda Area.
(S. Ram)
Dy. Personnel Manager,
BCCL, Mohuda Area,

Representing Union
(Manbodh Mahato)
Zonal Secretary,
Baghmara Zone,
B.C.K.U.

Witness : Mathur Roy, President, BCKU, Bhatdee Colliery Working in BCCL as Haulage Khalasi at Bhatdee Jageshwar Gope, President, BCKU, Bhurungiya Project working as S/Board Attendent, at Bhurungiya Project.

Dated : 15th July, 1986.

का. प्र. 1062--औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 11) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार, नैर्मल भारत कॉकिंग कोल लिमिटेड, डाकघर कोयला नगर के प्रबंधन के सम्बन्ध में नियोजकों और उनके कार्यों के बीच, अनुसन्ध में निश्चित औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण, मध्या धनबाद के विवाद को प्रकाशित करती है जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 25 मार्च 1987 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

S.O. 1062.--In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), Central Government hereby published the following award of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal No. 1, Dhanbad as shown in the Annexure, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the Management of M/s. Bharat Coking Coal Ltd., P.O. Koyla Nagar and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on 25th March, 1987.

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL No. 1, DHANBAD.

In the matter of a reference under section 10(1)(d) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

Reference No. 55 of 1982.

PARTIES :

Employers in relation to the management of Messrs Bharat Coking Coal Limited, P.O. Koyala Nagar, Dist. Dhanbad.

AND

Their Workmen

PRESENT :

Shri S. K. Mitra,
Presiding Officer.

APPEARANCES :

For the Employers : Shri B. N. Prasad,
General Manager (Personnel). &
Shri U. Mishra,
Senior Law Officer

For the Workmen : Shri G. D. Pandey,
It. General Secretary, R.C.M.S.
and Shri K. D. Singh,
concerned workman.

STATE : Bihar

INDUSTRY : Coal

Dhanbad, dated the 18th March, 1987

AWARD

The present reference arises out of Order No. L-20012 (10)/82-D.III(A) dated, the 11th/13th May, 1982 passed by the Central Government in respect of an industrial dispute between the parties mentioned above. The subject matter of the dispute has been specified in the schedule to the said order and the said schedule runs as follows :—

"Whether the action of the management of Messrs Bharat Coking Coal Limited, Post Office Koyla Nagar, District Dhanbad in not placing Shri K. D. Singh in Technical Grade-A with continuity of service from the date his colleagues have been

given such benefit is justified? If not, to what relief is the workman concerned entitled?"

2. The dispute has been settled out of Court. A memorandum of settlement has been filed in Court. I have gone through the terms of settlement and find them quite fair and reasonable. There is no reason why an award should not be made on the terms and conditions laid down in the memorandum of settlement. I accept it and make an award accordingly. The memorandum of settlement shall form part of the award.

3. Let a copy of this award be sent to the Ministry as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

Dated : 18-3-87

S. K. MITRA, Presiding Officer
[No. L-20012(10)/82-D.III(A)]
P. V. SREHDHARAN, Desk Officer

MEMORANDUM OF SETTLEMENT ARRIVED AT
BETWEEN THE MANAGEMENT OF BCCIL AND THE
WORKMAN NAMELY SHRI K. D. SINGH OF TOWN
ADMINISTRATION DEPARTMENT, KOYLA BHAWAN,
REPRESENTED BY R.C.M.

Management

1. Shri B. N. Prasad,
General Manager (Personnel)
2. Shri U. Mishra,
Sr. Law Officer.

Union/Workman

1. Shri G. D. Pandey,
Jt. Gen. Secretary,
R.C.M.S.
2. Shri K. D. Singh,
Workman Concerned.

Short Recital of the Case

The Secretary, RCMS, raised an Industrial Dispute for regularisation/placement of Sri K. D. Singh, General Supervisor, Town Administration Department in Tech. Gr. 'A' right from the date he was appointed by his erstwhile owner i.e. w.e.f. 7-11-62. The conciliation having been failed and the matter was referred to for adjudication before the Central Government Industrial Tribunal No. 1, Dhanbad as Ref. No. 55/82 with the following terms of reference :—

The Schedule

"Whether the action of the management of Messrs Bharat Coking Coal Limited, Post Office Koyla Nagar District Dhanbad in not placing Shri K.D. Singh in Tech. Gr. 'A' with continuity of service from the date his colleagues have been given such benefit is justified. If not, to what relief is the workman concerned entitled?"

While the matter was pending before the Central Government Industrial Tribunal No. 1, Dhanbad the Union/workman approach for its amicable settlement. The matter having been discussed on several occasions and ultimately considering the nature of job performed by the concerned workman as also with a view to maintain industrial peace and harmony it was decided to settle the dispute on the following terms and conditions :—

1. It has been agreed to provide Tech. & Supervisory Gr. A to the workman concerned from the date of the signing of the present settlement and he will be entitled to get the wages of Tech. Supervisory Gr. A from the said date only.
2. It is agreed that he will be given continuity of service from the date he joined C.R.O. i.e. 7-11-1962 for the purpose of gratuity only.

3. That since the matter has been settled amicably the question of payment of difference of wages, arrears whatsoever for the period from 1962 to till the date of settlement does not arise.

4. The parties are agreed to jointly file the copy of the settlement before the Presiding Officer, Central Government Industrial Tribunal No. 1, Dhanbad requesting for holding the terms of reference as fair and proper and passed the award in terms of the settlement.

5. That the settlement will be registered under Section 58(4) of the I.D. (Central) Rules, 1957.

Management

1. Sd./-Illegible.
2. Sd/-Illegible.

Witness

1. Sd./-Illegible.
2. Sd/-Illegible.

Union/Workman

1. Sd./-Illegible.
2. Sd/-Illegible.

नई दिल्ली, 8 अप्रैल, 1987

का. आ. 1063—श्रीयोगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार, सिंगरेनी कोलियरीज कंपनी लिमिटेड, रामगुंडम डिवीजन IV के प्रबंधन में सम्बद्ध निवृत्तों और उनके कर्मचारियों के बीच, अनुबंध में निहित श्रीयोगिक विवाद में श्रीयोगिक अधिकरण, हैदराबाद के पंचाट को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 25-3-87 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

New Delhi, the 8th April, 1987

S.O. 1063.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award of the Industrial Tribunal, Hyderabad as shown in the Annexure in the Industrial Dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Singareni Collieries Company Limited, Ramagundam Division-IV and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on the 25-3-1987.

ANNEXURE

BEFORE THE INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL (CENTRAL) AT HYDERABAD

PRESENT :

Industrial Dispute No. 17 of 1985

BETWEEN

The Workmen of Singareni Collieries Company Limited, Ramagundam Division IV, P. O. Godavarikhani, Karimnagar Dist.,

AND

The Management of Singareni Collieries Company Limited, Ramagundam Division IV, P. O. Godavarikhani, Karimnagar Dist.,

APPEARANCES :

Sarvasri D. Reddappa Reddy and N. Mohan Rao,
Advocates—for the Workmen.

Saryasri K. Srinivasa Murthy, H. K. Satgal and Miss.
G. Sudha, Advocates—for the Management.

AWARD

The Government of India, Ministry of Labour by its Order No. L-22012/62/84-D.III(B) dated 20-3-1985 referred the following dispute under Section 10(1)(d) and (2A) of

the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 between the employers in relation to the Management of Singareni Collieries Company Limited, Ramagundam Division-IV and their workmen to this Tribunal for adjudication:

"Whether having regard to the past record of service of Shri Madasi Rajaiah, Coal Cutter, GDK 8 Incline and the alleged offence, the penalty of dismissal from service with effect from 16-1-1984 imposed on him by the management of Singareni Collieries Company Limited, Ramagundam Division IV is justified? If not, to what relief is the workman concerned entitled?"

This reference was registered as Industrial Dispute No. 17 of 1985 and notices were issued to the parties.

2. The claims statement filed stating that Madasi Rajaiah, Coal Cutter was dismissed from service with effect from 16-1-1984 and that the same was contrary to the well established procedure and that his explanation denying the charges were not considered and that the petitioner was pitted against a legally trained Management officers and the enquiry officer and he was not provided with any assistance of a co-worker. According to him the Enquiry Officer imported his personal knowledge to strengthen the charges. There is no valid evidence that the petitioner assaulted the mining Sirdar on 5-2-1983 and there is no medical evidence that the Mining Sirdar sustained any injury on account of the alleged assault. It is said that the said order of removal is illegal, arbitrary and capricious. It is also mentioned that the Petitioner was not given opportunity to show cause notice against the punishment imposed and the order of dismissal is bad in as much as it relied on the past conduct of the petitioner without affording an opportunity to explain against the same. It is further mentioned that the punishment imposed is shockingly disproportionate to the misconduct and the punishment snacks of victimisation and unfair labour practice.

3. The Management filed a counter denying all the allegation mentioned by the Petitioner. It is denied that the workman was working as per the instructions of Sri K. Rajeshwar Rao, Shot Firer. According to the Management Sri K. Ch. Narsiah in normal routine went to the district where the workman was working for information at 12.00 A.M. to supervise the work and found that this workman was working contrary to the instructions already given in the beginning of the shift and drilled 28 holes instead of 10 or 12 holes, and that it is the duty of the Coal Cutter to drill as per the instructions of the Mining Sirdar at the beginning of the shift and it is the duty of the Shot Firer to blast the holes with explosives, which enable the coal to be removed from the Mine. After inspection the Mining Sirdar found that the Coal Cutters already drilled 28 holes instead of 10 to 12 holes. According to him the Mining Sirdar found that if all the 28 holes were drilled and blasted, it will widen the gallery beyond the statutory provisions and therefore he instructed the Coal cutters not to drill any more holes in that face in the light of safety of the Mine and he instructed the Shot Firer Sri K. Rajeshwar Rao to see the Coal cutters stopped further drilling of the holes.

4. While so, instead of stopping the work Sri Rajaiah caught hold of the hand of Mining Sirdar Sri K. Ch. Narsiah and forcibly pushed him away which resulted in Mining Sirdar falling down and getting hurt and injured. All the workmen working in the said face were witnesses to the said incident. On the basis of the report given by the Mining Sirdar a charge sheet was issued to the workmen by the Respondent on 6-2-1983. It is incorrect to alleged that they have completed only 12 holes on that day. The workman actually drilled 28 holes on the face. The Petitioner concocted all the allegations to cover up the mistake made by the Coal cutters insubordinate and disorderly behaviour of the workman. The act of the workmen comes under the provisions of the Standing Order 16(1) and 16(5). The Management appointed Enquiry Officer having been not satisfied with the explanation and the workman did not choose to cross examine the witnesses. But he duly signed the enquiry proceedings. So the validity of the domestic enquiry may be decided as a preliminary issue. It is also incorrect to say that the Enquiry Officer imposed personal knowledge to strengthened the charges.

The disorderly behaviour of the workman and defence taken by the workman were also taken into consideration while dismissing him.

5. In the instant case to decide whether the domestic enquiry is held properly or not the Management examined two witnesses as M.W1 and M.W2 and marked Exs. M1 to M6 while the workman examined himself as W.W.1 and marked Ex. W1.

6. After considering the material placed before me in this Tribunal a preliminary order was passed on 8-12-1986 holding that the domestic enquiry is held properly observing the principles of natural justice.

7. Even before the domestic enquiry was decided after the evidence is recorded, the Management filed a Memo in M.P. No. 375/86 on 1-10-1986 and the same was rejected vide separate orders and the order of preliminary point was pronounced on 8-12-1986. Thereafterwards the Management was not present and the matter was adjourned to 23-12-1986 and 30-1-1987 and the argument of the workmen counsel Sri N. Mohan Rao was heard and as the Management did not come forward with any specific arguments even by 31-1-1987 it was treated as closed and reserved for award.

8. The only point is whether the punishment imposed is disproportionate to the gravity of the misconduct. The charge is that the workman caught hold of the hand of the Mining Sirdar Sri K. Ch. Narsiah and forcibly pushed him away which resulted the Mining Sirdar falling down getting hurt and injured and the allegation that he actually drilled 28 holes when he was asked to stop after drilling 12 holes on that day. Ofcourse it is the duty of the Shot Firer to blast the holes with explosives and it is the duty of the Mining Sirdar to give instructions regarding the duties of the Coal Cutter to drill. Whatever it is there is no medical certificate produced regarding the injury sustained to Mining Sirdar. The explanation given by the workman was that while he was trying to complete the work within the stipulated time as per the instructions of Shot Firers, he took drilling rod and in that process the Mining Sirdar slipped and fell down on the coal piece. So for this incident, even in the domestic enquiry when the workman did not cross examine the witnesses for the Management and the cross examination is said to be done by the Management witnesses for the misconduct under Standing Order 16(1) and 16(5) for pushing the Mining Sirdar which resulted in his falling down and getting hurt and injured, the Management cannot take the past conduct if any, and attribute all the irrelevant material for dismissing the workman. Under Section 11-A of the I.D. Act it must be borne in mind while deciding negligence of duties in deciding what is reasonable care, "the standard of men and not those of angels" have to be applied. Under Section 16(1) wilful insubordination or disobedience is no doubt misconduct when the Shot Firer is there instead of drilling 10 or 12 holes as he alleged to have been ordered if he drilled 28 holes, then the Shot Firers is equally responsible. If it is going to affect the Mines safety and the Shot Firer cannot be simply an eye witness for such an incident. Under Clause 16(5) of the Standing Orders of the Company there is no proof of drunkenness of fighting but it is said that he behaved in disorderly and indecent manner. For such a grave case of incident when he was pushed when he was asked to stop drilling this has to be construed as misconduct for imposing punishment of dismissal, the same amounts clearly a case where the order of dismissal was not justified. Prima facie such incidents in a given situation must be given human consideration and sympathy also. The awarding of maximum punishment of dismissal is highly and shockingly disproportionate and Section 11-A is really attracted and it did not require maximum punishment of dismissal in the circumstances of this case. It is a fit case where stoppage of one increment is proper and the petitioner-workman should be reinstated with half of the back wages from the date of dismissal.

9. Hence I hold that having regard to the past record of service of Sri Madasi Rajaiah, Coal Cutter, GDK 8 Incline and the alleged offence, the penalty of dismissal from service with effect from 16-1-1984 imposed on him by the management of Singareni Collieries Company Limited, Ramagundam Division IV is not justified and the

workman is entitled to be reinstated with half the back wages from the date of dismissal with stoppage of one increment.

Award is passed accordingly.

Dictated to the Stenographer, transcribed by him, corrected by me and given under my hand and the seal of this Tribunal, this the 18th day of February, 1987.

Industrial Tribunal

Appendix of Evidence

Witnesses Examined for the Management.	Witnesses Examined for the Workmen.
M.W1 E. V. Purushotham.	W.W1 M. Rajaiah
M.W2 S. R. Rughwani	

Documents marked for the Management:

- Ex. M1: Charge sheet dated 6-2-83 issued to Madasi Rajaiah, Coal Custer by the Colliery Manager 8 Incline. —By consent.
- Ex. M2: Explanation dated 8-2-83 given by Madasi Rajaiah to the Superintendent of Mines No. 8 Incline, Godavari Khani. —By consent.
- Ex. M3: Complaint given by K. Ch. Narsaiah; Mining Sirdar, GDK 8 Incline to the S.O.M. GDK No. 8 Incline, against Madasi Rajaiah. —By consent.
- Ex. M4: Letters of intimation of fixing of dates of enquiry as 6-3-83 at 3.30 P.M. and 27-3-83 at 9.45 A.M. —By consent.
- Ex. M5: Enquiry Proceedings. —By consent.
- Ex. M6: Enquiry report. Documents marked for the Workmen. —By consent.

- Ex. W1: Dismissal Letter dated 13-1-84 issued to Madasi Rajaiah by the Additional Chief Mining Engineer, Ramagundam Division-IV, S.C.Co. Ltd.

J. VENUGOPALA RAO, Industrial Tribunal
[No. L-22012/62/84-D.III(B)]

Dated : 24-2-87.

नई दिल्ली, 9 अप्रैल, 1987

क्रा. आ. 1064.—प्रौद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) का धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार, हनगर्जाखान मैनोर्ज और आयरन साईन्स प्रांफ मैनेर्ज भनजो मिनरल्स प्राइवट लि. एट/प.ओ. इंगनियजहारन, जिल. कियोजहार (उड़ीसा) के प्रबन्धत्व में भव्यद्व निर्याजकों और उनके कार्यालयों के बीच, अनुबंध में निहित प्रौद्योगिक विवाद में औद्योगिक अधिकरण, भुवनेश्वर के पक्षाट को प्रकीर्णित करनी है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 2-1-87 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

New Delhi, the 9th April, 1987

S.O. 1064.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award of the Industrial Tribunal, Bhubaneswar, as shown in the Annexure, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Inganijharan Mn. & Iron Mines of M/s Bhanjo Minerals (P) Ltd., At/P.O. Inganijharan, Distt. Keonjhar (Orissa) and their workmen which was received by the Central Government on the 24th March, 1987.

INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL, ORISSA, BHUBANESWAR
Industrial Dispute Case No. 8 of 1986 (Central)

Dated : Bhubaneswar, the 7th March, 1987

BETWEEN

Management of Inganijharan Mn. & Iron Mines of
M/s. Bhanjo Minerals (P) Limited, At/P.O. Inganijharan, District-Keonjhar, (Orissa).

.....First Party.

AND

Workmen represented through the General Secretary,
North Orissa Workers' Union, Orampada, P.O.
Rourkela-12, Distt. Sundergarh (Orissa).

.....Second Party.

APPEARANCES:

None For the First Party-Management.

Shri B. S. Pati, General Secretary.

For the Second Party-Workmen.

AWARD

1. This is a reference under section 10(1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act 1947 made by the Central Government for adjudication of the following dispute vide Order No. L-26011/14/85-D.III(B) dated 12th August, 1986:—

"Whether the action of the Management of Inganijharan Mn. & Iron Mines of M/s Bhanjo Mineral (P) Limited At/P.O. Inganijharan, Via-Joda, Distt. Keonjhar in removing the following workmen with effect from 1-2-1985 is justified? If not, to what relief are the workmen entitled?"

1. Smt. Surubali Naik,
2. Smt. Kemali Naik,
3. Smt. n-tio6cSRDLU
4. Smt. Tara Naik,
5. Smt. Ulati Naik,
6. Smt. Jaina Naik,
7. Shreekar Naik,
8. Sri Dheneswar Oram,
9. Bhaban Naik,
10. Sri Panchu Naik,
11. Kudre Oram,
12. Smt. Sabatri Naik,
13. Smt. Jarti Appat,
14. Sri Laxmidhar Naik,
15. Smt. Gahami Naik and
16. Smt. fani Naik.

2. The case of the workmen is that they were permanent workmen of the Management. On 30-1-85 on the weekly payment day, Mr. Sehgal, representative of the First Party called the second party workmen to the office during the afternoon before the disbursement of weekly payment and informed them that the arrear dues, bonus, festival wages and leave wages etc. will be paid to them and asked them to give their thumb impression on papers and took thumb impressions on about nine papers. Thereafter the workmen were paid their dues and left for their home. After the weekly off-day, the workmen came to their duties but the Mines Manager and the Mata both of them did not allow the workmen to perform their duties and told them that since they had resigned from their services there was no work for them. The workmen reported to the Officer-in-charge, Joda P.S. that the Management had taken their thumb impression on 9 papers and illegally removed them from service. The Officer-in-charge advised them to report this matter to the Labour Enforcement Officer, Barbil. The workman reported this matter to the Labour Enforcement Officer, Barbil and the Labour Enforcement Officer fixed the date on 11-2-85 for a joint enquiry into the matter. On that date he recorded some statements and thereafter advised the representative of the management to allow the workman to join in their duties on 16-2-85. On 16-2-85 the workman reported for duty but were told that their matter was under consideration. The matter was again recorded to the Labour Enforcement Officer, who informed the workman that he would submit his report to the Asstt. Labour Commissioner and directed the workman to raise the dispute before the Asstt. Labour Commissioner. The Asstt. Labour Commissioner issued notices for conciliation. But the management did not attend and the conciliation proceeding ended in failure. The action of the management in removing the workers from their services is with a mala fide intention. They have claimed for reinstatement with full back wages.

3. The management not having taken any steps on the date of first hearing has been not exparte.

4. During the exparte hearing one of the workmen and the Labour Enforcement Officer have been examined. It appears from the statement of the workman Panchu Nayak that he alongwith other workman mentioned in the reference were daily rated workers and had worked for a period of six years. It also appears from his evidence that the Officers of the management had obtained nine L.T.s on different papers on the weekly pay day. He further states that after availing of weekly off-day all of them reported for duty but they were told that they have no work for the management and their services were not required. The evidence of the Labour Enforcement Officer discloses that on 30-1-85 the workmen mentioned in the order of reference had complained before him that the management had obtained 9 L.T.s from each of them on different papers. They also complained before him that they have been refused employment. His further evidence is that he enquired into the matter and found that they had been removed from service after obtaining L.T.s on papers containing voluntarily resignation. He has further added that he called both the parties and the management agreed before him that they would reinstate all the workmen. His evidence finds corroboration from his report marked Ext. 1. The report further discloses that when the workers reported for duty on 16-2-85 they were again refused employment and this officer again called the agent on 24-2-85 for discussion. But he flatly denied to take back the workers. From Ext. 2 it appears that this officer had advised the General Secretary of the Union of which the workmen are the members to raise an industrial dispute. On the evidence discussed above it is clear that the management has illegally refused employment to the workmen. I would therefore hold that the action of the management in removing the workmen mentioned in the order of reference is not justified. They are entitled to reinstatement with full back wages from the date of their demand.

5. An award is accordingly passed

DI. 18-3-87.

Transcribed to my dictation and corrected by me.

R. N. PANDA, Presiding Officer
(No. 1-26011/14/85-D. III(B))

का आ 1065.—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अन्वयेण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार, इन्डोमीनरल मैनजरीज और आयरन माईन्स ऑफ दिसर्ग सन्जेक्जिरलस प्राइवेट लिमिटेड/कम्पट आफिस इन्डोमीनरल, बाया जोडा, जज्वा, किर्योहार (उड़ीसा) के प्रबंधनक से सम्बद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मचारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निहित औद्योगिक विवाद में औद्योगिक आकरण शुल्केष्वर के वंचपट को प्रकाशित करनी है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 24-3-87 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

S.O. 1065.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award of the Industrial Tribunal, Bhubaneswar, as shown in the Annexure, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Inganijharam Mn. & Iron Mines of M/s. Bhainjo Minerals (P) Ltd., At P.O. Inganijharam, via Joda, Distt. Keonjhar (Orissa) and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on the 24th March, 1987.

ANNEXURE

INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL, ORISSA, BHUBANESWAR
Industrial Dispute, case No. 9 of 1986(C)

Dated : Bhubaneswar, the 7th March, 1987

BETWEEN :

Management of Inganijharam Mn & Iron Mines of
M/s. Bhainjo Minerals (P) Ltd., At P.O. Inganijharam,
via Joda, Distt. Keonjhar (Orissa).

—First Party.

AND

Workmen,

Represented through the General Secretary,

North Orissa Workers Union, Orampade,

P.O. Rourkela-12, Distt. Sundergarh (Orissa).

..... Second Party.

APPEARANCES :

None..... For the First Party—Management.

Sri B. S. Pati, General Secretary..... For the Second
Party—Workmen.

AWARD

1. This is a reference under section 10(1)(d) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 made by the Central Government for adjudication of the following dispute vide Order No. 1-26011/13/85-D. III(B) dated 13th August, 1986;

"Whether the action of the management of Inganijharam Mn. & Iron Mines of M/s. Bhainjo Minerals (P) Ltd., At P.O. Inganijharam, Via Joda, Distt. Keonjhar in removing the following workmen with effect from 25-2-1985 is justified? If not, what relief are the workmen entitled to —

1. Shri Govinda Punda,
2. Shri Chakra Munda,
3. Shri Piru Munda,
4. Shri Rensu Munda,
5. Shri Sabeyar Singh Munda,
6. Shri Ratna Munda,
7. Shri Lado Munda,
8. Shri Kusa Munda,
9. Shri Jairam Munda,
10. Smt. Sukrumani Munda,
11. Smt. Jatri Munda,
12. Smt. Janeni Munda,
13. Smt. Surjo Munda,
14. Smt. Sundra Munda and
15. Smt. Subaso Munda."

2. The case of the workmen is that they were in employment as Mazdoors under the Management. The Manager of the First Party removed them with effect from 25-2-85. When they wanted the reason of removal, the Manager informed them that since they had complained before the Labour Enforcement Officer they did not want to keep them in service. The workmen reported this matter to Mr. Sehgal and he after hearing the workmen informed them that he would refer the matter to the Head Office at Keonjhar. He also asked them to wait for some time. After waiting for two months the workmen reported the matter to the General Secretary of their Union for taking necessary action. The General Secretary referred the matter to the agent of the First Party—Management. But no action was taken. He then referred the matter to the Asstt. Labour Commissioner, Rourkela. The Asstt. Labour Commissioner, Rourkela on receipt of the demand from the Union issued notices to the parties for discussion. But the First Party—Management did not attend the conciliation proceeding. It is further stated that the workmen had worked under the Management for more than five years. The action of the Management in removing the workmen from service is illegal and they are entitled to reinstatement with full back wage.

3. The Management not having taken any steps on the date of hearing has been set exparte.

4. The workmen have examined the Labour Enforcement Officer and one of them as witnesses in this case. It appears from the evidence of the workmen Gobinda Munda that he alongwith other workmen mentioned in the order of reference were working as Miners under the Management. They had complained before the Labour Enforcement Officer that the Management was paying less wages. The Man-

agement removed all of them from service with effect from 25-2-1985. He has also stated that all of them had worked for about six years. They were neither served with any notice nor paid any compensation before they were removed from service. It is also his evidence that he along with other workmen have been without employment from the date of removal from service. The Labour Enforcement Officer has stated that the workmen mentioned in the order of reference had complained before me on 20th November, 1984 that they were paid less festival wages. He had enquired into the matter and on his persuasion the Management agreed to pay festival wages in full. His evidence further discloses that the workmen also complained before him on February, 1985 that they had been removed from employment. On the aforesaid unchallenged evidence I am

inclined to hold that the Management was not justified in removing the workmen from service with effect from 25-2-1985 and this action of the Management is illegal and not justified. The workmen mentioned in the order of reference therefore, are entitled to reinstatement with full back wages.

An award is accordingly passed.

Dt. 7-3-87.

Transcribed to my dictation

and corrected by me.

R. N. PANDYA, Presiding Officer
[No. L-26011/13/85-D.III(B)]
V. K. SHARMA, Desk Officer